Original Investigation

# Association of rs2228570 Polymorphism of Vitamin D Receptor Gene with Lumbar Degenerative Disc Disease

Selçuk OZDOGAN1, Cumhur Kaan YALTIRIK2, Seda GULEC YILMAZ3, Ayhan KOCAK1, Turgay ISBIR3

- <sup>1</sup>Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, Neurosurgery Clinic, Istanbul, Turkey
- <sup>2</sup>Yeditepe University, School of Medicine, Department of Neurosurgery, Istanbul, Turkey
- <sup>3</sup>Yeditepe University, School of Medicine, Department of Molecular Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

## **ABSTRACT**

**AIM:** Lumbar degenerative disc disease (LDDD) is the most common cause of low back pain (LBP) and sciatica. The vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene, which is located on chromosome 12 (12q12–q14), was the first gene reported to be potentially related to intervertebral degenerative disc disease risks. We conducted a case-control study of a Turkish population and investigated the association between the VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism and the development of LDDD.

**MATERIAL** and **METHODS:** This was a prospective case-control study that included 45 patients with LDDD and 49 healthy individuals (control group). The clinical investigations of the LDDD patients consisted of neurological examinations, lumbar magnetic resonance imaging studies, visual analog scale (VAS) scores, and Oswestry Disability Index scores. The VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism was analyzed via a real-time polymerase chain reaction.

**RESULTS:** Individuals with the VDR GG genotype had a significantly increased risk of LDDD, while those with the AG genotype had a significantly decreased risk. In addition, the A allele may have a protective effect against LDDD in the Turkish population. Moreover, the VAS pain results showed that the GG genotype had a significantly higher score than the others.

**CONCLUSION:** VDR rs2228570 AG genotype is at a decreased risk and the GG genotype is at an increased risk of LDDD in the Turkish population. Since genetic polymorphisms often show ethnic differences, further functional studies are needed to evaluate the genotype and phenotype correlations in large cohorts of various ethnicities.

KEYWORDS: Fokl polymorphism, Lumbar degenerative disc disease, Vitamin D receptor

# ■ INTRODUCTION

umbar degenerative disc disease (LDDD) is the most common cause of low back pain (LBP) and sciatica. Moreover, the degenerative process has been identified as multifactorial, irreversible, and associated with mechanical dysfunction (13). LBP is mainly caused by lumbar disc disease and affects more than 50% of the population during their lifetimes, while the lifetime incidence of sciatica varies from 13% to 40% (3,7,16). Some studies have shown that 20% of LDDD patients require surgical treatment due to persistent or aggrevated leg pain (6,9). LBP generally leads to reduced

physical activity and a decreased quality of life; therefore, it brings with it serious economic pressure on society with the overall loss of work (1).

The vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene, which is located on chromosome 12 (12q12–q14), was the first gene reported to be potentially related to the intervertebral degenerative disc disease risk. It is believed to play critical roles in the mechanisms of chondrocyte proliferation and bone mineralization and remodeling (22,23). The VDR is an endocrine member of the nuclear receptor superfamily of steroid hormones and acts as a ligand-activated transcription factor (5). VDR polymorphisms



**Corresponding author:** Selcuk OZDOGAN **E-mail:** drselcukozdogan@hotmail.com

are thought to affect degenerative disc disease, osteoarthritis, and osteoporosis (4).

Based on the abovementioned information, we conducted a case-control study in a Turkish population and investigated the association between the VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism and the development of LDDD.

