Accepted Manuscript

Title: Zika virus, vectors, reservoirs, amplifying hosts, and their potential to spread worldwide: what we know and what we should investigate urgently.

Author: Rengina Vorou



PII:	S1201-9712(16)31057-8
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2016.05.014
Reference:	IJID 2619
To appear in:	International Journal of Infectious Diseases
Received date:	24-2-2016
Revised date:	24-4-2016
Accepted date:	13-5-2016

Please cite this article as: Vorou R, Zika virus, vectors, reservoirs, amplifying hosts, and their potential to spread worldwide: what we know and what we should investigate urgently., *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.05.014

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Zika virus, vectors, reservoirs, amplifying hosts, and their potential to spread worldwide: what we know and what we should investigate urgently.

REVIEW

Dr Rengina Vorou, MD, DTM&H, MPH, Ph.D.

Medical Microbiologist,

Hellenic Center for Diseases Control and Prevention, Athens, Greece.

Hellenic Center for Diseases Control and Prevention,

3-5 Agrafon str, Marousi, Athens, PC 15 123

Greece.

e-mail: vorou@keelpno.gr

Abstract

Objectives

The widespread epidemic of Zika virus infection in South and Central America and the Caribbean in 2015 along with increased incidence of microcephaly in fetuses born to mothers infected with Zika virus and a potential for a worldwide spread indicate the need to review the current literature regarding vectors, reservoirs and amplification hosts.

Vectors

The virus has been isolated in Africa in mosquitoes of the genera *Aedes, Anopheles and Mansonia*, as well as in *Aedes* in Southeastern Asia and the Pacific area. *Aedes albopictus* has invaded several countries in Central Africa and all Mediterranean countries and continues to spread throughout Central and Northern Europe. The wide distribution of the virus in animal hosts and vectors favors the emergence of its recombinants.

Animal hosts

The virus has been isolated in monkeys, and antibodies have been detected in domestic sheep, goats, horses, cows, ducks, rodents, bats, orangutans, and carabaos.

Conclusions

It is a public health imperative to define the domestic or wild animal reservoirs, amplification hosts and vectorial capacity of the genera *Aedes*, *Anophelines*, and *Mansonia*. These variables will define the geographic distribution of Zika virus along with the indicated timing and scale of the environmental public health interventions worldwide.

Key words: Zika virus infection; vector; animal; Aedes; Anophelines; migrants

Highlights

- The aim of this review is to present the wide distribution of Zika virus in animal hosts and vectors and to stress the lack of evidence about the animals capable of being reservoirs or amplification hosts and their vectorial capacity.
- It is highlighted that the RNA structure of the virus facilitates the emergence of recombinants and, additionally, its circulation in a wide range of animals and vectors in West Africa has also been correlated with such emergence, a fact that raises concerns regarding the potential of a novel clinical presentation.
- It is highlighted that in Africa, Zika virus has been isolated in mosquitoes of the genera *Aedes, Anopheles,* and *Mansonia*.
- It is stressed that while in South America, southeastern Asia and the Pacific, *Aedes aegypti* is the principal vector, *A. albopictus* is being established as a competent vector as well, having invaded Central Africa, the Mediterranean and parts of Central and Northern Europe.
- It is highlighted that antibodies against the virus have been detected in domestic sheep and goats, horses, cows, ducks, rodents, bats, orangutans, and carabaos in southeastern Asia, separate from the strains of the virus isolated from monkeys in Africa.
- It is discussed that it is a public health emergency to define the domestic or wild animal reservoirs and amplification hosts, as well as the vectorial capacity of the genera Aedes, Anophelines, and Mansonia as they will define

the geographic distribution of Zika virus and the indicated public health interventions worldwide.

Introduction

A widespread epidemic of Zika virus infection was reported in 2015 in Central and South America and the Caribbean and was associated with increased incidence of microcephaly in fetuses born to mothers infected with Zika virus (ZIKV), with Brazil being the most affected country by both epidemics¹⁻⁴. This rare, devastating, and untreatable complication of the fetuses was declared to be a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC)¹⁻⁴. The early recognized neurotropism of the virus ⁵ has been in concordance with the recent rise in microcephaly incidence in Brazil^{3, 4, 6}. The virus has been found in the amniotic fluid of two pregnant women with fetuses suffering a reduction in the circumference of the head ⁶. The virus has also been found in the central nervous systems (CNS) of the fetuses^{3, 4}, while negative tests excluded other congenital infections ⁶.

