

**AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS AND
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOLOGY
CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND
TREATMENT OF POSTMENOPAUSAL OSTEOPOROSIS — 2016
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Complete Guidelines available at <http://journals.aace.com>

*Pauline M. Camacho, MD, FACE¹; Steven M. Petak, MD, MACE, FACP, FCLM, JD²;
Neil Binkley, MD³; Bart L. Clarke, MD, FACP, FACE⁴;
Steven T. Harris, MD, FACP⁵; Daniel L. Hurley, MD, FACE⁶;
Michael Kleerekoper, MBBS, MACE⁷; E. Michael Lewiecki, MD, FACP, FACE⁸;
Paul D. Miller, MD⁹; Harmeet S. Narula, MD, FACP, FACE¹⁰;
Rachel Pessah-Pollack, MD, FACE¹¹; Vin Tangpricha, MD, PhD, FACE¹²;
Sunil J. Wimalawansa, MD, PhD, MBA, FCCP, FACP, FRCP, DSc, FACE¹³;
Nelson B. Watts, MD, FACP, MACE¹⁴*

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Medical Guidelines for Practice are systematically developed statements to assist healthcare professionals in medical decision-making for specific clinical conditions. Most of the content herein is based on literature reviews. In areas of uncertainty, professional judgment was applied.

These guidelines are a working document that reflects the state of the field at the time of publication. Because rapid changes in this area are expected, periodic revisions are inevitable. We encourage medical professionals to use this information in conjunction with their best clinical judgment. The presented recommendations may not be appropriate in all situations. Any decision by practitioners to apply these guidelines must be made in light of local resources and individual patient circumstances.

From ¹Professor of Medicine, Loyola University Medical Center, Director, Loyola University Osteoporosis and Metabolic Bone Disease Center, Chicago, IL; ²Associate Clinical Professor Weill-Cornell Medical College, Division Head Endocrinology and Chief of Endocrinology, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX; ³School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI; ⁴Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism, and Nutrition, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁵Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco; ⁶Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism and Nutrition, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁷University of Toledo Medical School, Toledo, OH; ⁸New Mexico Clinical Research & Osteoporosis Center, Albuquerque, NM; ⁹Distinguished Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Medical Director, Colorado Center for Bone Research at Centura Health, Lakewood, CO; ¹⁰Banner Health, Phoenix, AZ; ¹¹Assistant Clinical Professor, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, NY, NY, ProHealth Care Associates, Division of Endocrinology, Lake Success, NY; ¹²Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine and the Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA; ¹³Professor of Medicine, Endocrinology & Nutrition; ¹⁴Director, Mercy Health Osteoporosis and Bone Health Services, Cincinnati OH.

Address correspondence to American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, 245 Riverside Ave, Suite 200, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

E-mail: publications@aace.com. DOI:10.4158/EP161435.ESGL

To purchase reprints of this article, please visit: www.aace.com/reprints.

Copyright © 2016 AAACE.

A complete list of the Reviewers of the AAACE/ACE Postmenopausal Osteoporosis Clinical Practice Guidelines can be found in the Acknowledgments.

This material is protected by US copyright law. To purchase commercial reprints of this article, visit www.aace.com/reprints. For permission to reuse material, please access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC).

Abbreviations:

AACE = American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; **AFF** = atypical femur fracture; **ASBMR** = American Society for Bone and Mineral Research; **BEL** = best evidence level; **BMD** = bone mineral density; **BTM** = bone turnover marker; **CBC** = complete blood count; **CI** = confidence interval; **DXA** = dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; **EL** = evidence level; **FDA** = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; **FLEX** = Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT) Long-term Extension; **FRAX**[®] = Fracture Risk Assessment Tool; **GFR** = glomerular filtration rate; **GI** = gastrointestinal; **HORIZON** = Health Outcomes and Reduced Incidence with Zoledronic Acid Once Yearly; **IOF** = International Osteoporosis Foundation; **ISCD** = International Society for Clinical Densitometry; **IU** = international units; **IV** = intravenous; **LSC** = least significant change; **NBHA** = National Bone Health Alliance; **NOF** = National Osteoporosis Foundation; **25(OH)D** = 25-hydroxy vitamin D; **ONJ** = osteonecrosis of the jaw; **PINP** = serum carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen; **PTH** = parathyroid hormone; **R** = recommendation; **RANK** = receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B; **RANKL** = receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand; **RCT** = randomized controlled trial; **RR** = relative risk; **S-CTX** = serum C-terminal telopeptide; **SQ** = subcutaneous; **VFA** = vertebral fracture assessment; **WHO** = World Health Organization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a growing major public health problem with impacts on quality and quantity of life that cross medical, social, and economic lines. These guidelines were developed by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) with hopes of reducing the risk of osteoporosis-related fractures and thereby maintaining the quality of life for people with osteoporosis. The guidelines use the best evidence, taking into consideration the economic impact of the disease and the need for efficient and effective evaluation and treatment of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. The intent is to provide evidence-based information about the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis for endocrinologists, physicians in general, regulatory bodies, health-related organizations, and interested laypersons.

