

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Racial differences in intracerebral haemorrhage outcomes in patients with obesity

I. Iwuchukwu^{1,2}, N. Mahale³, J. Ryder⁴, B. Hsieh⁴, B. Jennings⁵, D. Nguyen³, K. Cornwell⁶, R. Beyl⁷, J. Zabaleta⁸ and M. Sothorn⁹

¹Department of Neurocritical Care, Neurology and Neurosurgery, Ochsner Medical Center/Ochsner Clinical School, University of Queensland, New Orleans, LA, USA; ²Neuroscience Center of Excellence, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, New Orleans, LA, USA; ³Institute of Translation Research, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA, USA; ⁴Ochsner Clinical School, University of Queensland, New Orleans, LA, USA; ⁵Department of Neurology, Ochsner Medical Center, New Orleans, LA, USA; ⁶School of Public Health, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA; ⁷Department of Biostatistics, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA; ⁸Department of Pediatrics and Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA, USA; ⁹Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine and School of Public Health, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA, USA

Received 21 February 2018; revised 18 March 2018; accepted 19 March 2018

Address for correspondence: Ifeanyi Iwuchukwu, Department of Neurocritical Care, Neurology and Neurosurgery, 1514 Jefferson Highway, New Orleans, LA 70130. E-mail: iiwuch@lsuhsc.edu

Summary

Objective

This study was conducted to determine the role of obesity and race in intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) outcomes.

Methods

The Get with the guideline-Stroke database was queried for all admitted patients with spontaneous ICH. Secondary causes of ICH were excluded. Body mass index (BMI) was classified using the Center for Disease Control guidelines. Race was classified as White or non-White. Demographics, clinical, imaging data were retrieved. Outcome measures were hematoma expansion at 24 h and discharge disposition.

Results

A total of 428 patients were included in our analysis. Female gender, past history of congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, HbA1c, blood pressure, ICH volume, ICH location, intraventricular haemorrhage and hospital length of stay deferred across BMI categories. On multivariate analysis, along with obese categories, age, ICH location and ICH volume were independent predictors of poor outcomes (hematoma expansion and poor discharge disposition). After adjusting for these variables, obesity remained a predictor of poor disposition outcome compared with normal and overweight subjects; Normal vs. Obese OR 0.26 CI 0.115–0.593 $p = 0.0014$; Obese vs. Overweight OR 3.79 CI 1.68–8.52 $p = 0.0013$. Nonetheless, obesity did not influence hematoma expansion. Overall, BMI-race classification did not influence outcomes. However, among non-Whites, the obese category had higher odds of a poor disposition outcome than normal (OR 6.84 CI 2.12–22.22 $p = 0.0013$) or overweight (OR 8.45 CI 2.6–27.49 $p = 0.0004$) categories.

Conclusion

An obesity paradox in ICH was not observed in our cohort. In the non-White population, patients with obesity were likely to be associated with poor disposition outcome. Similar findings were not observed in White population.

Keywords: intracerebral haemorrhage, obesity, outcomes, racial diversity.

Introduction

Obesity is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease including acute cerebrovascular disease – acute ischemic and haemorrhagic strokes (1). Links between obesity and stroke are well-established (1). Stroke is the fifth leading cause of death in the United States and

constitutes a leading cause of chronic disability. Conversely, several reports show that obesity is associated with better outcomes following several acute conditions – heart failure, carotid endarterectomy, sepsis, bypass surgery and vascular surgery (2–5). Similar reports of better outcomes in patients with obesity following ischemic and haemorrhagic strokes in the literature remain

controversial (6–10). The debates surrounding the concept of obesity paradox in ‘stroke’ include factors such as definition, classification and duration of obesity and the influence of race and racial diversity in obesity (11,12). Of these, race is the most poorly understood.

Compared with White populations, the African–American populations of the United States have a higher incidence of obesity and are more likely to have worse outcomes (13). Obesity along with several other factors likely play a role in outcome differences between races following acute stroke (14,15). However, it remains unclear if outcomes will differ in patients with obesity of different races following an acute intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH).