#### ■ MATERIAL and METHODS

### **Study Population**

This was a prospective case-control study that included 94 individuals. All of the participants were selected from the Neurosurgery Department of Yeditepe University in Istanbul, Turkey. There were 45 patients with a diagnosis of LDDD. The clinical investigations of the LDDD patients consisted of neurological examinations, lumbar magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies, visual analog scale (VAS) scores to define the pain level, and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores. The inclusion criteria were leg pain and LBP as a result of lumbar radiculopathy, and lumbar intervertebral disc protrusion as diagnosed via MRI. The exclusion criteria were trauma, infections, congenital anomalies, oncological pathologies, osteoporosis, spinal stenosis, spondylolisthesis, vertebral fractures, and spinal deformities. Each patient was questioned about their level of pain, which was scored using the VAS, and questioned about their quality of life, which was scored using the ODI. A total of 49 healthy individuals were selected for the control group.

The study was approved by Yeditepe University Ethical Committee with the decision number 729 in 24-May-2017. The clinical data of the patients were recorded and followedup prospectively, while the demographic characteristics of the patients and controls were obtained from the medical records of the subjects. Informed consent was obtained from all of the patients.

#### **Genetic Analysis**

The peripheral blood samples were collected into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes. The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction was performed using an iPrep Purification Instrument (Invitrogen, Life Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) with 350 µl of peripheral blood and an iPrep PureLink gDNA blood isolation kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The isolated DNA samples were then measured with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) using a 1.7–1.9 optical density range for the genotyping and final sample concentrations diluted to approximately 100 ng/µl. The VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism genotyping was performed using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the TaqMan Genotyping Assay, TaqMan Genotyping Master Mix (TagMan Reagents, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and 100 ng of sample DNA. The reaction mixture and conditions were used as recommended by the manufacturer: 10 minutes at 95°C for the holding stage, 40 cycles of 15 seconds each at 92°C for the denaturation, and

60 seconds at 60°C for the annealing/extension. The allelic discrimination of the samples was done by collecting and interpreting the fluorescent signals of the hybridization probes using the software from the 7500 Fast Real-Time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) instrument.

#### Statistical Analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The significant differences between the groups according to genotypes were examined with the chi squared and Fisher's exact tests, and the comparisons of the demographic information were evaluated with the Student's t-test. The statistical significance level was p<0.05.

#### ■ RESULTS

Our analysis included 45 LDDD patients and 49 healthy individuals as a control group. The demographic characteristics of the two groups and values of the VAS and ODI scores are shown in Tables I, II. The mean ages of the patients with LDDD and the healthy controls were 37.33±8.26 years and 34.81±4.53 years, respectively. No significant differences were found between the LDDD and control groups in terms of the mean ages (p=0.068). The gender frequency was 46.7% male and 53.3% female for the patients and 38.8% male and 61.2% female for the controls. There were no significant differences with regard to gender (p=0.44).

The allele and genotype frequencies for the VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism in the patients with LDDD and the control group are shown in Table III. The VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl genotype frequency comparison between the patients and controls was statistically significant (p=0.011). The GG genotype frequency was significantly higher in the patients than in the control group (p=0.011), while the AG genotype frequency was significantly higher in the control group than in the patients (p=0.038).

Our results indicated that those individuals with the GG genotype had a significantly increased risk of LDDD, and those with the AG genotype had a significantly decreased risk. Therefore, carrying an A allele may have a protective effect against LDDD in the Turkish population.

We also evaluated the relationships of the VAS and ODI scores between the patient genotypes and found that the VAS score was significantly higher in the GG genotype (Table IV). Although there were no significant differences between the ODI scores and patient genotypes, it is worth noting that the lowest value was found with the GG genotype (Table V).

#### DISCUSSION

LBP is a common disability-causing musculoskeletal problem, and it is the primary cause of activity limitation and work absence throughout the world (25). Intervertebral disc degeneration (IDD) is considered to be the primary cause of LBP, which is an irreversible process within the second decade via molecular changes in the nucleus pulposus (12). The results of this process are the weakened loading ability of the vertebral column or compression and decreased disc height (15). In addition, the upregulation of the degradation systems, like apoptosis, inflammation and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), cause further damage (20). Genomic research has investigated many genetic polymorphisms, such as MMP, VDR, collagen, apoptosis factors, aggrecans, and interleukins, which have been attributed to an increased risk of developing IDD (10,14,19,29).

