

Seasonality in human cognitive brain responses

Christelle Meyer^{a,b,1}, Vincenzo Muto^{a,b,c,1}, Mathieu Jaspard^{a,b,c,1}, Caroline Kussé^{a,b}, Erik Lambot^{a,b}, Sarah L. Chellappa^{a,b}, Christian Degueldre^{a,b}, Evelyne Balteau^{a,b}, André Luxen^{a,b}, Benita Middleton^d, Simon N. Archer^d, Fabienne Collette^{a,b,c}, Derk-Jan Dijk^d, Christophe Phillips^{a,b,e}, Pierre Maquet^{a,b,f,2,3}, and Gilles Vandewalle^{a,b,2,3}

^aGIGA-Research–Cyclotron Research Centre–In Vivo Imaging, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium; ^bWalloon Excellence in Life Sciences and Biotechnology (WELBIO), 4000 Liège, Belgium; ^cDepartment of Psychology: Cognition and Behavior, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium; ^dSurrey Sleep Research Centre, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, GU2 7XP Guildford, United Kingdom; ^eDepartment of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium; and ^fDepartment of Neurology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium

Edited by Bruce S. McEwen, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, and approved January 8, 2016 (received for review September 11, 2015)

Daily variations in the environment have shaped life on Earth, with circadian cycles identified in most living organisms. Likewise, seasons correspond to annual environmental fluctuations to which organisms have adapted. However, little is known about seasonal variations in human brain physiology. We investigated annual rhythms of brain activity in a cross-sectional study of healthy young participants. They were maintained in an environment free of seasonal cues for 4.5 d, after which brain responses were assessed using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) while they performed two different cognitive tasks. Brain responses to both tasks varied significantly across seasons, but the phase of these annual rhythms was strikingly different, speaking for a complex impact of season on human brain function. For the sustained attention task, the maximum and minimum responses were located around summer and winter solstices, respectively, whereas for the working memory task, maximum and minimum responses were observed around autumn and spring equinoxes. These findings reveal previously unappreciated process-specific seasonality in human cognitive brain function that could contribute to intraindividual cognitive changes at specific times of year and changes in affective control in vulnerable populations.

season | cognition | fMRI | annual | attention

Daily variations in the environment have constrained life on Earth, with circadian cycles identified in most living organisms, including in human physiology and cognition (1, 2). Seasonal variations in the environment have also triggered annual adaptations that are observed in the majority of species (for a review, see ref. 1). However, seasonal variations may seem more limited in our species or they are at least less recognized (3). Seasonality has indeed been reported for several physiological aspects including blood pressure (4), cholesterol (5), or calorie intake (6), with higher levels seen in winter or fall for food intake. Recently, seasonal variation in expression levels of a large set of genes has been reported for human white blood cells and adipose tissue (7). Furthermore, seasonal variations have been observed in several behavioral dimensions with peaks occurring at different time of year depending on the variable considered: conception (winter/spring peak) and death [winter peak (8)] or violent suicide [spring/summer peak (9)]. Mood has been the most extensively studied aspect of human behavior, with a large portion of the general population undergoing seasonal deteriorations in mood in winter, but these do not reach clinical threshold [e.g., subsyndromal seasonal affective disorder: up to 18% in North America (10)]. Furthermore, sparse studies suggest that, in addition to mood, other cognitive brain functions show annual variations in healthy individuals, but results are not consistent (11–13).

Animal research suggests that the suprachiasmatic nucleus, site of the master circadian clock, is at least one of the sites mediating annual rhythmicity (14). The well-characterized circadian genetic machinery is also implicated in tracking seasonal changes (15). It is therefore likely that seasonality in human species involves the circadian timing system and that the previously identified brain

correlates of the circadian variations in cognitive brain function (2) play a role in annual changes in human cognition. Although seasonal changes in photoperiod together with neurotransmitters and neurotrophic factors seem to mediate seasonal mood variation in humans (16–20), the brain bases of seasonality in human cognition remain elusive. This lack of evidence arises in part from the fact that genuine seasonal rhythms of human brain function are difficult to measure. A number of factors that could directly affect brain function have indeed to be controlled: light exposure, sleep/wake rhythm, external temperature, food intake, physical exercise, and social interactions.