Thirty-two additional countries and territories of the Americas are affected by ZIKV, including Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, where the Olympics and Paralympics are to be held in August 2016, thus posing a threat for international travelers and local host-country residents². Local transmission has not been observed in Europe yet, and there is a global alert about travelers returning from endemic countries with symptoms consistent with Zika virus infection.

The immediate public health measures feasible at present, in the absence of a vaccine, are interventions relevant to the vector and a better understanding of the wide and unknown range of possible amplification hosts. Two main concerns prompted this exhaustive review of the literature: the historical paradigm of the introduction of yellow fever virus from Africa to the Americas, where it adapted to local sylvatic vectors and primates⁷, and the fact that we do not yet know which could

be the competent vectors or amplifying hosts of Zika virus in the temperate climate, thus hampering any future surveillance and intervention control programs. The range of vectors and animals in which the virus has been detected worldwide were reviewed in order to assess the likelihood of an established circulation of the virus in novel areas.

Epidemiology

Zika virus is an emerging vector-borne pathogen that was first isolated in 1947 in a sentinel rhesus macaque monkey and again in 1948 from a pool specimen of Aedes africanus mosquitoes of the Zika Forest in Uganda⁵. From 1947 through 2007, only serological data, entomological data and the diagnosis of 14 human cases with viral isolation or serology had been reported in Asia and Africa⁸⁻¹³. It has been speculated that among those human cases, a few may have been the tip of an unrecognized outbreak. One unrecognized outbreak took place in 1977-1978 in Indonesia ^{14, 15}. In 2007, on Yap Island in the Federated States of Micronesia, the first outbreak outside of Africa and Asia occurred, with 49 confirmed cases and 73% of residents three years or older infected according to the IgM seropositivity^{16, 17}. There are limitations in the seroprevalence studies resulting from the cross-reactive nature of ZIKV with However, IgM antibodies against dengue virus dengue and other flaviviruses. persist up to 12 weeks, and the specimens for the IgM measurement were collected during a 12-week period from April 1 through July 31, 2007. During this time, the specimens had been collected within 10 days from symptom onset. They tested positive only for Zika virus RNA and not for dengue or other flavivirus RNA¹⁷.

From 2007 through 2013, no new instances of human seropositivity or disease had been reported. In 2013, the virus reemerged in French Polynesia, and from 2013 through 2014, it disseminated to the Cook Islands, New Caledonia, Easter

Island and throughout the Pacific¹⁸. French Polynesia reported 396 epidemiologically confirmed cases and 29,000 suspect cases¹⁹. The virus has been either isolated or had its nucleic acid extracted by PCR and then sequenced from samples collected in Southeast Asia (e.g., Thailand, Indonesia, Cambodia²⁰⁻²²). All strains collected in Asia and the Pacific belong to the Asian lineage and are closely related, indicating that the virus was present in the area for several years but remained undetected as the clinical manifestations resemble those of other known endemic arboviruses such as dengue and chikungunya virus²⁰. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the virus has spread to the Pacific and SE Asia successively, causing a wave of clinical disease and subsequent detection of the virus²⁰.

In March 2015, Brazil notified the World Health Organization of an illness compatible with but not suspected to be a ZIKV infection. Soon after, in May 2015, it documented the first confirmed Zika virus transmission in mainland South America, along with the assumption that the virus was introduced to the country via the Va'a World Sprint Championship canoe race held in Rio de Janeiro during August 2014²³. Four Pacific countries (French Polynesia, New Caledonia, the Cook Islands, and Easter Island) participated; subsequently, the virus was transferred from the major cities across the country via the infected Brazilian participants and spectators who returned to their home towns²⁴. The strain found in Brazil was phylogenetically closer to the strain in the French Polynesia outbreak of 2013–2014, with both belonging to the Asian lineage. It is estimated that 440,000 to 1,3 million cases have been reported as of December 2015²³.

