Accepted Manuscript

Comparison of the effect of daily versus bolus dose maternal vitamin D3 supplementation on the 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 to 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 ratio



Hemamalini Ketha, Tom D. Thacher, Sara S. Oberhelman, Philip R. Fischer, Ravinder J. Singh, Rajiv Kumar

10.1016/j.bone.2018.02.024 N 11577
е
December 2017 bruary 2018 Sebruary 2018

Please cite this article as: Hemamalini Ketha, Tom D. Thacher, Sara S. Oberhelman, Philip R. Fischer, Ravinder J. Singh, Rajiv Kumar , Comparison of the effect of daily versus bolus dose maternal vitamin D3 supplementation on the 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 to 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 ratio. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Bon(2017), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2018.02.024

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparison of the Effect of Daily Versus Bolus Dose Maternal Vitamin D₃

Supplementation on the 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ to 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ Ratio

Hemamalini Ketha^{1,2,7}, Tom D. Thacher³, Sara S. Oberhelman³, Philip R. Fischer⁴, Ravinder J. Singh⁵, and Rajiv Kumar^{1,2,6}

¹Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, ²Department of Internal Medicine, ³Department of

Family Medicine, ⁴Department of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, ⁵Department of

Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, ⁶Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, 55905.⁷ Present address: Department of Pathology,

University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105,

ŚŻ

Abbreviated title: 25(OH)D₃ to 24,25(OH)₂D₃ ratio during lactation

Corresponding author:

Tom D. Thacher, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55902

thacher.thomas@mayo.edu

Abstract

Objective: Supplementing lactating mothers with high doses of vitamin D_3 can adequately meet vitamin D requirements of the breastfed infant. We compared the effect of bolus versus daily vitamin D_3 dosing in lactating mothers on vitamin D_3 catabolism. We hypothesized that catabolism of 25(OH)D₃ to 24,25(OH)₂D₃ would be greater in the bolus than in the daily dose group.

Design, Setting and Patients: Randomized controlled trial (clinicaltrials.gov NCT01240265) in 40 lactating women.

Interventions: Subjects were randomized to receive vitamin D_3 orally, either a single dose of 150,000 IU or 5000 IU daily for 28 days. Vitamin D metabolites were measured in serum and breast milk at baseline, 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28 days.

Main Outcome Measure: Temporal changes in the serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio. **Results:** The concentration of serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ was directly related to that of 25(OH)D in both groups ($r^2=0.63$; p<0.001). The mean (\pm SD) $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio remained lower at all time points than baseline values in the daily dose group (0.093 \pm 0.024, 0.084 \pm 0.025, 0.083 \pm 0.024, 0.080 \pm 0.020, 0.081 \pm 0.023, 0.083 \pm 0.018 at baseline, 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days, respectively). In the single dose group, the increase in $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ lagged behind that of 25(OH)D, but the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ values (0.098 \pm 0.032, 0.067 \pm 0.019, 0.081 \pm 0.017, 0.092 \pm 0.024, 0.103 \pm 0.020, 0.106 \pm 0.024, respectively) exceeded baseline values at 14 and 28 days and were greater than the daily dose group at 14 and 28 days (P=0.003). The 24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3 ratio remained in the normal range with both dosing regimens. Greater breast milk vitamin D₃ values in the single dose group were inversely associated with the 24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3 ratio ($r^2 = 0.14$, p<0.001), but not with daily dosing.

Conclusions: After a 14-day lag, a single high dose of vitamin D led to greater production of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$, presumably via induction of the 24-hydroxylase enzyme (CYP24A1), relative to the $25(OH)D_3$ value than did daily vitamin D supplementation, and this effect persisted for at least 28 days after vitamin D administration. A daily dose of vitamin D may have more lasting effectiveness in increasing $25(OH)D_3$ with lesser diversion of $25(OH)D_3$ to $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ than does larger bolus dosing.

Key words: vitamin D metabolism; catabolism; nutrition; LC-MS/MS; lactation; safety.