Here, we took advantage of a study completed in our laboratory under strictly controlled conditions, devoid of seasonal cues for 4.5 d, to assess annual rhythms in human cognitive brain function. The primary goal of the study was to assess the neural correlates of two tasks probing different cognitive domains during total sleep deprivation. Because the enrollment of participant was carefully timed such that the assessments would span all seasons, annual variations in the neural responses (assessed after recovery from the sleep deprivation) could be assessed. We hypothesized that, following 4.5 d under controlled conditions, brain responses to both tasks would undergo seasonal variations with higher and lower responses, respectively, around summer and winter solstices. In line with previous observations (13), we further postulated that annual variations would be more evident in the more basic attentional task compared with the more complex, higher-order executive task.

Significance

Evidence for seasonality in humans is limited. Mood probably stands as the aspect of human brain function most acknowledged as being affected by season. Yet, the present study provides compelling evidence for previously unappreciated annual variations in the cerebral activity required to sustain ongoing cognitive processes in healthy volunteers. The data further show that this annual rhythmicity is cognitive-process-specific (i.e., the phase of the rhythm changes between cognitive tasks), speaking for a complex impact of season on human brain function. Annual variations in cognitive brain function may contribute to explain intraindividual cognitive changes that could emerge at specific times of year.

Author contributions: D.-J.D. and P.M. designed research; C.M., V.M., M.J., C.K., E.L., and F.C. performed research; C.D., E.B., and A.L. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; S.L.C., E.B., and C.P. provided analysis expertise; C.D., A.L., and B.M. provided technical expertise; S.N.A. and F.C. provided expertise and feedback; C.M., V.M., M.J., S.L.C., B.M., P.M., and G.V. analyzed data; and C.M., S.N.A., D.-J.D., C.P., P.M., and G.V. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹C.M., V.M., and M.J. contributed equally to this work.

²P.M. and G.V. contributed equally to this work.

³To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: pmaquet@ulg.ac.be or gilles.vandewalle@ulg.ac.be.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1518129113/-DCSupplemental.

Table 1. Seasonal variation in PVT brain responses

Brain areas	Side	X Y Z	Z score	P value
Frontoorbital gyrus	L	-38 54 -6	3.43	0.017
	L	-26 52 -10	3.56	0.012
Medial frontoorbital gyrus	R	10 56 -12	3.34	0.022
Superior frontopolar gyrus	L	-26 60 20	4.32	<0.01*
Superior frontal gyrus	L	-14 20 64	4.56	<0.01*
Middle frontal gyrus	L	-50 18 38	3.29	0.025
Pre-SMA	R	2 20 48	3.11	0.042
Posterior cingulate gyrus	L	-4 -42 22	3.10	0.042
Precuneus	L	-18 -50 36	3.50	0.014
Supramarginal gyrus	L	-56 -36 30	3.93	0.004
Intraparietal sulcus	L	-30 -46 34	3.29	0.025
	R	30 -42 36	3.37	0.021
Superior temporal sulcus	L	-48 -58 16	3.45	0.016
Temporal pole	L	-38 16 -36	4.66	<0.05*
Fusiform gyrus	R	50 -56 -20	3.74	0.007
	R	42 -58 -18	3.12	0.039
Parahippocampal gyrus	L	-32 -28 -24	4.61	<0.05*
Hippocampus	R	28 -14 -24	4.58	<0.05*
Caudate nucleus	L	-6 4 -4	3.23	0.030
Amygdala	L	-22 -10 -24	4.87	<0.05*
Globus pallidus	R	18 -2 0	4.21	0.001
Thalamus	R	10 -6 8	3.86	0.005
	L	-8 -8 10	3.79	0.006