2. METHODS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AACE CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES FOR POSTMENOPAUSAL OSTEOPOROSIS

Evidence was obtained through MEDLINE searches and other designated reference sources. Expert opinion

was used to evaluate the available literature and to grade references relative to evidence level (EL) (Table 1), evidence analysis, and subjective factors (Table 2), based on the ratings of 1 through 4 from the 2010 and 2014 AACE protocols for standardized production of clinical practice guidelines (available online at https://www.aace.com/files/checklists_july_2014_ep.pdf) (1 [EL 4; CPG NE], 2 [EL 4; CPG NE]). Best evidence level (BEL) for evidence presented in the discussion of the evidence base is given for each recommendation in the Executive Summary. In addition, recommendations were graded A through D, in accordance with methods established by the AACE in 2004 and clarified in 2010 (Table 3) (1 [EL 4; CPG NE], 3 [EL 4; CPG NE]). Information pertaining to cost-effectiveness was included when available. Examples of qualifiers that are appropriate to append to recommendations include risk-benefit analyses, evidence gaps, alternative physician preferences (dissenting opinions), alternative recommendations (e.g., based on resource availability and cultural factors), expert consensus and relevance (i.e., patient-oriented evidence that matters) (1 [EL 4; CPG NE]). (**Endocr Pract.** 2016;22:1111-1118)

3. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To guide readers, recommendations are organized into the following questions:

- Q1. How is fracture risk assessed and osteoporosis diagnosed?
- Q2. When osteoporosis is diagnosed, what is an appropriate evaluation?
- Q3. What are the fundamental measures for bone health?
- Q4. Who needs pharmacologic therapy?
- Q5. What medication should be used to treat osteoporosis?
- Q6. How is treatment monitored?
- Q7. What is successful treatment of osteoporosis?
- Q8. How long should patients be treated?
- Q9. Is combination therapy better than treatment with a single agent?
- Q10. Should sequential use of therapeutic agents be considered?
- Q11. Should vertebral augmentation be considered for compression fractures?
- Q12. When should referral to a clinical endocrinologist or osteoporosis specialist be considered?

3.Q1. How Is Fracture Risk Assessed and Osteoporosis Diagnosed?

- **R1.** Evaluate all postmenopausal women aged ≥ 50 years for osteoporosis risk (**Grade B; BEL 1, downgraded due to gaps in evidence**).
- **R2.** A detailed history, physical exam, and clinical

Table 1 2010 AACE Protocol for Production of Clinical Practice Guidelines Step 1: Evidence Rating	
1	Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (MRCT)
1	Randomized controlled trial (RCT)
2	Meta-analysis of nonrandomized prospective or case-controlled trials (MNRCT)
2	Nonrandomized controlled trial (NRCT)
2	Prospective cohort study (PCS)
2	Retrospective case-control study (RCCS)
3	Cross-sectional study (CSS)
3	Surveillance study (registries, surveys, epidemiologic study) (SS)
3	Consecutive case series (CCS)
3	Single case reports (SCR)
4	No evidence (theory, opinion, consensus, or review) (NE)
1 = strong evidence; 2 = intermediate evidence; 3 = weak evidence; 4 = no evidence. Adapted from Mechanick et al. <i>Endocr Pract.</i> 2010;16:270-283.(1 [EL 4; CPG NE])	

fracture risk assessment with the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX[®]) should be included in the initial evaluation for osteoporosis (**Grade B; BEL 2**).