Cherry Marker

1. Introduction

Low levels of vitamin D in breast milk (20-80 IU/L)^{1, 2} increase the risk of nutritional rickets in exclusively breast fed infants compared with infants who are formula fed, supplemented with vitamin D or who are breastfed by mothers taking high dose vitamin D supplements.^{3, 4} Supplemental vitamin D 400 IU/d has been recommended for breastfed infants by the American Academy of Pediatrics and Institute of Medicine.⁵ Several studies have investigated the optimal maternal vitamin D dose as an alternative to direct supplementation of the infant. However, vitamin D supplementation of lactating mothers with doses of 4000-6400 IU/day effectively enriches the breast milk with appropriate levels of vitamin D to satisfy the breastfed infant's requirements,^{3, 4, 6} and this approach is often preferred by mothers over infant supplementation.⁷ Cholecalciferol (not 25(OH)D or 1,25(OH)₂D), which crosses readily from maternal circulation into breast milk, is the form available to the infant.

Vitamin D differs in its side chain substitution based on the vitamin's source wherein the plant derived form is called vitamin D_2 and mammalian form is called vitamin D_3 . Metabolism of both forms are follow the same biochemical route in humans.⁸ Vitamin D and parathyroid hormone (PTH) are essential for normal calcium and phosphorus homeostasis.⁹ Vitamin D_3 is synthesized in the skin by ultraviolet-light mediated photo-isomerization of 7-hydrocholesterol ^{9,} ¹⁰ and is metabolized in the liver to 25(OH)D₃ by the 25-hydroxylase CYP2R1. Calcium and phosphorus demands regulate the synthesis of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1 α ,25(OH)₂D₃) by the 25(OH)D₃-1 α -hydroxylase CYP27A1. The 25(OH)D₃ metabolite can alternatively be catabolized to an inactive metabolite, 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (24,25(OH)₂D₃), by the 25(OH)D-24-hydroxylase CYP24A1.^{8, 9, 11-14} A dose dependent linear correlation exists between serum 25(OH)D₃ and 24,25(OH)D₃ at normal 25(OH)D₃ levels. The effect of a single large dose

vs daily low dose vitamin D_3 on temporal changes in the vitamin metabolites including $25(OH)D_3$, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in the supplemented mother, in the breast milk and the exclusively breast fed infant has been studied.⁴ While the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio has been proposed as an indicator of response to vitamin D_3 supplementation,¹⁵ changes in

 $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ with different vitamin D₃ dosing regimens in breast feeding mothers have not been reported. Our study aimed to investigate the effect of bolus versus daily vitamin D₃ dosing regimens on temporal changes in $25(OH)D_3/24,25(OH)_2D_3$ ratio in a group of breast feeding mothers who were randomized to receive either a single large dose (150,000 IU) or a daily dose (5000 IU) of vitamin D over 28 days. We sought to investigate if catabolism of $25(OH)D_3$ to $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ would be greater in the bolus than the daily dose group, its correlation with breast milk vitamin D₃ concentration, and whether it can be used as a safety marker for high dose vitamin D₃ supplementation in lactating women.

2. Methods and Materials

Subjects and samples: The study was a randomized controlled trial in 40 lactating females, ages 24-40 years, with a singleton infant between the ages of 1 and 6 months. Subjects were randomized to receive vitamin D_3 as a single oral dose of 150,000 IU (N = 20), or 5000 IU daily (N = 20) for 28 days. Additional details regarding the study design have been previously described.⁴ The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved the study.

We measured serum vitamin D₃, $25(OH)D_3$, $24,25(OH)_2D_3$, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, and breast milk vitamin D₃ at baseline and 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28 days following vitamin D₃ administration. All vitamin D₃ metabolites were measured at Mayo Clinic by previously established liquid chromatography mass spectrometry based methods.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The Mayo 25(OH)D test is calibrated against NIST and has been successfully passing the DEQAS-PT program.