P values corrected for multiple comparisons over a priori small volume of interests, except *corrected over the entire brain. X Y Z: coordinates (millimeters) in Montreal Neurological Institute stereotactic space. All regions survived to an inclusive mask ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.001$) consisting of a brain map of the potential PVT brain responses covarying with day length, suggesting that annual variations in all regions are significantly driven by the seasonal changes in day length. No region survived to an inclusive mask ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.001$), whereas all regions survived exclusive masking ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} < 0.05$) with a brain map of the potential executive brain responses covarying (i) subjective mood and (ii) PVT performance (median, 20% fastest, 20% slowest reaction times), suggesting that annual variations in all regions are not significantly driven by the seasonal changes in these variables. L, left; R, right.

in-laboratory protocol devoid of seasonal cues (Figs. S1 and S2). Functional MRI (fMRI) recordings were acquired 1 h after wake-up time, following 63 h of strictly controlled experimental conditions (Fig. 1). Each recording included a sustained attention task [visual psychomotor vigilance task, PVT (21)], and a higher-order executive function task [auditory n-back task, involving storage, updating, and comparison of information in working memory (22)].

We first focused on the brain responses induced by the PVT and found significant annual variations in areas involved in alertness [thalamus (23) and amygdala (24)] and in executive control [frontal areas (25) and hippocampus (26)] (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Seasonal variations were also detected in the globus pallidus, parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, and in the temporal pole recruited during PVT execution (27, 28) and in the precuneus involved in visuospatial attention (29). As postulated, extraction of the seasonal variations in PVT brain responses revealed a similar rhythm in all these brain regions, with maximal responses around mid-June, and minimal around mid-December (i.e., around solstices) (Fig. 2B).

Variations in PVT brain responses were not related to significant changes in PVT performance, which remained good and stable throughout the year ($P > 0.2$; Table S2). This guarantees that fMRI differences were not significantly biased by differences in performance to the task and suggests that fMRI is more sensitive than the behavioral tests we used in identifying seasonal variations in cognition. Stable performance throughout the year via distinct brain dynamics implies, however, that the “cost” of cognition (i.e., the neural resources involved in or at disposal for

cognition) change with time of year. We hypothesize that the seasonality in brain responses could predict some of the seasonal variations in performance previously reported for potentially more sensitive tasks (11–13).

We next investigated whether other behavioral and physiological variables could account for the observed annual variations in PVT brain responses. Subjective and objective neurophysiological measures of alertness and subjective assessments of affective dimensions acquired immediately before fMRI acquisitions did not change significantly across seasons. In addition, in our dataset we could not replicate seasonal changes in melatonin secretion profile that were reported in some (30–33), but not all (34, 35), publications ($P > 0.05$; Table S2). Only self-reported mood varied significantly over season ($P = 0.003$; Table S2), but this variation was not significantly related to the seasonal changes in brain responses (Table 1 and Fig. S3). In summary, sustained attention-related brain activity fluctuates across seasons but these changes were not related to variations in the behavioral, endocrine, or neurophysiological parameters assessed in our study.

Photoperiod is the most obvious factor associated with season and both the intensity and spectral composition of light to which people are exposed vary with season (36). Fig. 2, indeed, suggests that PVT brain responses were closely related to photoperiod (gray area, Fig. 2B). A formal analysis revealed that all PVT brain responses showing seasonal variations were significantly associated with day length. This finding could imply that there is a “physiological memory” for the photoperiod to which participants were exposed before admission to the laboratory. Indeed, before fMRI recordings, participants had not seen sunlight for 4.5 d and had been for 63 h in dim light during wakefulness and in darkness during sleep episodes. Consistently, effects of prior light exposure (“photic memory”) on cognitive brain responses have formerly been demonstrated on a much shorter timescale in humans (37) and photoperiod memory has previously been described as “after-effects” of photoperiod on circadian clock neurons in rodents (38). Whether our data reflect a true human photoperiod memory is, however, not possible to ascertain because many other environmental factors covary with season and photoperiod, including air temperature and humidity (Fig. 2E).