Several studies have explored outcomes of patients with obesity following ischemic stroke in the literature (7,11,12). Nonetheless, studies on the outcomes of patients with obesity following ICH are limited (10). Second, most reports on obesity paradox in ‘stroke’ do not account for racial diversity in their study. One study from South Korea on outcome of obese patients following spontaneous ICH primarily represents patients of Asian descent (10). Thus, a study within the stroke belt of the United States with a racially diverse population was conducted to determine and compare the outcomes of patients with obesity following ICH among White and non-White populations. This study explores the hypothesis that outcomes will differ between White and non-White patients with obesity following acute ICH.

Methods

The Get with the guideline–Stroke database was queried for all patients admitted to Ochsner Medical Center, New Orleans, LA; during the period 1 November 2012 to 31 March 2016. The Get with the guideline–Stroke database is a mandated database of all acute stroke patients by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations presenting to primary and comprehensive certified stroke centres in the United States. All patients admitted with a diagnosis of ICH were identified for inclusion in the study. Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years, symptom onset within 24 h and ICH confirmed on computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging. Exclusion criteria included patients with prior admissions for ICH, haemorrhagic conversion of ischemic strokes, traumatic brain injury, primary or secondary brain malignancy, post-operative intracranial haemorrhages, vascular malformations and venous sinus thrombosis.

Data on demographics, medical history, clinical, laboratory, imaging characteristics and discharge disposition were collected.

- Imaging – All neuroimaging studies of all patients for initial and follow up CT studies were reviewed. Routinely, a follow-up head CT is obtained at 6-h intervals until hematoma size is stable and/or at 18–24 h. Data on hematoma volume, location and the presence of intraventricular haemorrhage with Graeb scores were collected. ICH volume was calculated using the ABC/2 formula, a previously validated formula for estimating hematoma volume (16). The Graeb score is a 12-point scale based on gross haemorrhage size and dilatation of the ventricles, higher scores representing worse intraventricular haemorrhage (17). Hematoma location was classified as Deep (thalamic and basal ganglia) nuclei, cerebellum, brainstem and lobar. Hematoma expansion is defined as an increase in hematoma volume by $\geq 30\%$ on follow up 24 h neuroimaging.
- Discharge disposition – All records of discharge locations were reviewed and documented as (1) home with self-care or outpatient therapy; (2) inpatient acute rehabilitation; (3) skilled nursing facility; (4) long-term acute care facility; or (5) deceased. A discharge disposition to home and inpatient acute rehabilitation was classified as a good outcome, while discharge to a skilled nursing, long-term acute care facilities and death were classified as poor outcome. Previous studies have demonstrated and validated the discharge disposition as an outcome measure for ICH (18–20).
- Body mass index (BMI) and obesity classification – Patients with ICH were admitted to the neuroscience intensive care unit at Ochsner Medical Center, New Orleans, LA. On admission, the patients were weighed and their height measured using a flexible tape by the nursing staff. The BMI was then calculated using the formula $\text{weight}(\text{kg})/\text{height}(\text{m})^2$. BMI was classified using the Center for Disease Control guidelines.
- Statistical analysis – Initial analysis was conducted to determine if the means or proportions of various covariates differed between BMI categories. Pearson’s chi-squared statistic was used to test for differences between the categorical variables while *t* tests were used to analyse the continuous variables. Further analysis used generalized linear logistic models to investigate the odds ratios for combinations of race and BMI category. For these models, the discharge disposition code was expressed as a dichotomous outcome of good (discharge home and inpatient acute rehabilitation disposition) and poor (skilled nursing, long-term acute care facilities and death) outcomes. Similarly, change in hematoma volume was measured as a