24,25(OH)₂D₃ Measurement: Briefly, 500 µL of calibrator, quality control or patient serum was placed in a glass test tube to which 50 µL acetonitrile solution of the internal standard (1.25 ng deuterated 24,25(OH)₂D₃-d6, Toronto Research Chemicals, Canada) was added. The contents of the test tube were mixed using a vortex mixer, and the samples were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. Then, 500 µL aqueous hydrochloric acid solution (0.2 M) was added. The samples were vortex mixed and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. The contents of the test tube were transferred into a solid phase extraction cartridge (Bond-Elut (C18, 250 mg, 6 mL), Varian Instruments). The solid phase extraction cartridges containing the sample were placed on a positive pressure manifold, and the contents of the cartridge were passed through the resin by applying 3-5 psi pressure. The cartridge was washed once with 2 mL of 70:30 methanol/water (vol/vol) and once with 2 mL of 90:10 hexane/methylene chloride (vol/vol). Vitamin D metabolites were eluted with 2 mL of 90:10 hexane/isopropyl alcohol (vol/vol). Eluents were dried and derivatized with 4-phenyl-1,2,4,-triazoline-3,5-dione (PTAD) (Sigma; 250 µL of 200 µg/mL solution in acetonitrile). The derivatized vitamin D metabolites were separated by liquid chromatography at a on an Agilent XDB-C8, 2.1x50-mm column with a methanol-H2O-ammonium formate (1 mM) linear gradient (60%-95%) and analyzed on a AB Sciex 5500 mass spectrometer with Analyst 1.6.2 software (AB Sciex) for data acquisition and analysis.

For 25(OH)D quantification, to a 100 μ L serum sample 2.5 ng deuterated internal standard solution (25(OH)D₃-d₆) was added, and the sample was equilibrated on an orbital shaker for 15 min at room temperature. 100 μ L acetone, followed by 450 μ L ethyl acetate were added. After the solutions had settled, the organic top layer was transferred to a different sample tube. The extraction was repeated 4 times. The samples were subjected to PTAD derivatization

as described above. Reaction mixtures were dried under a N_2 stream, and the residue was reconstituted in 150 µL of 50:50 methanol: water mixture. The reconstituted residue was then separated on an analytical LC column and analyzed on an AB Sciex 4500 mass spectrometer.

The normal range for serum 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio was determined using serum samples from 91 healthy individuals were collected between May and August 2012, and 24,25(OH)₂D₃ and 25(OH)D were measured by the methods described above.¹⁷ All patients included in the reference range study were screened by a detailed chart review to ascertain that none of the individuals from whom samples were obtained were taking drugs known to affect mineral metabolism or had systemic conditions known to affect mineral metabolism. They were not taking vitamin D or calcium supplements, or if they were, were taking no more than 1000 mg calcium per day and 1000 IU vitamin D₃ per day.

Statistical analysis: Data were entered in and analyzed with Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA). The Student t test was used to compare continuous variables between the two treatment groups. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

Baseline characteristics of the study participants are shown in **Table 1**. The two study groups had no statistically significant differences. Alterations in serum concentration of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ and $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio in the single dose and daily dose are shown in **Figure 1.** Following a single oral dose of 150,000 IU of vitamin D₃, serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ increased by day 3 to 4.17 ± 1.46 ng/mL (45% increase) from a basal value of 2.88 ± 1.20 ng/mL (p<0.001) and remained elevated for the subsequent 28 days compared to the baseline values. On day 28, while the serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ concentration was not significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.20), the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio was significantly greater in the single

dose group than the daily dosing group. Serum $25(OH)D_3$ increased by day 1 to 43.05 ± 10.15 ng/mL (48 % increase) from a basal value of 29.06 ± 7.75 ng/mL in the single dose group. With daily administration of vitamin D₃ (5000 IU/day), no increase in serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ was noted until day 14 (Figure 1a), with a value at day 14 of 3.34 ± 1.35 ng/mL compared with a baseline value of 2.87 ± 1.40 ng/mL (p = 0.03). In the daily dose group, serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ did not reach the same final concentration as the single dose group. Compared with the corresponding basal value, the day 28 serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ concentration was 52% higher in the single dose group and 32% greater in the daily dosing group.

In the single dose group, the rise in concentration of $25(OH)D_3$ preceded the increase in $24,25(OH)_2D_3$, accounting for a decline in the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio on day 1. The $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio in the single dose group gradually attained a significantly greater value than the daily dose group after day 7 (Figure 1c), and the final value was greater than the baseline value in the single dose group. In contrast, the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio in the daily dose group initially declined and remained relatively stable and lower than the baseline value throughout the duration of treatment in the daily dosing group.