- **R3.** Consider bone mineral density (BMD) testing based on clinical fracture risk profile (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R4.** When BMD is measured, axial dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measurement (spine and hip) should be used (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R5a.** Osteoporosis should be diagnosed based on presence of fragility fractures in the absence of other metabolic bone disorders (**Grade B; BEL 2**) or a T-score of -2.5 or lower in the lumbar spine (anteroposterior), femoral neck, total hip, and/or 33% (one-third) radius even in the absence of a prevalent fracture (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R5b.** Osteoporosis may also be diagnosed in patients with osteopenia and increased fracture risk using FRAX[®] country-specific thresholds (**Grade B; BEL 2**).

3.Q2. When Osteoporosis Is Diagnosed, What Is an Appropriate Evaluation?

- **R6.** Evaluate for causes of secondary osteoporosis (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R7.** Evaluate for prevalent vertebral fractures (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R8.** Consider using bone turnover markers (BTMs) in the initial evaluation and follow-up of osteoporosis patients. Elevated levels can predict more rapid rates of bone loss and higher fracture risk (**Grade B; BEL 1, downgraded based on expert consensus**).

3.Q3. What Are the Fundamental Measures for Bone Health?

- **R9.** Measure serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) in patients who are at risk for vitamin D insufficiency, particularly those with osteoporosis (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R10.** Maintain serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) ≥ 30 ng/mL in patients with osteoporosis (preferable range, 30-50 ng/mL) (**Grade B; BEL 3, upgraded based on expert consensus**).
- **R11.** Supplement with vitamin D₃ if needed; 1,000 to 2,000 international units (IU) of daily maintenance therapy is typically needed to maintain an optimal serum 25(OH)D level (**Grade C, BEL 4; upgraded based on expert consensus**).
- **R12.** Higher doses may be necessary in the presence of certain factors (e.g., obesity, malabsorption, transplant patients, certain ethnicities, older individuals) (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R13.** Counsel patients to maintain adequate dietary intake of calcium, to a total intake (including diet plus supplement, if needed) of 1,200 mg/day for women ≥ 50 years (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R14.** Counsel patients to limit alcohol intake to no more than 2 units per day. (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R15.** Counsel patients to avoid or stop smoking (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R16.** Counsel patients to maintain an active lifestyle, including weight-bearing, balance, and resistance exercises (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R17.** Provide counseling on reducing risk of falls, particularly among the elderly (**Grade A; BEL 1**).

Table 2 2010 AACE Protocol for Production of Clinical Practice Guidelines Step 2: Evidence Analysis and Subjective Factors		
Study design	Data analysis	Interpretation
Premise correctness	Intent-to-treat	Generalizability
Allocation concealment (randomization)	Appropriate statistics	Logical
Selection bias		Incompleteness
Appropriate blinding		Validity
Using surrogate end points (especially in “first-in-its-class” intervention)		
Sample size (beta error)		
Null hypothesis versus Bayesian statistics		
Adapted from Mechanick et al. <i>Endocr Pract.</i> 2010;16:270-283.(1 [EL 4; CPG NE])		

- **R18.** Consider recommending use of hip protectors in individuals with a high risk of falling (**Grade B; BEL 1, downgraded due to discrepancy in efficacy between studies**).
- **R19.** Consider referral for physical therapy, which may reduce discomfort, prevent falls, and improve quality of life (**Grade A; BEL 1**).

3.Q4. Who Needs Pharmacologic Therapy?

- **R20.** Strongly recommend pharmacologic therapy for patients with osteopenia or low bone mass and a history of fragility fracture of the hip or spine (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R21.** Strongly recommend pharmacologic therapy for patients with a T-score of -2.5 or lower in the spine, femoral neck, total hip or 33% radius (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R22.** Strongly recommend pharmacologic therapy for patients with a T-score between -1.0 and -2.5 if the FRAX[®] 10-year probability for major osteoporotic fracture is $\geq 20\%$ or the 10-year probability of hip fracture is $\geq 3\%$ in the U.S. or above the country-specific threshold in other countries or regions (**Grade B; BEL 2**).

3.Q5. What Medication Should Be Used to Treat Osteoporosis?