Table 1 Baseline and clinical characteristics

BMI (kg/m ²)	<18.5	18.5–24.9	25–29.9	30–34.9	≥35	P-value
<i>n</i>	15	131	138	68	76	
Race, White (%)	6(40.00)	69(52.67)	76(55.07)	30(44.12)	37(48.68)	0.5185
Gender, female (%)	11(73.33)	74(56.49)	57(41.30)	32(47.06)	40(52.63)	0.0389
Age, median, (SD), mean, years	67.91(19.67),63.00	64.02(16.44),65.00	65.38(14.75),65.50	62.92(14.75),64.00	59.91(14.05),58.00	0.108
Hypertension, (%)	13(82.86)	101(77.10)	119(86.86)	55(80.88)	69(90.79)	0.0543
DM, (%)	2(14.29)	27(20.61)	44(32.12)	19(27.94)	29(38.16)	0.0444
CHF, (%)	1(7.14)	7(5.34)	9(6.57)	3(4.41)	17(22.37)	0.0002
Hyperlipidemia, (%)	3(21.43)	35(26.72)	50(36.50)	19(27.94)	33(43.42)	0.0756
CKD, (%)	0(0)	14(10.69)	17(12.41)	6(8.82)	7(9.21)	0.6375
Prior Stroke, (%)	2(14.29)	27(20.61)	35(25.55)	12(17.65)	18(23.68)	0.6433
Smoking, (%)	7(46.67)	47(35.88)	40(28.99)	17(25.00)	18(23.68)	0.1687
Prior use of antiplatelets, (%)	3(20.00)	31(23.66)	26(18.84)	6(8.82)	14(18.42)	0.1641
Prior use of anticoagulant, (%)	2(13.33)	11(8.40)	18(13.04)	10(14.71)	17(22.37)	0.0872
Admission SBP, median, (SD) mmHg	185.5(60.50),180.0	171.7(65.69),168.0	177.4(40.26),175.0	188.7(40.56),181.0	190.2(40.33),186.5	0.0054
Admission glucose, mean mg/dl	126.7(45.58)	150.4(60.91)	155.7(80.13)	157.6(77.10)	164.1(83.12)	0.4135
HbA1c, mean, (SD) (%)	5.74(0.72)	5.92(1.46)	6.17(1.48)	6.27(1.37)	6.61(1.72)	0.0315
Mechanical ventilation, (%)	5(33.33)	69(52.67)	70(50.72)	26(38.24)	30(39.47)	0.1161
ICH Location						
Deep nuclei, (%)	10(66.67)	63(48.09)	72(52.17)	27(39.71)	42(55.26)	0.2112
Cerebellum, (%)	1(6.67)	8(6.11)	17(12.32)	8(11.76)	10(13.16)	0.3857
Brainstem, (%)	1(6.67)	6(4.58)	11(7.97)	5(7.35)	5(6.58)	0.8512
Lobar, (%)	5(33.33)	59(45.04)	53(38.41)	32(47.06)	25(32.89)	0.3174
Intraventricular haemorrhage, (%)	9(60.00)	68(52.31)	74(53.62)	27(39.71)	30(39.47)	0.1085
ICH volume, mean, (SD) mL	38.03(42.53)	41.21(50.02)	38.51(47.07)	41.08(56.62)	26.29(35.69)	0.2489
24 h ICH volume, mean, (SD), mL	38.61(44.86)	48.09(57.06)	39.74(51.06)	45.27(69.51)	24.99(28.53)	0.0823
Greab score, mean, (SD)	2.80(3.59)	3.24(3.95)	3.01(3.89)	2.72(3.92)	2.20(3.32)	0.4161
>30% Hematoma expansion, <i>n</i> (%) (poor outcome)	1(7.14)	21(19.09)	27(23.89)	5(9.43)	16(24.62)	0.1259
Hospital LOS, mean, (SD), days	10.47(7.99)	14.34(18.44)	10.75(10.11)	9.60(8.96)	12.93(14.09)	0.1102
Disposition						
Home/rehab, (%) (good outcome)	8(53.33)	60(45.80)	61(44.20)	45(66.18)	46(60.59)	0.0113
SNF/LTAC/hospice or death, (%) (poor outcome)	7(46.67)	71(54.20)	77(55.80)	23(33.82)	30(39.47)	

BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; LOS, length of stay; LTAC, long-term acute care; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SNF, skilled nursing facility.

dichotomous outcome: a change less than 30% vs. change greater than or equal to 30%. In both models, odds ratios were adjusted for age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, HbA1c, congestive heart failure (CHF), primary hematoma location, admission blood pressure and ICH volume.