Having established seasonal/annual variations in sustained-attention-related brain responses, we then examined whether such variations could be generalized to other cognitive domains by considering the n-back task implemented in our protocol. We found that brain responses to this executive task varied significantly with season in the thalamus, including the pulvinar, and in prefrontal and frontopolar areas, similar to the PVT results. In addition, significant annual variation was observed in the insula, a brain region involved in executive processes, attention, and affective regulation (39) (Fig. 3A and Table 2). Compared with PVT brain responses, significant seasonal variations seemed to encompass a reduced set of brain areas, which could indicate a relative decrease in seasonality on executive brain responses, in line with previous suggestions of a reduced seasonal impact on behavioral measures of more complex tasks (13).

This qualitative task-specific difference was complemented by a statistically significant difference in the dynamics of brain response estimates across the year, with maximum and minimum responses being located ~3 mo later for the n-back compared with the PVT (i.e., around autumn and spring equinoxes, respectively) (Fig. 3B and C) (day of the year at responses maximum phase: PVT, 168.9 ± 8.2 ; n-back, 265.7 ± 13 ; $t_{11} = -20.16$; $P < 0.001$).

Similar to the PVT, performance on the n-back was good and stable throughout the year in our sample (Table S2). However, covariation with photoperiod was not significant for any of the executive brain responses that significantly varied with season. As depicted in Fig. 3, there seems, however, to be a striking similarity between annual dynamics in executive brain responses and day-to-day variation in daylength (i.e., the number of minutes of

Table 2. Seasonal variation in executive brain responses (three-back minus letter detection)

Brain areas	Side	X Y Z	Z score	P value
Superior frontopolar gyrus	R	32 56 18	4.16	0.001
Superior frontal sulcus	L	-24 42 22	3.19	0.032
Middle frontal gyrus	R	26 52 6	4.07	0.002
	L	-20 56 4	3.66	0.008
Inferior frontal sulcus	L	-50 30 14	3.20	0.031
Frontal operculum	L	-46 -14 20	3.29	0.024
Anterior insula	R	34 16 10	3.52	0.013
Insula	L	-30 -6 14	3.39	0.018
Posterior insula	R	38 -20 4	3.56	0.011
	R	34 -16 -6	3.34	0.021
Thalamus	R	16 -18 12	3.14	0.037
Pulvinar	R	10 -22 12	3.10	0.040

P values corrected for multiple comparisons over a priori small volume of interests. X Y Z: coordinates (millimeters) in Montreal Neurological Institute stereotactic space. No regions survived inclusive masking ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} < 0.001$), whereas all regions survived exclusive masking ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} < 0.05$) with a brain map of the potential executive brain responses covarying with (i) daylength, (ii) subjective mood, and (iii) three-back performance (d-prime), suggesting that annual variations in all regions are not significantly driven by these variables. Most regions survived inclusive masking ($p_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.001$) consisting of a brain map of the potential executive brain responses covarying with day-to-day day-length variation, suggesting that annual variations in all regions, except thalamus and pulvinar, are significantly driven by the seasonal changes in day-to-day day-length variation. L, left; R, right.

Materials and Methods

Additional methodological descriptions are provided in *SI Materials and Methods*.

The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the University of Liège and participants gave their written informed consent. Participants underwent first 3 wk of a controlled sleep-wake schedule before the in-laboratory procedure which began in the evening of day 1 and ran over four nights (Fig. S1). This period was completed in the absence of seasonal cues (no access to daylight or external information such as internet access or cellular phones). Starting on the morning of day 3, participants remained awake for 42 h under constant routine (CR) conditions during which endocrine, neurophysiology, and neuropsychological measures were regularly collected. Sleep deprivation was followed by a 12-h recovery night in darkness. Day 5, while the participant were still in dim light, was devoted to an fMRI session that was carried out 1 h after wake up and is the main focus of the current paper. Subjective sleepiness and affective dimensions were assessed hourly throughout the protocol.