- **R23.** Approved agents with efficacy to reduce hip, non-vertebral, and spine fractures including alendronate, risedronate, zoledronic acid, and denosumab are appropriate as initial therapy for most patients at high risk of fracture (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R24.** Teriparatide, denosumab, or zoledronic acid should be considered for patients unable to use oral therapy and as initial therapy for patients at especially high fracture risk (**Grade A; BEL 1**).

- **R25.** Raloxifene or ibandronate may be appropriate initial therapy in some cases where patients requiring drugs with spine-specific efficacy (**Grade A; BEL 1**).

3.Q6. How Is Treatment Monitored?

- **R26.** Obtain a baseline axial (spine and hip) DXA, and repeat DXA every 1 to 2 years until findings are stable. Continue with follow-up DXA every 1 to 2 years or at a less-frequent interval, depending on clinical circumstances (**Grade B; BEL 2**).
- **R27.** Monitor serial changes in lumbar spine, total hip, or femoral neck BMD; if spine, hip, or both are not evaluable, consider monitoring using the 33% radius site (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R28.** Follow-up of patients should ideally be conducted in the same facility with the same machine (**Grade B; BEL 4, upgraded based on expert consensus**).
- **R29.** Consider using BTMs for assessing patient compliance and therapy efficacy. Significant reductions in BTMs are seen with antiresorptive therapy and have been associated with fracture reduction; significant increases indicate good response to anabolic therapy (**Grade B; BEL 1; downgraded based on expert consensus**).

3.Q7. What Is Successful Treatment of Osteoporosis?

- **R30.** Successful treatment of osteoporosis is defined as stable or increasing BMD with no evidence of new fractures or fracture progression (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R31.** For patients taking antiresorptive agents, target for treatment success is BTMs at or below the median value for premenopausal women (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R32.** Consider alternative therapy or reassessment for causes of secondary osteoporosis in patients who have recurrent fractures or significant bone loss while on therapy (**Grade A; BEL 1**). A single fracture while on

therapy is not necessarily evidence of treatment failure, but it does suggest that fracture risk is high.

3.Q8. How Long Should Patients Be Treated?

- **R33.** Treatment with teriparatide should be limited to 2 years (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R34a.** For oral bisphosphonates, consider a “bisphosphonate holiday” after 5 years of stability in moderate-risk patients (**Grade B; BEL 1, downgraded due to limitations of data**).

- **R34b.** For oral bisphosphonates, consider a “bisphosphonate holiday” after 6 to 10 years of stability in higher-risk patients (**Grade B; BEL 1, downgraded due to limitations of data**).
- **R34c.** For intravenous (IV) zoledronic acid, consider a drug holiday after 3 annual doses in moderate-risk patients and after 6 annual doses in higher-risk patients. (**Grade B, BEL 1, downgraded due to limitations of data**).
- **R34d.** Teriparatide or raloxifene may be used during

Table 3 2010 AAACE Protocol for Production of Clinical Practice Guidelines Step 3: Grading Recommendations				
2004 AAACE Criteria for Grading Recommendations				
Recommendation grade	Description			
A	Homogeneous evidence from multiple, well-designed, randomized, controlled trials with sufficient statistical power Homogeneous evidence from multiple, well-designed, cohort-controlled trials with sufficient statistical power ≥1 conclusive level 1 publications demonstrating benefit >> risk			
B	Evidence from ≥1 well-designed clinical trial, cohort- or case-controlled analytic study, or meta-analysis No conclusive level 1 publications; ≥1 conclusive level 2 publications demonstrating benefit >> risk			
C	Evidence based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or expert consensus opinion No conclusive level 1 or 2 publications; ≥1 conclusive level 3 publications demonstrating benefit >> risk No conclusive risk at all and no conclusive benefit demonstrated by evidence			
D	Not rated No conclusive level 1, 2, or 3 publications demonstrating benefit >> risk Conclusive level 1, 2, or 3 publications demonstrating risk >> benefit			
2010 AAACE Update: Mapping Evidence Levels to Recommended Grading				
BEL	Subject factor impact	Two-thirds consensus	Mapping	Recommended grading
1	None	Yes	Direct	A
2	Positive	Yes	Adjust up	A
2	None	Yes	Direct	B
1	Negative	Yes	Adjust down	B
3	Positive	Yes	Adjust up	B
3	None	Yes	Direct	C
2	Negative	Yes	Adjust down	C
4	Positive	Yes	Adjust up	C
4	None	Yes	Direct	D
3	Negative	Yes	Adjust Down	D
1, 2, 3, 4	NA	No	Adjust down	D