Results

A total of 563 patients diagnosed with ICH were identified in our Get with the guideline-Stroke database. Of these, 135 were excluded (34 incomplete data, 21 haemorrhagic transformation of acute ischemic stroke, 20 isolated intraventricular haemorrhages, 14 traumatic ICH, 10 post-operative haemorrhage following brain tumour resection, 7 subacute/chronic ICH, 7 vascular malformations, 6 haemorrhagic brain tumours, 3 subarachnoid haemorrhage, 3 subdural hematoma, 2 parenchymal calcifications and 8 with no 'in-house' imaging). Four hundred and twenty eight patients were included in the disposition outcome analysis (good vs. poor). Seventy-three patients did not have a follow up 24 h neuroimaging and were not included in the hematoma expansion outcome analysis (>30% increase in hematoma volume) but were included

in the disposition outcome analysis. However, results based on disposition outcome were similar with or without the inclusion of the 73 patients without a follow up CT imaging at 24 h.

Of the 428 patients, 50% were female gender, 49.1% were non-White (43.7% African American, 0.9% Asian, 1.9% non-White Hispanic and 2.6% others), and the White population constituted of non-Hispanic Whites. Except for the underweight category, the frequency of White patients decreased with increasing BMI category; however, this was not statistically significant (Table 1).

The initial results show that several of the variables were different between the BMI categories. Gender, histories of CHF and diabetes mellitus (DM) were different between BMI categories. The other variables that were different related to blood pressure measurements and HbA1c. ICH volume, ICH location, intraventricular haemorrhage and hospital length of stay did not differ between BMI categories. Good outcome discharge disposition differed significantly across BMI categories; however, there was no difference in hematoma expansion across BMI categories (Table 1). Overall comparison of the White and non-White populations showed age, admission systolic blood pressure, anticoagulant use and

Table 2 Baseline characteristic comparison between White and non-Whites

Variable	Non-White	White	P-value
<i>n</i>	208	215	
Gender, female, <i>n</i> (%)	107(51.44)	104(48.37)	0.5278
Age, years, mean, (SD)	60.48(14.67)	66.79(15.56)	0.0000
Admission systolic blood pressure, mmhg, mean, (SD)	186.1(37.82)	174.7(40.84)	0.0034
Admission glucose in mg/dl	152.7(74.66)	157.0(73.84)	0.5516
Haemoglobin A1c, % mean (SD)	6.25(1.60)	6.13(1.42)	0.4276
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	184(89.32)	168(78.14)	0.0019
Diabetes mellitus, <i>n</i> (%)	63(30.58)	58(26.98)	0.4138
Congestive heart failure, <i>n</i> (%)	18(8.74)	18(8.37)	0.8933
Hyperlipidemia, <i>n</i> (%)	58(28.16)	78(36.28)	0.0748
Chronic kidney disease, <i>n</i> (%)	23(11.17)	20(9.30)	0.5281
Prior stroke, <i>n</i> (%)	50(24.27)	39(18.14)	0.1234
Smoking history, <i>n</i> (%)	59(28.37)	68(31.63)	0.4643
Antiplatelet agents, <i>n</i> (%)	31(14.90)	48(22.33)	0.0502
Anticoagulant usage, <i>n</i> (%)	19(9.13)	37(17.21)	0.0143
Mechanical ventilation within 72 h, <i>n</i> (%)	94(45.19)	101(46.98)	0.7128
Positive blood cultures, <i>n</i> (%)	10(12.66)	10(13.89)	0.8237
Deep nuclei, <i>n</i> (%)	113(54.33)	100(46.51)	0.1080
Cerebellum, <i>n</i> (%)	24(11.54)	19(8.84)	0.3580
Brainstem, <i>n</i> (%)	7(3.37)	19(8.84)	0.0192
Lobar, <i>n</i> (%)	74(35.58)	98(45.58)	0.0362
Intraventricular haemorrhage, <i>n</i> (%)	98(47.12)	106(49.53)	0.6193
Graeb score, mean, (SD)	2.89(3.87)	2.87(3.75)	0.9489
Hematoma volume, mL, mean (SD)	32.84(43.65)	41.08(51.03)	0.0755
>30% Intracerebral hematoma expansion, <i>n</i> (%)	37(21.1)	32(18.1)	0.4856
Hospital length of stay, (days)	12.79(13.56)	11.50(14.03)	0.3367
Poor disposition, <i>n</i> (%)	95 (45.67)	108 (50.23)	0.3481