Serum 25(OH)D₃ and 24,25(OH) $_2D_3$ over all time points were strongly correlated (r^2 =0.63; p < 0.0001) in both groups (Figure 2a). Serum 24,25(OH) $_2D_3/25$ (OH)D₃ and serum 25(OH)D₃ showed a significant direct correlation in the single dose group (r^2 =0.13, p<0.001) but not in the single dose group (r^2 =0.025; p=0.09; Figure 2b). Serum 24,25(OH) $_2D_3/25$ (OH)D₃ and breast milk vitamin D₃ were inversely correlated in the single dose group (r^2 =0.14, p<0.001) but not in daily dose group (r^2 =0.03, p=0.07; Figure 2c). An inverse relationship was observed between breast milk vitamin D₃ and 24,25(OH) $_2D_3$ in the single dose group (r^2 =0.05, p=0.01) whereas an opposite (direct) correlation (r^2 =0.13, p<0.001; Figure 2d) was observed in the daily

dose group. We did not observe a significant reciprocal relationship between $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ and $1,25(OH)_2D_3$.

Coloring and the second

4. Discussion

We found evidence of greater production of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ resulting from a single, high dose bolus of vitamin D than with a daily dose of vitamin D over the course of 28 days. The concentration of serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ was directly related to that of 25(OH)D in both groups, but the increase in $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ lagged behind that of 25(OH)D in the single dose group. The $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio was significantly correlated with the serum $25(OH)D_3$ in the daily dose group but not in single dose group, which likely reflects the lag time in activation of the CYP24A1 enzyme.

Use of a high-dose bolus vitamin D was historically used for treatment of rickets in the setting of vitamin D deficiency. Contemporary studies have evaluated bolus cholecalciferol and ergocalciferol dosing in several clinical settings where potential benefit of modulating the vitamin D metabolic pathway is hypothesized including osteoporosis, cystic fibrosis, chronic kidney disease and inflammatory diseases. Results from studies on the effect of high-dose vitamin D supplementation in populations with high risk of fracture have been mixed. A paradoxical increase in risk of fracture found in some studies has been hypothesized to be caused by an up-regulation of CYP24A1 with high bolus doses of ergocalciferol or cholecalciferol, causing increased catabolism of 1,25(OH)₂D. Turner et al. reported an increase in serum 1,25(OH)₂D₂ concentration three months after a bolus dose of 300,000 IU of ergocalciferol was administered to vitamin D deficient patients.¹⁹ In our study, while we were unable to determine if the greater production of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ in the single dose group was due to induction of the CYP24A1 gene or due to increased substrate concentrations, the data raises an important point of whether an assessment of serum vitamin D metabolites over a longer time-frame might have shown differences in serum metabolic patterns consistent with other reports. Of note,

 $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ concentrations were not significantly different in the two dosing groups in our study.⁴

Whereas the ratio of 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ initially declined and remained relatively constant below the baseline value with daily supplementation, this ratio was significantly greater in the single dose group and greater than the baseline value after 7 days. This suggests that the single high dose of vitamin D led to greater induction of the 24-hydroxylase CYP24A1 relative to the 25(OH)D₃ value than daily vitamin D supplementation, and this effect persisted for at least 28 days after vitamin D administration. The high single dose may produce more prolonged catabolic activation of CYP24A1 than the smaller daily dose. The implication of this is that smaller daily doses of vitamin D would have a more favorable effect of increasing 25(OH)D with lesser activation of CYP24A1 catabolism.

The 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio remained in the normal range with both dosing regimens and supports the safety of high dose vitamin D₃ supplementation used in this study, irrespective of the lag time to reach catabolic activity. Sub-optimal CYP24A1 activity may exacerbate the risk of toxicity in mothers receiving a high dose vitamin D₃ supplement. The correlation between breast milk vitamin D₃ content and maternal serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ differed between the single and daily dose groups. The inverse relationship between breast milk vitamin D₃ and $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ after the single large dose of vitamin D₃ likely reflects the fact that initially high concentrations of vitamin D₃ in breast milk in the first 3 days preceded the delayed increase in $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ concentrations. Because of the 14-day lag in the increase of the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio, higher values of breast milk vitamin D₃ were associated with a lower $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio. The direct relationship in the daily dose group reflects breast milk vitamin D₃ increasing over the 28 days of

supplementation, while the 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio remained relatively unchanged. Given the nearly identical increment of serum 25(OH)D in breast fed infants of these two groups of women,⁴ it is unlikely that differences in 25(OH)D catabolism between these two dosing groups, reflected in the 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio, have an impact on vitamin D₃ availability in breast milk.