Virus

Zika virus is an approximately 11-kb single-stranded, positive-sense ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus from the Flaviviridae family. It is related to Dengue, West Nile and Yellow fever viruses and is a member of the Spondweni serocomplex whose transmission cycle consists mainly of vectors from the *Aedes* genus (*A. furcifer, A. taylori, A.luteocephalus* and *A. africanus*) and monkeys ^{25, 26}. Phylogenetic analyses have revealed three lineages: two African lineages ie the MR 766 cluster and the Nigerian cluster, and one Asian lineage (Table 1) ^{16, 27, 28}.

All lineages share a common origin in Uganda early in the 20th century, from where it dispersed west to West Africa via two introductions and east to Southeast Asia and then to the Pacific as follows: a) A relative of the MR 766 prototype strain was introduced from Uganda to Côte d'Ivoire in 1940 and from there to Senegal in 1985 resulting in the MR 766 lineage, b) a relative of the Nigerian strain was introduced from Uganda to the Central African Republic and Nigeria around 1935, and from Nigeria to Senegal and Côte d'Ivoire around 1960 forming the Nigerian lineage, and c) a ZIKV cluster was probably spread from Uganda to Malaysia in 1945, making its way to Micronesia sometime around 1960, where it formed the Asian lineage ²⁵. However, it is unknown whether to attribute this migration only to human and vector movements, or also to birds carrying the virus along migratory routes.

Regarding the African lineages, the phylogenetic analysis of ZIKV strains collected from 1968 through 2002 in Senegal, Côte d'Ivoire, Burkina Faso and the Central African Republic indicated that there are more recombinants than in other flaviviruses; however, they all clustered in the two African lineages, the MR 766 cluster and the Nigerian cluster.

Transmission

Transmission has been demonstrated to occur mostly via infected female mosquito vectors of the *Aedes* genus, Culicidae family. Transmission is mainly urban and sylvatic, with humans serving as primary amplification hosts in areas where there are no non-human primates ¹⁷. The latter constitute the amplification host in a sylvatic cycle¹⁷. Mosquitoes, as hematophagous arthropods, acquire the virus via a blood meal, and they host it throughout their life span without being affected. They transmit it to the next amplification host, i.e., their target during the next blood meal²⁹. Other routes of transmission are sexual intercourse³⁰⁻³³, perinatal transmission³⁴ from mother to fetus, and blood transfusion³⁵.Breast feeding has not been reported as a mode of transmission.

Clinical manifestations

The majority of infections are subclinical, estimated to reach 81% of infected individuals. The clinical manifestations mimic those of other arboviral infections,e.g., dengue and the chikungynya endemic in tropical areas (West Africa, SE Asia, Pacific area, South America)^{2, 17, 22, 36}. Macular or papular rash (90%), fever (65%), arthritis or arthralgia (65%), non purulent conjunctivitis (55%), myalgia (48%), headache (45%), and retro-orbital pain (39%) were the most commonly reported symptoms²⁰, followed by anorexia, vomiting, diarrhea, stomach aches, dizziness, leg pain, lymphadenopathy and hypotension. No deaths, hospitalizations, or hemorrhagic manifestations were documented^{17, 20}. In the Indonesian outbreak among humans in 1977-1978, no rash was reported¹⁴.

In Eastern Nigeria, two patients appeared with jaundice ³⁷. There is early evidence for neurotropism of the virus ⁵, which spares all body tissue except for nervous tissue ³⁸. Similarly, intracerebral inoculation of infected human blood in

suckling albino Swiss mice was followed by proliferation of the virus in their nervous tissue ⁸.

Zika virus infection has been associated with Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) in Martinique and French Polynesia^{39, 40}. During the Zika virus outbreak of French Polynesia in 2013-2014, the incidence of GBS multiplied by 20 ³⁹, and a case control study of a large series of patients confirmed the link between Zika virus infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Further, the same study did not find any evidence that past or concurrent dengue infection was a causative or predisposing factor to GBS⁴¹. Additionally, microcephaly and various ophthalmological findings and neurological symptoms have been observed in fetuses and infants born to infected mothers¹⁻⁴. The autopsy findings in the central nervous systemof fetuses were a very small brain, a complete absence of cerebral gyri, severe dilation of both cerebral lateral ventricles, dystrophic calcifications throughout the cerebral cortex, and hypoplasia of the brain stem and spinal cord. Particles consistent with Zika virus were detected on electron microscopy of brain tissue but not in other tissues^{3.4}.