The VDR gene, which is located on chromosome 12 (12g12q14) is the first gene reported to be potentially related to an IDD risk (22,23). The VDR is a nuclear receptor for the vitamin D metabolite 1,25-dihydroxy-vitamin D3. Moreover, the function of the VDR has been hypothesized to be an indirect mechanism for the proliferation of chondrocytes and their effects on proteoglycans (27). Taql (rs731236), Fokl (rs2228570), and Apal (rs7975232) are examples of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) that affect the expression and function of the VDR in degenerative disc disease (2,6,10,14,21,23,26,27).

The Fokl (rs228570) polymorphism is responsible for a substitution at exon 2 of the VDR gene. As a result of this substitution, the methionine amino acid is translated to threonine, which could finally affect the efficacy of the VDR (8). One study reported that a shorter VDR polypeptide was related to the wild-type A variant, which leads to normal VDR function, while the G substitution was attributed to reduced functioning (28).

Videman et al., who studied Finnish twins, reported that the mutant genotype was associated with a 9.3% reduced signal intensity when compared with the wild-type on MRI scans of the T6-S1 region in 1998 (23). Additionally, they found that the heterozygote genotype was associated with a 4.3% reduced signal intensity within the same region (23).

Kanna et al. studied the prevalence of intervertebral modic changes and genetic association of 41 candidate genes in a large Indian cohort and they found that the rs2228570 SNP of VDR (p=0.02) and rs17099008 SNP of matrix metalloproteinase

Table I: Demographic Characteristics of Patient and Control Groups

Parameter	Control group (n=49)	LDDD (n=45)	р
Age (years) (Mean±SD)	34.81±4.53	37.33±8.26	0.068
Gender (Male/Female)	19/30	21/24	0.44

Table II: Oswestry and VAS Scores of Patients

Score	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Oswestry	6	46	86
VAS	20	70	100

Table III: Genotype and Allele Frequencies Between Patient and Control Groups

Genotype rs2228570	Control group (n=49) n(%)	LDDD (n=45) n(%)	р	OR	95% CI
AA	6 (12.2%)	3 (6.7%)	0.35	0.521	0.120-2.182
AG	22 (44.9%)	11 (24.4%)	0.038*	0.397	0.164-0.960
GG	21 (42.9%)	31 (68.9%)	0.011*	2.952	1.265-6.891
Allele	Allelic Count n(%)				
Α	34 (34.69%)	17 (19.31%)	0.011*	0.339	0.145-0.791
G	64 (65.31%)	71 (80.39%)	0.49	1.953	0.458-8.325

Table IV: Evaluation of VAS Scores Between Genotypes within Patient Group

Genotype rs2228570	VAS (Mean±SD)	р
AA	43.33±15.27	0.13
AG	54.54±24.64	0.17
GG	67.41±21.59	0.037*

Table V: Evaluation of ODI Score Between Genotypes within **DLDD** Group

Genotype rs2228570	ODI score (Mean±SD)	р
AA	42.66±18.90	0.68
AG	42.09±21.43	0.32
GG	49.48±19.30	0.25

20 (p=0.03) were significantly associated with intervertebral modic changes in Indian population (11).

Nong et al. reported a meta-analysis about the association between vitamin D receptor, matrix metalloproteinase 3 (MMP-3) polymorphisms and the risk of intervertebral disc degeneration susceptibility and they found that Fokl. Apal polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor gene and MMP-3 polymorphism are not obvious risk factors for intervertebral disc degeneration (17). But they also concluded the study with the discussion that IDD is a multifactor disease determined by the synthetic effect and interactions between race, age, environment, gene and polymorphic loci of the same gene; these interactions may mask or magnify the function of the involved genes (17).