One limitation of our study is that we did not measure 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ in mother's serum or breast milk. In adults, the concentration of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ is generally very low, but production of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ might be predicted to be higher in the high dose group. If this were the case, the changes in $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratios could be even more extreme or the level of $25(OH)D_3$ at the end of the study might differ between groups.

An average fetus requires approximately 30 g of calcium for bone mineralization.²⁰ An increase in serum 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in pregnant females has been demonstrated.²¹ In mouse models of high bone density, a change in CYP24A1 activity lower 24,25(OH)2D3/25(OH)D compared to wild type mice has been demonstrated as the cause of increased calcium accretion.²² However, the effect of increased calcium demand during lactation on CYP24A1 activity and 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ is largely unexplored. 24,25(OH)₂D₃ is a major catabolic product of 25(OH)D₃. While its biological role is debated, its ratio with its precursor, 25(OH)D₃ is well established as a biomarker of abnormal CYP24A1 enzyme function.^{17, 23-27} Additionally, 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ functions as an index of 25(OH)D₃ clearance in healthy adult male and female subjects.¹⁵ During 8 weeks of 28,000 IU/wk vitamin D₃ supplementation, 24,25(OH)₂D₃ was directly correlated to 25(OH)D₃. Evidence of a lag in 24-hydroxylation was similarly found during the early phase of supplementation. This was attributed to the slower reaction kinetics of CYP24A1 (24-hydroxylase) compared to CYP27A1 (25-hydroxylase).²⁸ The effect of a greater

 $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio was to blunt the increase in $25(OH)D_3$ with vitamin D_3 supplementation. In this sense, the $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ ratio could be considered a functional marker of vitamin D sufficiency, reflecting increased catabolism of 25(OH)D as the concentration of 25(OH)D increases.

Our data in vitamin D_3 supplemented breast feeding mothers, in whom the calcium demands are high, confirms the dependence of serum concentrations of 24,25(OH)₂D₃ upon the concentrations of its precursor, 25(OH)D₃. While changes in serum 24,25(OH)₂D₃ occur in parallel with changes in serum 25(OH)D₃, concentrations of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ did not change,⁴ demonstrating that the production of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ is substrate independent, and is regulated by other factors, such as serum calcium, parathyroid hormone, and phosphate. With low 25(OH)D₃ concentrations (<20 ng/mL), the 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio declines to low normal ranges in healthy subjects,^{17, 26} likely due to favored biosynthesis of the bioactive 1,25(OH)₂D₃ during stages of 25(OH)D₃ depletion.²⁹

Conclusions

A single large dose of vitamin D_3 produced evidence of greater production of the catabolic product of 24-hydroxylase CYP24A1 activity than daily dosing of vitamin D. Evidence of increased 24,25(OH)₂D₃ production relative to serum 25(OH)D₃ values persisted for at least 28 days following a single large dose of vitamin D₃, but remained within the normal range. Daily vitamin D₃ supplementation may provide more predictable effects on vitamin D status due to the greater stability of the 24,25(OH)₂D₃/25(OH)D₃ ratio compared with bolus dosing.

Funding

This research was supported by CTSA Grant Number UL1 TR000135 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. Additionally small grants funding from the authors' institution supported the research.

REFERENCES

[1] Reeve LE, Chesney RW, DeLuca HF: Vitamin D of human milk: identification of biologically active forms. Am J Clin Nutr 1982, 36:122-6.

[2] Hollis BW, Roos BA, Draper HH, Lambert PW: Vitamin D and its metabolites in human and bovine milk. J Nutr 1981, 111:1240-8.

[3] Hollis BW, Wagner CL, Howard CR, Ebeling M, Shary JR, Smith PG, Taylor SN, Morella K, Lawrence RA, Hulsey TC: Maternal Versus Infant Vitamin D Supplementation During Lactation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pediatrics 2015, 136:625-34.