Diagnosis

It is challenging to diagnose pregnant women or symptomatic individuals living in or returning from areas endemic for flaviviruses. Flaviviruses trigger the production of cross-reactive antibodies in humans, and they cause dengue, Japanese encephalitis, Saint Louis encephalitis, West Nile fever, yellow fever and Zika infection, diseases which share partly similar symptoms⁴²⁻⁴⁴. Emphasis for diagnostics should be on molecular testing such as reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) during the first seven days after symptom onset. After the seventh day, viraemia decreases gradually; consequently, a negative RT-PCR does not exclude flavivirus infection, and serological testing should be performed²⁰. IgM

antibodies persist for about two to twelve weeks and can be detected with enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). If this assay is positive, neutralizing antibody detection assays, e.g., plaque reduction neutralization tests (PRNT), may enable us to determine the virus causing infection^{43, 44}.

The confirmation of the diagnosis of Zika virus infection relies on the detection of Zika virus RNA (RNA extraction) in blood through RT-PCR or pan-flavivirus PCR amplification followed by sequencing, or viral isolation. Alternatively, a confirmatory diagnosis may be achieved with the co-detection of anti-Zika IgM antibodies (ELISA) and a Zika virus PRNT₉₀(or PRNT₈₀) titer of at least 20 and, if West Nile virus (WNV) or dengue virus need to be ruled out, a ratio of Zika to either dengue virus or WNV PRNT titers of at least four. In contrast, a probable case of Zika virus infection tests negative by RT-PCR but positive for IgM antibody (ELISA), and has a Zika virus PRNT titer of at least 20, and a ratio of Zika to dengue virus or to WNV PRNT titers less than four^{17, 20, 43, 45}.

The detection of the virus in pool specimens of mosquitoes is performed with quantitative real-time PCR ⁴⁶.

Vectors

The vectors of ZIKV in Africa are distinct from those in South America, Southeast Asia and the Pacific area. Outside Africa, *Aedes aegypti* is the principal vector, while *A. albopictus* is being established as a competent vector as well (Table 2).

In Africa, Zika virus has been first isolated from mosquitoes, *Aedes africanus*, collected in Zika Forest, Bwama county, Uganda, in 1948⁵,1958⁴⁷ and 1964¹⁰. In 1969, ZIKV was isolated from *Aedes africanus* and *Aedes apicoergenteus* collected

in Zika Forest. Uganda¹². In 2014, a retrospective study investigated Aedes africanus and Aedes opoc collected in Central African Republic, Western Africa, from 1976 through 1980. The phylogenetic analysis of this research revealed that the detected ZIKV strains clustered together in the African lineages of ZIKV⁴⁸. Viral isolates from 1968 to 2002 in West Africa revealed that ZIKV detected in Aedes dalzieli, Aedes africanus, Aedes aegypti and Aedes furcifer exhibited many recombination events which could be attributed principally to the zoophilic mosquitoes Aedes dalzieli, which take blood meals from distinct animal species harboring different ZIKV strains at the same time²⁵. Another retrospective study in Senegal, Western Africa, investigated samples collected from 1962 through 2008 and detected the Zika virus in Ae.aegypti, Ae. dalzieli, Ae. furcifer (known as A. taylori), Anopheles africanus coustani, Anopheles gambiae s.l., and Mansonia uniformis⁴⁹. In 2011, in southeastern Senegal, ZIKV was isolated from Mansonia uniformis, Culex perfuscus, and Anopheles coustani, but without adequate clarification about their vectorial competency ⁵⁰.

However, in 2007, the first human infection with Zika was recognized in Gabon, Central Africa, as a result of the presence of *Aedes albopictus*, a species that has invaded the urban areas of the country³⁶. Until then, the Zika virus epidemic had gone unrecognized as a result of co-existence with Dengue and Chikungunya viruses³⁶. *A. albopictus* has invaded Cameroon in Central Africa and Mozambique in Southeast Africa, gradually replacing the indigenous *A. aegypti*⁵¹, and depicts a dynamic expansion in temperate climates across the globe⁵².