ICH location were significantly different between the groups (Table 2).

Table 3 Logistic model of outcomes

a: Disposition poor outcome			
	Estimate	Standard error	P-value
Race (Non-White)	0.1156	1.3256	0.7461
BMI (normal)	-0.5739	0.919	0.0112
BMI (obese)	0.1854	0.9854	0.8509
BMI (overweight)	0.3442	0.9083	0.705
BMI (severe obese)	0.01668	0.9484	0.986
Age	0.02725	0.009011	0.0027
Hypertension	0.2848	0.3521	0.419
Diabetes mellitus	0.4082	0.2726	0.1352
Congestive heart failure	0.9076	0.4432	0.412
ICH primary location*	1.456	0.4887	0.0031
Admission SBP	0.000838	0.00764	0.4981
ICH volume	0.03535	0.004711	<0.0001
b: >30% Hematoma expansion outcome			
Race (Non-White)	11.6962	331.29	0.9767
BMI (normal)	0.2402	1,199	0.8413
BMI (obese)	1.1277	1.3689	0.4107
BMI (overweight)	-0.08887	1.1824	0.9401
BMI (severe obese)	-0.9623	1.2056	0.4253
Age	-0.02428	0.01106	0.0289
Hypertension	1.0485	0.4061	0.0103
Diabetes mellitus	-0.3085	0.3225	0.3395
Congestive heart failure	0.1584	0.5107	0.7566
ICH primary location*	-0.7772	0.5907	0.1892
Admission SBP	0.005518	0.006265	0.3791
ICH volume	-0.00313	0.003502	0.3721

BMI, body mass index, ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Reference ICH primary location – brainstem.

As expected, logistic analysis demonstrated age (Estimate 0.027 SE 0.009 $p = 0.0027$), ICH location (Estimate 1.456 SE 0.488 $p = 0.0031$), and ICH volume (Estimate 0.035 SE 0.005 $p = <0.0001$) as independent predictors of poor disposition outcomes. In addition, there was a significant effect of normal BMI category on poor disposition outcomes (Estimate -0.574 SE 0.919 $p = 0.0112$). Only age (Estimate -0.024 SE 0.011 $p = 0.029$) and hypertension (Estimate 1.048 SE 0.406 $p = 0.01$) were independent predictors of hematoma expansion outcome (Table 3). Subsequently, data analyses were adjusted for age, hypertension, DM, CHF, blood pressure and ICH volume, and differences in odds ratios for poor outcomes in BMI category were determined. Results demonstrated that patients with obesity were significantly more likely to have a poor disposition outcome as compared with both normal and overweight subjects – Normal vs. Obese OR 0.26 CI 0.115–0.593 $p = 0.0014$; Obese vs. Overweight OR 3.79 CI 1.68–8.52 $p = 0.0013$ (Table 4).