[4] Oberhelman SS, Meekins ME, Fischer PR, Lee BR, Singh RJ, Cha SS, Gardner BM, Pettifor JM, Croghan IT, Thacher TD: Maternal vitamin D supplementation to improve the vitamin D status of breast-fed infants: a randomized controlled trial. Mayo Clin Proc 2013, 88:1378-87.

[5] Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D: The National Academies Press, 2011.

[6] Wagner CL, Hulsey TC, Fanning D, Ebeling M, Hollis BW: High-dose vitamin D3 supplementation in a cohort of breastfeeding mothers and their infants: a 6-month follow-up pilot study. Breastfeeding medicine : the official journal of the Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine 2006, 1:59-70.

[7] Umaretiya PJ, Oberhelman SS, Cozine EW, Maxson JA, Quigg SM, Thacher TD: Maternal Preferences for Vitamin D Supplementation in Breastfed Infants. Ann Fam Med 2017, 15:68-70.
[8] DeLuca HF: Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamin D. Am J Clin Nutr 2004, 80:1689S-96S.

[9] DeLuca HF: Historical Perspective. New York: New York: Acedemic Press/Elsevier, 2011.[10] DeLuca HF, Schnoes HK: Vitamin D: recent advances. Annu Rev Biochem 1983, 52:411-39.

[11] Holick MF, Garabedian M, DeLuca HF: 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol: metabolite of vitamin D3 active on bone in anephric rats. Science 1972, 176:1146-7.

[12] Kumar R: The metabolism and mechanism of action of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Kidney Int 1986, 30:793-803.

[13] Holick MF, Schnoes HK, DeLuca HF, Gray RW, Boyle IT, Suda T: Isolation and identification of 24,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol, a metabolite of vitamin D made in the kidney. Biochemistry 1972, 11:4251-5.

[14] Kumar R: Metabolism of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Physiol Rev 1984, 64:478-504.

[15] Wagner D, Hanwell HE, Schnabl K, Yazdanpanah M, Kimball S, Fu L, Sidhom G, Rousseau D, Cole DE, Vieth R: The ratio of serum 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) to 25hydroxyvitamin D(3) is predictive of 25-hydroxyvitamin D(3) response to vitamin D(3) supplementation. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2011, 126:72-7.

[16] Netzel BC, Cradic KW, Bro ET, Girtman AB, Cyr RC, Singh RJ, Grebe SK: Increasing liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry throughput by mass tagging: a sample-multiplexed high-throughput assay for 25-hydroxyvitamin D2 and D3. Clin Chem 2011, 57:431-40.

[17] Ketha H, Kumar R, Singh RJ: LC-MS/MS for Identifying Patients with CYP24A1 Mutations. Clin Chem 2016, 62:236-42.

[18] Strathmann FG, Laha TJ, Hoofnagle AN: Quantification of 1alpha,25-dihydroxy vitamin D by immunoextraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Clin Chem 2011, 57:1279-85.

[19] Turner C, Dalton N, Inaoui R, Fogelman I, Fraser WD, Hampson G: Effect of a 300 000-IU Loading Dose of Ergocalciferol (Vitamin D2) on Circulating 1,25(OH)2-Vitamin D and Fibroblast Growth Factor-23 (FGF-23) in Vitamin D Insufficiency. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2013, 98:550-6.

[20] Kovacs CS: Maternal Mineral and Bone Metabolism During Pregnancy, Lactation, and Post-Weaning Recovery. Physiol Rev 2016, 96:449-547.

[21] Kumar R, Cohen WR, Epstein FH: Vitamin D and calcium hormones in pregnancy. N Engl J Med 1980, 302:1143-5.

[22] Ryan ZC, Ketha H, McNulty MS, McGee-Lawrence M, Craig TA, Grande JP, Westendorf JJ, Singh RJ, Kumar R: Sclerostin alters serum vitamin D metabolite and fibroblast growth factor 23 concentrations and the urinary excretion of calcium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:6199-204.

[23] O'Keeffe DT, Tebben PJ, Kumar R, Singh RJ, Wu Y, Wermers RA: Clinical and biochemical phenotypes of adults with monoallelic and biallelic CYP24A1 mutations: evidence of gene dose effect. Osteoporos Int 2016.