In the Pacific, vectors of Zika virus are mosquitoes of the genus *Aedes*, principally the prevalent *Aedes aegypti*, followed by the invading *Aedes albopictus*⁵³, which are known to transmit Chikungunya virus, Dengue virus and Zika Virus⁴². They are considered to be competent vectors of the ZIKV Asian lineage and have enhanced their prevalence from 2011 to 2014⁴². In 1969 in Malaysia, Zika virus was

isolated from *Aedes aegypti*¹¹. In Indonesia in 1978, a human epidemic with Zika virus was presumably propagated by *Aedes aegypti* during the rainy season, but no study has been conducted to establish the presence of the virus in mosquitoes ¹⁴. In Singapore, the experimental inoculation of local *A. aegypti* with the Uganda strain of ZIKV was followed by transmission of the virus⁵⁴.

However, on Yap island, in the Federated States of Micronesia, the most prevalent among the 12 mosquito species belonging to four genera collected was *Aedes henselli*, and pool specimens tested negative for Zika virus in two studies; consequently, we are not certain about the vector ^{17, 55}. The laboratory inoculation of Zika virus into this mosquito was conducted on Yap Island, with 80% (when high inoculum was provided) being colonized, among which 23% developed infection⁵⁵. Similarly, in French Polynesia, where an extended epidemic occurred in October 2013, the prevalence of *Aedes henselli* is higher than any other species, supposedly rendering it to be a vector for Zika virus; however, there has been no study to detect the virus in pool specimens ²⁴ and at the peak of the epidemic the entomological study pointed at *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes polynesiensis* ¹⁹.

In Brazil, transmission has been attributed to *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*. *Aedes aegypti* can be found in rural and urban areas transmitting Chikungunya virus and four Dengue serotypes, but *Aedes albopictus* is prevalent in the country ^{2, 31}. Human travel among several commercial urban areas allow for the rapid movement of vectors (in cars, trucks, planes) along with the infected humans.

Aedes albopictus has colonized almost every Mediterranean country and continues to spreadthrough Central and Northern Europe. Aedes japonicus has spread widely in Central Europe; Aedes atropalpus in Northern Italy and the Netherlands; Aedes Koreicus Swiss-Italian border, Belgium ⁵⁶ and Germany; and

Aedes aegypti, has established itself on Madeira and around the Black Sea coast (Russia, Abkhazia, Georgia)²⁹.

Similar to all arboviruses, Zika vectors are influenced by animal population density. Thus, Zika virus could reveal surprising vector, reservoir and amplifying host range should it be introduced to novel tropical or temperate natural ecosystems, including Europe^{25, 57}. Additionally, vectors such as *Aedes aegypti* and *A. dalzieli*, which feed on several animal species and humans, enhance the transmission rate as well as the concurrent infections and the recombination and reassortment of the genetic material of Zika virus strains^{24,57}.

As Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus thrive in stagnant water collections like those in peridomestic water supplies used in the absence of piped water provision, proliferation may be encouraged by human population growth or the migratory waves from areas with civil upheaval and the possible subsequent uncontrolled slum formation. Therefore, consistent public awareness about the significance of eliminating any peridomestic stagnant water is of critical public health importance^{56, 58-60}.

Animal hosts

The virus reservoir was not identified in a 1947 study in Zika Forest, Uganda, where the Zika virus was first isolated from rhesus monkeys ⁵. The monkeys displayed mild or absent clinical presentation, while 5 days after experimental infection, they developed neutralizing antibodies ³⁸. Additionally, anti-Zika antibodies have been detected in wild mammals in Senegal in 1967-1968⁶¹. In 1969, in Zika Forest, ZIKV was isolated in samples taken from monkeys ¹². In Lombok, Indonesia, in 1978, anti-Zika antibodies were detected in ducks, goats, cows, horses, bats, and carabaos (water buffalo), but not in chickens, rats or wild birds, indicating the widespread

circulation of the virus in domestic animals¹⁵. The question of whether birds transfer the virus over long distances remains unanswered ¹⁵.

In 1982 in Gabon, antibodies against the virus were again detected in monkeys ¹³. In 1983, antibodies against Zika virus were detected in Pakistan among rodents, domestic sheep and goats, as well as in human sera ⁹. Samples collected in 1996-1997 from wild and semi-captive orangutans in Borneo, Malaysia, tested positive for anti-ZIKA antibodies⁶². Samples collected from monkeys in West Africa from 1968 through 2002 were examined and the virus detected with RT-PCR ²⁵, and samples collected between 1962 and 2008 from monkeys in West Africa tested positive for specific ZIKA antigens with serology assays (Table 3)⁴⁹.