Overall, race did not influence disposition or hematoma expansion (>30% increase in ICH volume) outcomes. However, within the non-White population, the obese category (BMI 30 – <34.9 kg/m²) had higher odds of a poor disposition outcome than normal weight (OR 6.84 CI 2.12–22.22 $p = 0.0013$) and overweight categories (OR 8.45 CI 2.6–27.49 $p = 0.0004$). Similar odds were not observed in other BMI category comparisons in the non-White population or in any BMI category in the White population (Table 5).

Discussion

The obesity paradox was not detected in this ICH cohort. On the contrary, obesity was associated with poor disposition outcomes. However, no relationship between obesity and 24-h hematoma expansion was observed.

Table 4 Odds ratios comparing BMI categories and disposition outcome

Differences of BMI category least square means				
BMI category	Odds ratio	Lower confidence limit for odds ratio	Upper confidence limit for odds ratio	P-value
Normal vs. Obese	0.261	0.115	0.593	0.0014
Normal vs. Overweight	0.99	0.554	1.770	0.9739
Normal vs. Severely Obese	0.582	0.285	1.189	0.137
Normal vs. Underweight	0.517	0.133	2.013	0.3409
Obese vs. Overweight	3.787	1.683	8.521	0.0013
Obese vs. Severe Obese	2.224	0.910	5.437	0.0794
Obese vs. Underweight	1.979	0.457	8.576	0.3607
Overweight vs. Severely Obese	0.587	0.294	1.174	0.1317
Overweight vs. Underweight	0.522	0.135	2.029	0.3475
Severely Obese vs. Underweight	0.89	0.216	3.666	0.8711

Table 5 Within race odds ratio for outcomes

a: Within race odds ratio comparison for disposition outcome				
	Odds ratio	Lower confidence limit for odds ratio	Upper confidence limit for odds ratio	P-value
White population				
Obese vs. Normal	2.14	0.69	6.58	0.1854
Obese vs. Overweight	0.59	0.56	5.14	0.3473
Non-White population				
Obese vs. Normal	6.85	2.12	22.22	0.0013
Obese vs. Overweight	8.45	2.6	27.49	0.0004
b: Within race ratio comparison hematoma expansion (>30%) outcome				
White population				
Obese vs. Normal	2.43	0.45	12.99	0.2996
Obese vs. Overweight	3.38	0.64	17.73	0.1501
Non-White population				
Obese vs. Normal	1.93	0.47	7.87	0.3569
Obese vs. Overweight	3.04	0.77	12.05	0.1131

Additionally, although race did not influence overall study results, within the non-White population obesity was associated with poor hospital discharge disposition outcome, a result that was not observed in patients with normal weight and overweight. Interestingly, this observation was not detected in the White population group.

The vast majority of studies on obesity and ICH outcomes have focused primarily on ischemic stroke, and in some, in combination with haemorrhagic strokes (6,7,9,11–13). A single study on obesity and ICH outcomes was predominantly composed of an Asian population (10). In this study, the non-White population were predominantly African American (89.1%); hence, the findings may only be applicable to the White population and populations of African descent. The difference in outcomes when comparisons were performed within each race group was unexpected. C-reactive protein and IL-6 are inflammatory markers that have been widely studied. Several studies demonstrated higher markers of inflammation in 'Blacks' compared with White populations. However, adjusting for socio-demographic and vascular risk factors (including obesity) attenuated the difference in inflammatory markers (21,22). This is not surprising, since obesity is associated with a chronic low-grade inflammation due to release of cytokines from adipocytes (23). Despite the known differences in inflammatory markers in patients with and without obesity (24), it is unknown if similar differences exist in White vs. non-White patients with obesity or between patients with obesity and normal weight patients within each race group.

Based on this study, a postulation is that obesity in non-Whites likely has a higher detrimental impact on outcomes following ICH compared with the White population.