Cognitive Tasks. The fMRI session included two cognitive tasks separated in two acquisition runs. The PVT required pressing a button as quickly as possible when a stopwatch pseudorandomly started in the center of the screen. Mean interstimulus interval was set to be between 2 and 10 s and trial duration was a maximum of 10 s. The auditory three-back implies to state whether or not a consonant was identical to the consonant presented three stimuli earlier. Stimulus onset interval was set at 2 s. Letters were presented in block of 30 consonants separated by 10 to 20 s of rest. Six blocks of three-back were presented to each participant in addition to four blocks of a letter detection task consisting of identifying the letter "k" in a stream of consonants (same block duration and stimulus interval).

fMRI Data Analysis. Data were spatially preprocessed (standard parameters) and analyzed using SPM8 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) implemented in MATLAB 7.10 (MathWorks Inc.). Statistical analysis proceeded in two steps, a fixed and a random effects analysis, to take into account the variance at the individual and at the group level, respectively. Each trial type of the PVT and each hit of the three-back and letter detection task were modeled using

stick functions, convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function. For the PVT 20% fastest, 20% slowest, and intermediate reaction times and lapses were modeled as separate regressors. For the n-back, three-back, and letter detection task trial types were modeled separately. The design matrix also included regressors for movement parameters, derived from realignment of functional volumes, which were considered as covariates of no interest. A high-pass filter was implemented using a cutoff period of 128 s. Contrasts of interest consisted of the main effect of the intermediate reaction times of the PVT and the executive component of the three-back (three-back hits minus letter detection hits). These individual contrast images were entered in the second-level analysis. This latter random effects analysis included two covariates consisting of year-long period sine and cosine functions for which each day of the year (day 1 = January first) is almost equivalent to 1° (365 d for 360°).

Statistical inferences were performed using F test combining both sine and cosine covariates and thresholded at $P < 0.05$ after corrections for multiple comparisons (familywise error method) over the entire brain volume or over small spherical volumes (10-mm radius) around a priori locations of interest taken from literature (*SI Materials and Methods*).

Significant F tests indicate voxels with a response showing a seasonal variation with annual periodicity. From the parameters of the sine and cosine regressors, the phase and amplitude of the seasonal effect are estimated as the arctangent of the ratio of the parameters and the square root of their sum of square, respectively:

$$R(t) = \text{betas} * \sin(2 * \pi * t / T) + \text{betac} * \cos(2 * \pi * t / T) + \varepsilon \\ = A * \cos(2 * \pi * t / T - \varphi) + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\varphi = \text{atan2}(\text{betas}, \text{betac})$$

$$A = \sqrt{\text{betas}^2 + \text{betac}^2},$$

where R is the voxel response, betas/betac are the parameters for the sine/cosine regressors, T is the period of 1 y, ε is the residual of the model, φ is the phase of the seasonal response [R is maximum at $t_{\text{MAX}} = \varphi * T / (2 * \pi)$], and A is the amplitude of the seasonal response.

Four additional separate random effects analyses included (i) day length, (ii) subjective mood, (iii) day-to-day day-length variation, and (iv) behavioral performance (PVT performance: median, 20% fastest, and 20% slowest reaction times; three-back performance: d-prime) as covariates to constitute maps of the brain areas covarying with each variable. Maps were used as inclusive or exclusive masks over the results of the seasonality analyses thresholded at $P < 0.001$ or $P < 0.05$ uncorrected, respectively.

Behavioral and Physiological Data Analysis. Multiple regression analyses searching for seasonal variation in behavioral measures were performed (STATISTICA 10; StatSoft) using sine and cosine as independent variables. We tested their influence on (i) the subjective sleepiness alone, (ii) the six affective dimensions of the visual analog scale, (iii) PVT median reaction time, lapses, per 20 and per 80 together, and (iv) and three-back d-prime and criterion together.