The Fokl SNP was not only associated with an increased severity of IDD, but also an increased risk of developing IDD, which is supported by other studies in the literature (2,6,24,28). Several Fokl SNP studies have reported that a genetic risk factor could also affect the severity of IDD (18,21,28). All of these data suggest that the Fokl polymorphism manifests differently in patients based on ethnicity or race. In our study, we found that the individuals with the VDR GG genotype had a significantly increased risk of LDDD, while those with the AG genotype had a significantly decreased risk, and that carrying an A allele might have a protective effect against LDDD in the Turkish population. We also analyzed the VAS pain scores between the patient genotypes, discovering that the GG genotype had a significantly higher score than the others.

# **■ CONCLUSION**

This study evaluated the relevance of the VDR gene rs2228570 Fokl polymorphism with regard to the risk of LDDD. Our results suggested that carrying the VDR rs2228570 A allele created a decreased risk of LDDD and might have a protective effect against the disease, while carrying the G allele increased the risk of LDDD. Since genetic polymorphisms often show ethnic differences, further functional studies are needed to evaluate the genotype and phenotype correlations in large cohorts of various ethnicities.

## **■** REFERENCES

- 1. Andersson GB: Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. Lancet 354: 581-585, 1999
- 2. Cervin Serrano S, Gonzalez Villareal D, Aguilar-Medina M, Romero-Navarro JG, Romero Quintana JG, Arambula Meraz E: Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin-1 alpha and the vitamin d receptor in Mexican mestizo patients with intervertebral disc degeneration. Int J Genomics 2014:302568, 2014
- 3. Cherkin DC, Deyo RA, Loeser JD, Bush T, Waddell G: An international comparison of back surgery rates. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 19:1201-1206, 1994
- 4. Cheung KM, Chan D, Karppinen J, Chen Y, Jim JJ, Yip SP, Ott J, Wong KK, Sham P, Luk KD, Cheah KS, Leong JC, Song YQ: Association of the Taq I allele in vitamin D receptor with degenerative disc disease and disc bulge in a Chinese population. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 31(10):1143-1148, 2006

- 5. Chou D. Samartzis D. Bellabarba C. Patel A. Luk KD. Kisser JM. Skelly AC: Degenerative magnetic resonance imaging changes in patients with chronic low back pain: A systematic review. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36:S43-53, 2011
- 6. Eser B. Cora T. Eser O. Kalkan E. Haktanir A. Erdogan MO. Solak M: Association of the polymorphisms of vitamin D receptor and aggrecan genes with degenerative disc disease. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 14(3):313-317, 2010
- 7. Frymover JW: Lumbar disk disease: Epidemiology. Instr Course Lect 41:217-223, 1992
- Gennari L, Becherini L, Mansani R, Masi L, Falchetti A, Morelli A, Colli E, Gonnelli S, Cepollaro C, Brandi ML: Fokl polymorphism at translation initiation site of the vitamin D receptor gene predicts bone mineral density and vertebral fractures in postmenopausal Italian women. J Bone Miner Res 14:1379-1386, 1999
- 9. Gu J, Guan F, Guan G, Xu G, Wang X, Zhao W, Ji Y, Yan J: Aggrecan variable number of tandem repeat polymorphism and lumbar disc degeneration: A meta-analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 38: E1600-1607, 2013
- 10. Hanaei S, Abdollahzade S, Khoshnevisan A, Kepler CK, Rezaei N: Genetic aspects of intervertebral disc degeneration. Rev Neurosci 26(5):581-606, 2015
- 11. Kanna RM, Shanmuganathan R, Raiagopalan VR, Natesan S, Muthuraia R, Cheung KMC, Chan D, Kao PYP, Yee A, Shetty AP: Prevalence, patterns, and genetic association analysis of modic vertebral endplate changes. Asian Spine J 11(4):594-600, 2017
- 12. Kepler CK, Ponnappan RK, Tannoury CA, Risbud MV, Anderson DG: The molecular basis of intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine J 13(3):318-330, 2013
- 13. Komori H, Shinomiya K, Nakai O, Yamaura I, Takeda S, Furuya K: The natural history of herniated nucleus pulposus with radiculopathy. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 21:225-229, 1996
- 14. Martirosyan NL, Patel AA, Carotenuto A, Kalani MY, Belykh E, Walker CT, Preul MC, Theodore N: Genetic alterations in intervertebral disc disease. Front Surg 3:59, 2016
- 15. Mayer JE, latridis JC, Chan D, Qureshi SA, Gottesman O, Hecht AC: Genetic polymorphisms associated with intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine J 13(3):299-317, 2013
- 16. McBeth J, Jones K: Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 21: 403-425, 2007
- 17. Nong L, Huang Y, Zhao S, Xu N: Vitamin D receptor gene, matrix metalloproteinase 3 polymorphisms and the risk of intervertebral disc degeneration susceptibility: Meta-analysis. Asian Spine J 10(5):964-971, 2016
- 18. Sansoni V, Perego S, Colombini A, Banfi G, Brayda-Bruno M, Lombardi G: Interplay between low plasma RANKL and VDR-Fokl polymorphism in lumbar disc herniation independently from age, body mass, and environmental factors: A casecontrol study in the Italian population. Eur Spine J 25(1):192-199, 2016
- 19. Sun Z, Yin Z, Liu C, Liang H, Jiang M, Tian J: IL-1beta promotes ADAMTS enzyme-mediated aggrecan degradation through NF-kappaB in human intervertebral disc. J Orthop Surg Res 10:159, 2015