1 = strong evidence; 2 = intermediate evidence; 3 = weak evidence; 4 = no evidence.
Starting with the left column, best evidence level (BEL), subjective factors, and consensus map to recommendation grades in the right column. When subjective factors have little or no impact (“none”), then the BEL is directly mapped to recommendation grades. When subjective factors have a strong impact, then recommendation grades may be adjusted up (“positive” impact) or down (“negative” impact). If a two-thirds consensus cannot be reached, then the recommendation grade is D. NA = not applicable (regardless of the presence or absence of strong subjective factors, the absence of a two-thirds consensus mandates a recommendation grade D).
Adapted from Mechanick et al. *Endocr Pract.* 2010;16:270-283 (1 [EL 4; CPG NE])

the “bisphosphonate holiday” period for higher-risk patients (**Grade D; BEL 4**).

- **R34e.** A drug “holiday” is not recommended with denosumab (**Grade A; BEL 1**).
- **R34f.** The ending of the “holiday” for bisphosphonate treatment should be based on individual patient circumstances (fracture risk or change in BMD or BTMs) (**Grade B; BEL 4, upgraded based on expert consensus**).
- **R34g.** Other therapeutic agents should be continued for as long as clinically appropriate (**Grade D; BEL 4**).

3.Q9. Is Combination Therapy Better Than Treatment With a Single Agent?

- **R35a.** Until the effect of combination therapy on fracture risk is demonstrated AACE does not recommend concomitant use of these agents for prevention or treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis (**Grade C; BEL 4; expert consensus, upgraded due to cost and potential increased side effects**).
- **R35b.** If estrogen is being given for treatment of menopausal symptoms or raloxifene is administered to reduce the risk of breast cancer, an additional agent such as a bisphosphonate, denosumab, or teriparatide may be considered in higher-risk patients (**Grade D; BEL 4**).
- **R35c.** Combined denosumab and teriparatide achieves a better BMD response versus either agent alone, but no fracture data are available. (**Grade B; BEL 1; downgraded due to potential increased side effects and increased cost**).

3.Q10. Should Sequential Use of Therapeutic Agents Be Considered?

- **R36.** Treatment with teriparatide should always be followed by antiresorptive agents to prevent bone density decline and loss of fracture efficacy (**Grade A; BEL 1**).

3.Q11. Should Vertebral Augmentation Be Considered for Compression Fractures?

- **R37.** Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty are not recommended as first-line treatment of vertebral fractures given the unclear benefit on overall pain and the potential increased risk of vertebral fractures in adjacent vertebrae (**Grade B, BEL 1; downgraded due to limitations of published studies**).

3.Q12. When Should Referral to a Clinical Endocrinologist or Osteoporosis Specialist Be Considered?

- **R38.** When a patient with normal BMD sustains a fracture without major trauma (**Grade C; BEL 4; upgraded due to expert consensus**).

- **R39.** When recurrent fractures or continued bone loss occurs in a patient receiving therapy without obvious treatable causes of bone loss (**Grade C; BEL 4; upgraded due to expert consensus**).
- **R40.** When osteoporosis is unexpectedly severe, has unusual features, or less common secondary conditions (e.g., hyperthyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, hypercalciuria, or elevated prolactin) are identified (**Grade C; BEL 4; upgraded due to expert consensus**).
- **R41.** When a patient has a condition that complicates management (e.g., chronic kidney disease [CKD]: glomerular filtration rate [GFR] <35, hyperparathyroidism, or malabsorption) (**Grade C; BEL 4; upgraded due to expert consensus**).
- **R42.** Patients who experience fragility fractures should be evaluated and treated. Referral to an osteoporosis specialist or a fracture liaison team, if available, should be considered (**Grade B; BEL 2**).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Reviewers of the AACE/ACE Postmenopausal Osteoporosis Clinical Practice Guidelines: Robert Adler, MD; Donald A. Bergman, MD, MACE; John Paul Bilezikian, MD, MACE; Dima L. Diab, MD, FACE, CCD; Angelo Licata, MD, PhD, FACP, FACE; Alan Malabanan MD, FACE, CCD; Michael R. McClung, MD.