As previously reported, the timing of measured outcomes following an index acute illness influences study results (11,12). Dehlendorff et al. reported the absence of an obesity paradox after stroke if the outcomes were measured within 1 week or 1 month of acute stroke (12). However, this study included patients with both ischemic and haemorrhagic strokes and was conducted in a predominantly White Scandinavian population. In this study, the primary outcomes measured are directly related to acute ICH (disposition at hospital discharge and hematoma expansion >30%). Similar to previous reports on outcomes close to the ictus, no obesity paradox on outcomes following ICH was observed at the time of hospital discharge.

Studies on obesity and acute illness are frequently fraught with methodological limitations in measuring obesity. It is well described that waist circumference and waist-hip ratio are more reflective and sensitive in predicting outcomes than BMI (25). ICH is an acute illness and in most cases, patients are critically ill which likely precludes detailed anthropometric measurements. In this study, we classified patients with Classes II and III obesity separately as 'severe obesity' (BMI >35.0 kg/m²). Previous studies have shown that BMI >35.0 kg/m² correlates with higher waist circumference above cut off predictive values (1,26). However, after adjusting for confounding variables such as age, DM and hypertension, there was no difference in outcomes. The possibility that the relative younger age in this group likely impacted on the aggressiveness of clinical management in the study cohort cannot be excluded.

This study has several strengths. This is the first report on the role of obesity on ICH outcomes with a relatively high number of African Americans. Second, this study separated the severe obese category (>35.0 kg/m²) who historically are known to carry a higher risk for cardiovascular disease. Third, selected outcomes (hematoma expansion >30% and hospital disposition) reflect the primary disease process, ICH. However, there are limitations to the results of this study. Despite the relative large sample size, the retrospective nature of our study renders our conclusions observational. Poor records limited detailed analysis of some variables – images from patients referred to our institution to compare ICH volume changes, time of symptom onset and last known normal. Second, prior studies have demonstrated the superiority of other anthropometric measurements over BMI, such as waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and body fat by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. In this study cohort,

these measurements were not routinely obtained and are limited by the acuity of the patients particularly due to critically raised intracranial pressure, cerebral edema with or without brain herniation at presentation. Third, despite adjusting for premorbid conditions such as hypertension, CHF and DM, the severity of these premorbid conditions using tools such as the Charlson comorbidity index was not available in the study and not measured. Hence, the ability to independently ascribe ICH outcomes to the presence of obesity alone is limited. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, the methods of obtaining height measurements by the nursing staff may be limited by factors such as patient acuity on presentation and ongoing resuscitative efforts. Lastly, the non-inclusion of the 73 (17%) patients without a follow up CT head for the outcome analysis of hematoma expansion may have created a selection bias. However, to further minimize the effect of selection bias on outcomes, they were included in the disposition outcome analysis report while the analysis excluding these patients (not reported) obtained similar results. Regardless of these limitations, the findings are unique and illustrate the need to further examine the role of excess adiposity and race on ICH acute outcomes.

In conclusion, this study did not detect an obesity paradox following ICH. Conversely, obesity was associated with poor disposition outcomes following ICH particularly in the non-White, but not White population. Finally, neither obesity nor race influenced hematoma expansion. Prospective research is needed to examine the role of excess adiposity and race on both acute and long-term health outcomes following ICH.