- Sun ZM, Miao L, Zhang YG, Ming L: Association between the-1562 C/T polymorphism of matrix metalloproteinase-9 gene and lumbar disc disease in the young adult population in North China. Connect Tissue Res 50(3):181–185, 2009
- 21. Toktas ZO, Eksi MS, Yilmaz B, Demir MK, Ozgen S, Kilic T: Association of collagen I, IX and vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms with radiological severity of intervertebral disc degeneration in Southern European Ancestor. Eur Spine J 24(11):2432–2441, 2015
- 22. Uitterlinden AG, Fang Y, Van Meurs JB, Pols HA, Van Leeuwen JP: Genetics and biology of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms. Gene 338: 143-156, 2004
- Videman T, Leppävuori J, Kaprio J, Battié MC, Gibbons LE, Peltonen L, Koskenvuo M: Intragenic polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor gene associated with intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 23(23):2477-2485, 1998
- 24. Vieira LA, De Marchi PL, dos Santos AA, Christofolini DM, Barbosa CP, Fonseca FL: Analysis of Fokl polymorphism of vitamin D receptor gene in intervertebral disc degeneration. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 18(9):625–629, 2014

- 25. Woolf AD: The bone and joint decade 2000-2010. Ann Rheum Dis 59:81-82. 2000
- Xu G, Mei Q, Zhou D, Wu J, Han L: Vitamin D receptor gene and aggrecan gene polymorphisms and the risk of intervertebral disc degeneration—A meta-analysis. PLoS One 7(11):e50243, 2012
- 27. Yuan HY, Tang Y, Liang YX, Lei L, Xiao GB, Wang S: Matrix metalloproteinase-3 and vitamin d receptor genetic polymorphisms, and their interactions with occupational exposure in lumbar disc degeneration. J Occup Health 52(1):23–30, 2010
- Zhao J, Yang M, Shao J, Bai Y, Li M: Association between VDR Fokl polymorphism and intervertebral disk degeneration. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 13(6):371–376, 2015
- 29. Zong Q, Ni D, Li L, Shi Y: Association of rs2228570 polymorphism of vitamin D receptor gene with degenerative disc disease: A meta-analysis involving 2947 subjects. Int J Clin Exp Med 8(12):22108-22116, 2015