Similar multiple regression analyses searching for seasonal variation in physiological and endocrine measures were also performed. Dependent variables were grouped as follows: (i) theta and alpha power on Cz together; (ii) melatonin amplitude, DMOn, DLMOFF, midpoint, and width; (iii) total sleep time, sleep efficiency, stage-two and slow wave, each one separately, for baseline night and recovery night; and (iv) the timing of the last fMRI session relatively to DLMon and DLMOFF.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the Institut Royal Météorologique of Belgium and A. Shaffii-Le Bourdieu, A. Golabek, A. Claes, B. Herbillon, B. Lauricella, and P. Hawotte. This study was funded by Fonds De La Recherche Scientifique Grant FRSM 3.4516.11, Actions de Recherche Concertées Grant ARC 09/14-03 of the Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles, Université de Liège, Fondation Médicale Reine Elisabeth, Walloon Excellence in Life Sciences and Biotechnology Grant WELBIO-CR-2010-06E, the European Regional Development Fund Radiomed project, Fondation Simone et Pierre Clerdent, Fonds Léon Fredericq, Wallonie-Bruxelles International, Fonds H. et L. Fredericq, Fundação Bial Grant 226/10, and Wolfson-Royal Society Merit Award WM120086.

- Hut RA, Beersma DGM (2011) Evolution of time-keeping mechanisms: Early emergence and adaptation to photoperiod. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 366(1574):2141–2154.
- Gaggioli G, Maquet P, Schmidt C, Dijk DJ, Vandewalle G (2014) Neuroimaging, cognition, light and circadian rhythms. *Front Syst Neurosci* 8:126.

- Bronson FH (2004) Are humans seasonally photoperiodic? *J Biol Rhythms* 19(3): 180–192.
- Brennan PJ, Greenberg G, Miall WE, Thompson SG (1982) Seasonal variation in arterial blood pressure. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 285(6346):919–923.