[24] Tebben PJ, Milliner DS, Horst RL, Harris PC, Singh RJ, Wu Y, Foreman JW, Chelminski PR, Kumar R: Hypercalcemia, hypercalciuria, and elevated calcitriol concentrations with autosomal dominant transmission due to CYP24A1 mutations: effects of ketoconazole therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012, 97:E423-7.

[25] Jacobs TP, Kaufman M, Jones G, Kumar R, Schlingmann KP, Shapses S, Bilezikian JP: A lifetime of hypercalcemia and hypercalciuria, finally explained. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014:jc20133802.

[26] Kaufmann M, Gallagher JC, Peacock M, Schlingmann K-P, Konrad M, DeLuca HF, Sigueiro R, Lopez B, Mourino A, Maestro M, St-Arnaud R, Finkelstein JS, Cooper DP, Jones G: Clinical Utility of Simultaneous Quantitation of 25-Hydroxyvitamin D and 24,25-

Dihydroxyvitamin D by LC-MS/MS Involving Derivatization With DMEQ-TAD. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2014, 99:2567-74.

[27] Schlingmann KP, Kaufmann M, Weber S, Irwin A, Goos C, John U, Misselwitz J, Klaus G, Kuwertz-Broking E, Fehrenbach H, Wingen AM, Guran T, Hoenderop JG, Bindels RJ, Prosser DE, Jones G, Konrad M: Mutations in CYP24A1 and idiopathic infantile hypercalcemia. N Engl J Med 2011, 365:410-21.

[28] Sakaki T, Kagawa N, Yamamoto K, Inouye K: Metabolism of vitamin D3 by cytochromes P450. Front Biosci 2005, 10:119-34.

[29] Hoogenboezem T, Degenhart HJ, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Bouillon R, Grose WF, Hackeng WH, Visser HK: Vitamin D metabolism in breast-fed infants and their mothers. Pediatric research 1989, 25:623-8.

Characteristic	Daily Dose 5000 IU (n=20)	Single Dose 150,000 IU (n=20)
Maternal age (years)	30.3 ± 2.9	30.1 ± 4.0
Infant age (weeks)	13.7 ± 7.3	11.0 ± 5.6
Infant gestation at birth (weeks)	39.9 ± 1.3	39.5 ± 0.9
Maternal race (% white)	95%	95%
Maternal weight (kg)	72.7 ± 10.6	67.6 ± 12.1
Maternal height (cm)	165.6 ± 5.5	163.8 ± 4.2
Maternal BMI (kg/m ²)	26.5 ± 4.0	25.2 ± 4.7
Maternal serum 25(OH)D (ng/mL)	28.8 ± 9.2	29.3 ± 7.5
Maternal serum 24,25(OH) ₂ D ₃ (ng/mL)	2.87 ± 1.40	2.88 ± 1.20
Maternal serum 1,25(OH) 2D3 (pg/mL)	60.4 ± 24.9	52.0 ± 10.7
Enrollment date		
January-March	9 (45%)	8 (40%)
April-July	11 (55%)	12 (60%)

 Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Study Subjects

Figure 1: Alterations in (a) serum concentration of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$, (b) serum concentration of $25(OH)D_3$, (c) ratio of $25(OH)D_3/24,25(OH)_2D_3$, and (d) serum concentration of cholecalciferol in single dose (open circle) and daily dose (black triangles) groups. The error bars represent standard deviations. P values are for comparison of values in daily and single dosing groups at corresponding time points.



Figure 2: Correlations between serum concentration of (**a**) $25(OH)D_3$ and $24,25(OH)D_3$ ($r^2=0.63$; p < 0.0001), (**b**) serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3/25(OH)D_3$ and serum $25(OH)D_3$ (p<0.001), (c) serum $24,25(OH)_2D/25(OH)D_3$ and breast milk vitamin D_3 and (**d**) serum $24,25(OH)_2D$ and breast milk vitamin D_3 in single dose (open circle) and daily dose (black triangles) groups. The solid line represents the linear trend in the daily dose group and the dotted line the linear trend in single dose group.



Highlights

- Bolus high-dose vitamin D produced more 24,25(OH)₂D than daily supplementation
- After bolus vitamin D, the increase in 24,25(OH)₂D lagged behind that of 25(OH)D
- Daily vitamin D may increase 25(OH)D more effectively than larger bolus dosing

South Manus