Funding

Supported in part by 1U54 GM104940 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health, which funds the Louisiana Clinical and Translational Science Center. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017; **135**: e146–e603.
2. Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, et al. Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. *The Lancet* 2006; **368**: 666–678.
3. Wang HE, Griffin R, Judd S, Shapiro NI, Safford MM. Obesity and risk of sepsis: a population-based cohort study. *Obesity* 2013; **21**: E762–E769.
4. Fonarow GC, Srikanthan P, Costanzo MR, Cintron GB, Lopatin M, ADHERE Scientific Advisory Committee and Investigators. An obesity paradox in acute heart failure: analysis of body mass index and in-hospital mortality for 108,927 patients in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry. *Am Heart J* 2007; **153**: 74–81.
5. Hastie CE, Padmanabhan S, Slack R, et al. Obesity paradox in a cohort of 4880 consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J* 2010; **31**: 222–226.
6. Andersen KK, Andersen KK, Olsen TS, Olsen TS. Body mass index and stroke: overweight and obesity less often associated with stroke recurrence. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013; **22**: e576–e581.
7. Andersen KK, Olsen TS. The obesity paradox in stroke: lower mortality and lower risk of readmission for recurrent stroke in obese stroke patients. *Int J Stroke* 2015; **10**: 99–104.
8. Zhao L, Zhao L, Du W, et al. Favorable functional recovery in overweight ischemic stroke survivors: findings from the China National Stroke Registry. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014; **23**: e201–e206.
9. Doehner W, Schenkel J, Anker SD, Springer J, Audebert HJ. Overweight and obesity are associated with improved survival, functional outcome, and stroke recurrence after acute stroke or transient ischaemic attack: observations from the TEMPiS trial. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**: 268–277.
10. Kim BJ, Lee S-H, Ryu WS, Kim CK, Lee J, Yoon B-W. Paradoxical longevity in obese patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2011; **76**: 567–573.
11. Oesch L, Tatlisumak T, Arnold M, Sarikaya H. Obesity paradox in stroke – myth or reality? A systematic review. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0171334.
12. Dehlendorff C, Andersen KK, Olsen TS. Body mass index and death by stroke: no obesity paradox. *JAMA Neurol* 2014; **71**: 978–984.
13. Strazzullo P, D'Elia L, Cairella G, Garbagnati F, Cappuccio FP, Scalfi L. Excess body weight and incidence of stroke: meta-analysis of prospective studies with 2 million participants. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: e418–e426.
14. van Rooy M-J, Pretorius E. Obesity, hypertension and hypercholesterolemia as risk factors for atherosclerosis leading to ischemic events. *Curr Med Chem* 2014; **21**: 2121–2129.
15. Howard VJ. Reasons underlying racial differences in stroke incidence and mortality. *Stroke* 2013; **44**: S126–S128.
16. Qureshi AI, Tuhim S, Broderick JP, Batjer HH, Hondo H, Hanley DF. Spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 1450–1460.
17. Graeb DA, Robertson WD, Lapointe JS, Nugent RA, Harrison PB. Computed tomographic diagnosis of intraventricular hemorrhage. Etiology and prognosis. *Radiology* 1982; **143**: 91–96.
18. Kramer AH, Zygun DA. Declining mortality in neurocritical care patients: a cohort study in Southern Alberta over eleven years. *Can J Anaesth* 2013; **60**: 966–975.
19. Tseng H-P, Lin F-J, Chen P-T, et al. Derivation and validation of a discharge disposition predicting model after acute stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015; **24**: 1179–1186.
20. Boehme AK, Hays AN, Kicieliński KP, et al. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome and outcomes in intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurocrit Care* 2016; **25**: 133–140.

21. Cushman M, McClure LA, Howard VJ, Jenny NS, Lakoski SG, Howard G. Implications of increased c-reactive protein for cardiovascular risk stratification in Black and White men and women in the US. *Clin Chem* 2009; **55**: 1627–1636.
22. Paalani M, Lee JW, Haddad E, Tonstad S. Determinants of Inflammatory markers in a bi-ethnic population. *Ethn Dis* 2011; **21**: 142–149.
23. Anfossi G, Russo I, Doronzo G, Pomero A, Trovati M. Adipocytokines in atherothrombosis: focus on platelets and vascular smooth muscle cells. *Mediators Inflamm* 2010; **2010**: 1–26.
24. Wildman RP, Kaplan R, Manson JE, et al. Body size phenotypes and inflammation in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *Obesity* 2011; **19**: 1482–1491.
25. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. body mass index is inversely related to mortality in older people after adjustment for waist circumference. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005; **53**: 2112–2118.
26. Executive summary of the clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. *Arch Intern Med* 1998; **158**: 1855–1867.