5. Gordon DJ, et al. (1987) Seasonal cholesterol cycles: the Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial placebo group. *Circulation* 76(6):1224–1231.
6. de Castro JM (1991) Seasonal rhythms of human nutrient intake and meal pattern. *Physiol Behav* 50(1):243–248.
7. Dopico XC, et al. (2015) Widespread seasonal gene expression reveals annual differences in human immunity and physiology. *Nat Commun* 6(May):7000.
8. Foster RG, Roenneberg T (2008) Human responses to the geophysical daily, annual and lunar cycles. *Curr Biol* 18(17):R784–R794.
9. Christodoulou C, et al. (2012) Suicide and seasonality. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 125(2):127–146.
10. Kasper S, Wehr TA, Bartko JJ, Gaist PA, Rosenthal NE (1989) Epidemiological findings of seasonal changes in mood and behavior. A telephone survey of Montgomery County, Maryland. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 46(9):823–833.
11. Polich J, Geisler MW (1991) P300 seasonal variation. *Biol Psychol* 32(2-3):173–179.
12. Kosmidis MH, Duncan CC, Mirsky AF (1998) Sex differences in seasonal variations in P300. *Biol Psychol* 49(3):249–268.
13. Brennen T, Martinussen M, Hansen BO, Hjemdal O (1999) Arctic cognition: A study of cognitive performance in summer and winter at 69 degrees N. *Appl Cogn Psychol* 13(6):561–580.
14. Vanderleest HT, et al. (2007) Seasonal encoding by the circadian pacemaker of the SCN. *Curr Biol* 17(5):468–473.
15. Tournier BB, et al. (2003) Photoperiod differentially regulates clock genes' expression in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of Syrian hamster. *Neuroscience* 118(2):317–322.
16. Farajnia S, van Westering TL, Meijer JH, Michel S (2014) Seasonal induction of GABAergic excitation in the central mammalian clock. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(26):9627–9632.
17. Castrén E, Vöikar V, Rantamäki T (2007) Role of neurotrophic factors in depression. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 7(1):18–21.
18. Green NH, Jackson CR, Iwamoto H, Tackenberg MC, McMahon DG (2015) Photoperiod programs dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons and affective behaviors. *Curr Biol* 25(10):1389–1394.
19. Lambert GW, Reid C, Kaye DM, Jennings GL, Esler MD (2002) Effect of sunlight and season on serotonin turnover in the brain. *Lancet* 360(9348):1840–1842.
20. Neumeister A, et al. (2001) Dopamine transporter availability in symptomatic depressed patients with seasonal affective disorder and healthy controls. *Psychol Med* 31(8):1467–1473.
21. Dinges D, Powell J (1985) Microcomputer analyses of performance on a portable, simple visual RT task during sustained operations. *Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput* 17(6):652–655.
22. Cohen JD, et al. (1997) Temporal dynamics of brain activation during a working memory task. *Nature* 386(6625):604–608.
23. Portas CM, et al. (1998) A specific role for the thalamus in mediating the interaction of attention and arousal in humans. *J Neurosci* 18(21):8979–8989.
24. Phelps EA, LeDoux JE (2005) Contributions of the amygdala to emotion processing: from animal models to human behavior. *Neuron* 48(2):175–187.
25. Koehlin E, Ody C, Kouneiher F (2003) The architecture of cognitive control in the human prefrontal cortex. *Science* 302(5648):1181–1185.
26. Halgren E, Marinkovic K, Chauvel P (1998) Generators of the late cognitive potentials in auditory and visual oddball tasks. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 106(2):156–164.
27. Drummond SP, et al. (2005) The neural basis of the psychomotor vigilance task. *Sleep* 28(9):1059–1068.
28. Schmidt C, et al. (2009) Homeostatic sleep pressure and responses to sustained attention in the suprachiasmatic area. *Science* 324(5926):516–519.
29. Parks EL, Madden DJ (2013) Brain connectivity and visual attention. *Brain Connect* 3(4):317–338.
30. Honma K, Honma S, Kohsaka M, Fukuda N (1992) Seasonal variation in the human circadian rhythm: Dissociation between sleep and temperature rhythm. *Am J Physiol* 262(5 Pt 2):R885–R891.
31. Bojkowski CJ, Arendt J (1988) Annual changes in 6-sulphatoxymelatonin excretion in man. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 117(4):470–476.
32. Vondrasová D, Hájek I, Illnerová H (1997) Exposure to long summer days affects the human melatonin and cortisol rhythms. *Brain Res* 759(1):166–170.
33. Wehr TA (1991) The durations of human melatonin secretion and sleep respond to changes in daylength (photoperiod). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 73(6):1276–1280.
34. Wehr TA, Giesen HA, Moul DE, Turner EH, Schwartz PJ (1995) Suppression of men's responses to seasonal changes in day length by modern artificial lighting. *Am J Physiol* 269(1 Pt 2):R173–R178.
35. Wehr TA, et al. (2001) A circadian signal of change of season in patients with seasonal affective disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 58(12):1108–1114.