DISCLOSURE

Co-Chairs

Dr. Pauline Camacho reports that she has received research grant support from Amgen Inc, and NPS Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Steven M. Petak reports that he has received consulting fees from NASA-JSC.

Task Force Members

Dr. Neil Binkley reports that he has received advisory/consultant honoraria from Merck, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Nestle. He has also received research support from Amgen, Merck, Eli Lilly and Company, Opko Health, Novartis, and GE Healthcare Lunar.

Dr. Bart Clarke reports that he has received consulting fees for his service on Data Monitoring Committees for Amgen Inc.; and research grant support for his role as Site Principal Investigator from NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dr. Steven T. Harris reports that he has received consultant fees from Eli Lilly and Company, Gilead Sciences, Merck, and Radius Health. He has also received speaker honoraria from Eli Lilly and Company and Gilead Sciences.

Dr. Daniel L. Hurley reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. Michael Kleerekoper reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. E. Michael Lewiecki reports that he has received consultant honoraria and research grant support from Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, and Merck.

Dr. Paul D. Miller reports that he has received consultant fees for his role on Scientific Advisory Boards for Amgen, AgNovos, Eli Lilly and Company, Merck, Radius Pharma, Roche, and Ultragenyx, as well as for his role on Data Safety Committees for Allergan Pharmaceuticals, and GrÃ1/4nenthal Group. He has also received speaker honoraria from Amgen Australia and research grant support from Alexion, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Immunodiagnosics, Eli Lilly and Company, Merck, Merck Serrano, National Bone Health Alliance, Novartis, Radius Pharma, Roche Diagnostics, Regeneron, Daiichi Sankyo, and Ultragenyx.

Dr. Harmeet Singh Narula reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. Rachel Pessah-Pollack reports that she has received consulting fees and speaker honoraria from Boehringer Ingelheim and Eli Lilly and Company.

Dr. Vin Tangpricha reports that he has received consulting fees from Elsevier, as the Editor in Chief for the *Journal of Clinical and Translational Endocrinology*. Dr. Tangpricha has also received speaker honoraria from Quest Diagnostics Inc and research grants from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and NIH.

Dr. Nelson B. Watts reports that he has received stock options as a stockholder and royalties as a cofounder/director from OsteoDynamics; consultant fees from Amgen, Abbvie, Sanofi, Merck, Radius Health, and Sprout; and speaker honoraria from Amgen and Merck.

Dr. Sunil J. Wimalawansa reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Reviewers

Dr. Robert A. Adler reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. Donald Bergman reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. John Paul Bilezikian reports that he is a consultant for Amgen, Merck, and Radius.

Dr. Dima L. Diab reports that she does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. Angelo Licata reports that he does not have any relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests.

Dr. Alan Malabanan reports that he has received consulting fees as a member of the Editorial Board for the Harvard Health Letter, as a Journal Watch CME question author from Boston University, and from Advance Medical, Inc. He has also received speaker fees from Metrowest Medical Center; Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Needham; Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston; Boston University Dental School and Medical School, and MCE Conferences.

Dr. Michael R. McClung reports that he has received consultant fees and speaker honoraria from Amgen and Merck.

Medical Writer

Ms. Renée Kashuba reports that she has received consulting fees for writing and editorial support from Celgene Corporation.

REFERENCES

1. **Mechanick JI, Camacho PM, Cobin RH, Garber AJ, Garber JR, Gharib H, et al.** American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Protocol for Standardized Production of Clinical Practice Guidelines--2010 update. *Endocr Pract.* 2010;16:270-283. [EL 4; CPG NE]
2. **Mechanick JI, Camacho PM, Garber AJ, Garber JR, Pessah-Pollack R, Petak SM, et al.** American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology Protocol for Standardized Production of Clinical Practice Guidelines, Algorithms, and Checklists--2014 Update and the AACE G4G Program. *Endocr Pract.* 2014;20:692-702. [EL 4; CPG NE]
3. **Mechanick JI, Bergman DA, Braithwaite SS, Palumbo PJ.** American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists protocol for standardized production of clinical practice guidelines. *Endocr Pract.* 2004;10:353-361. [EL 4; CPG NE]

AACE/ACE 2016 POSTMENOPAUSAL OSTEOPOROSIS TREATMENT ALGORITHM