36. Thorne HC, Jones KH, Peters SP, Archer SN, Dijk D-J (2009) Daily and seasonal variation in the spectral composition of light exposure in humans. *Chronobiol Int* 26(5):854–866.
37. Chellappa SL, et al. (2014) Photic memory for executive brain responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(16):6087–6091.
38. Meijer JH, Michel S, Vanderleest HT, Rohling JH (2010) Daily and seasonal adaptation of the circadian clock requires plasticity of the SCN neuronal network. *Eur J Neurosci* 32(12):2143–2151.
39. Chang LJ, Yarkoni T, Khaw MW, Sanfey AG (2013) Decoding the role of the insula in human cognition: Functional parcellation and large-scale reverse inference. *Cereb Cortex* 23(3):739–749.
40. Robbins TW, Roberts AC (2007) Differential regulation of fronto-executive function by the monoamines and acetylcholine. *Cereb Cortex* 17(Suppl 1):i151–i160.
41. Nieoullon A (2002) Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Prog Neurobiol* 67(1):53–83.
42. Kanikowska D, et al. (2009) Seasonal variation in blood concentrations of interleukin-6, adrenocorticotrophic hormone, metabolites of catecholamine and cortisol in healthy volunteers. *Int J Biometeorol* 53(6):479–485.
43. Koskela A, et al. (2008) Brain serotonin transporter binding of [123I]ADAM: Within-subject variation between summer and winter data. *Chronobiol Int* 25(5):657–665.
44. Matheson GJ, et al. (2015) Diurnal and seasonal variation of the brain serotonin system in healthy male subjects. *Neuroimage* 112:225–231.
45. Praschak-Rieder N, Willeit M, Wilson AA, Houle S, Meyer JH (2008) Seasonal variation in human brain serotonin transporter binding. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 65(9):1072–1078.
46. Kalbitzer J, et al. (2010) Seasonal changes in brain serotonin transporter binding in short serotonin transporter linked polymorphic region-allele carriers but not in long-allele homozygotes. *Biol Psychiatry* 67(11):1033–1039.
47. Eisenberg DP, et al. (2010) Seasonal effects on human striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis. *J Neurosci* 30(44):14691–14694.
48. Karson CN, Berman KF, Kleinman J, Karoum F (1984) Seasonal variation in human central dopamine activity. *Psychiatry Res* 11(2):111–117.
49. Molendijk ML, et al. (2012) Serum BDNF concentrations show strong seasonal variation and correlations with the amount of ambient sunlight. *PLoS One* 7(11):e48046.
50. Monecke S, Wollnik F (2005) Seasonal variations in circadian rhythms coincide with a phase of sensitivity to short photoperiods in the European hamster. *J Comp Physiol B* 175(3):167–183.
51. Goldman BD, Song CK, Bartness TJ (2009) Seasonal hormonal changes and behavior. *Encycl Neurosci* 8:501–508.
52. Horne JA, Ostberg O (1976) A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *Int J Chronobiol* 4(2):97–110.
53. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ (1989) The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 28(2):193–213.
54. Johns MW (1991) A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: The Epworth sleepiness scale. *Sleep* 14(6):540–545.
55. Duffy JF, Dijk D-J (2002) Getting through to circadian oscillators: Why use constant routines? *J Biol Rhythms* 17(1):4–13.
56. Akerstedt T, Gillberg M (1990) Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *Int J Neurosci* 52(1-2):29–37.
57. Deichmann R, Schwarzbauer C, Turner R (2004) Optimisation of the 3D MDEFT sequence for anatomical brain imaging: Technical implications at 1.5 and 3 T. *Neuroimage* 21(2):757–767.
58. Collette F, et al. (2005) Exploring the unity and diversity of the neural substrates of executive functioning. *Hum Brain Mapp* 25(4):409–423.
59. Vandewalle G, et al. (2007) Brain responses to violet, blue, and green monochromatic light exposures in humans: Prominent role of blue light and the brainstem. *PLoS One* 2(11):e1247.
60. Vandewalle G, et al. (2009) Functional magnetic resonance imaging-assessed brain responses during an executive task depend on interaction of sleep homeostasis, circadian phase, and PER3 genotype. *J Neurosci* 29(25):7948–7956.
61. Vandewalle G, et al. (2006) Daytime light exposure dynamically enhances brain responses. *Curr Biol* 16(16):1616–1621.
62. Daneault V, et al. (2014) Aging reduces the stimulating effect of blue light on cognitive brain functions. *Sleep* 37(1):85–96.
63. Green D, Swets JA (1966) *Signal Detection Theory and Psychophysics* (Wiley, New York).
64. Rechtschaffen K (1968) *A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Stages of Human Subjects* (US Dept. of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Bethesda, MD).
65. English J, Middleton BA, Arendt J, Wirz-Justice A (1993) Rapid direct measurement of melatonin in saliva using an iodinated tracer and solid phase second antibody. *Ann Clin Biochem* 30(Pt 4):415–416.
66. Raven JC (1936) Mental tests used in genetic studies: The performance of related individuals on tests mainly educative and mainly reproductive. MSc thesis (Univ of London, London).