The antibody detection assays run the risk of cross-reaction with other flaviviruses co-circulating with the Zika virus, thus challenging the safe interpretation of published data. Furthermore, early laboratory methods for the detection of antibodies were of uncertain specificity and sensitivity whereas antigen and molecular assays were not developed.

Conclusions

There are few entomologic and vectorial capacity studies and limited literature regarding the investigation of the prevalence of the virus in wild and domestic animals in temperate and tropical climates. This is probably because the virus has caused mild or no clinical symptoms in humans and animals until recently.

The dissemination of the virus throughout the Pacific and South America after the French Polynesia outbreak with Zika virus in 2013 is undoubted. There is no information about the possibility of transovarian transmission of the virus in mosquitoes. The virus has been identified in the genera *Aedes, Anophelines*, and

Mansonia only in Africa, and there is no such pool specimen examination of those genera in the Asia, the Pacific, or the Americas. In the Pacific and SE Asia, however, experimental inoculation of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes henselli* was successful.

Aedes albopictus has expanded worldwide and can adapt to distinct ecosystems and trigger arboviral outbreaks. *Aedes aegypti,* which is prevalent in densely populated areas of South America, is recognized as difficult to eradicate and control³¹.

There are concerns about the vast majority of asymptomatic while contagious infections, the recent neurologic complications and sequelae in adults and fetuses/newborns²⁵ and the potential genetic evolution of this RNA virus, which pose a threat for subsequent novel neurological or other manifestations. It is a vector-borne infection that can be transmitted via sexual intercourse.

In sum, we do not have sufficient information regarding the animal reservoirs and amplification hosts, including domestic animals, and the vectors of Zika virus as well as the vectorial capacity of the genus *Aedes* and genus *Anophelines*. It is a public health emergency to shed light our understanding of these factors because these will define the transmission dynamics and geographic distribution of Zika virus as well as indicate the timing and scale of environmental public health interventions.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Ethical Approval

Approval was not required.

References

- 1. Ventura CV, Maia M, Ventura BV, Linden VV, Araujo EB, Ramos RC, Rocha MA, Carvalho MD, Belfort R, Jr., Ventura LO. Ophthalmological findings in infants with microcephaly and presumable intra-uterus Zika virus infection. Arquivos brasileiros de oftalmologia 2016;79:1-3.
- Petersen E, Wilson ME, Touch S, McCloskey B, Mwaba P, Bates M, Dar O, Mattes F, Kidd M, Ippolito G, Azhar EI, Zumla A. Unexpected and Rapid Spread of Zika Virus in The Americas - Implications for Public Health Preparedness for Mass Gatherings at the 2016 Brazil Olympic Games. International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases 2016;44:11-5.
- Mlakar J, Korva M, Tul N, Popovic M, Poljsak-Prijatelj M, Mraz J, Kolenc M, Resman Rus K, Vesnaver Vipotnik T, Fabjan Vodusek V, Vizjak A, Pizem J, Petrovec M, Avsic Zupanc T. Zika Virus Associated with Microcephaly. The New England journal of medicine 2016 374:951-8.
- 4. Rubin EJ, Greene MF, Baden LR. Zika Virus and Microcephaly. The New England journal of medicine 2016;374:984-5.
- Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1952;46:509-20.
- Schuler-Faccini L, Ribeiro EM, Feitosa IM, Horovitz DD, Cavalcanti DP, Pessoa A, Doriqui MJ, Neri JI, Neto JM, Wanderley HY, Cernach M, El-Husny AS, Pone MV, Serao CL, Sanseverino MT, Brazilian Medical Genetics Society-Zika Embryopathy Task F. Possible Association Between Zika Virus Infection and Microcephaly -Brazil, 2015. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report 2016;65:59-62.
- 7. Prata A. Yellow fever. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2000;95 Suppl 1:183-7.
- 8. Fagbami AH. Zika virus infections in Nigeria: virological and seroepidemiological investigations in Oyo State. The Journal of hygiene 1979;83:213-9.
- 9. Darwish MA, Hoogstraal H, Roberts TJ, Ahmed IP, Omar F. A sero-epidemiological survey for certain arboviruses (Togaviridae) in Pakistan. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1983;77:442-5.
- 10. Haddow AJ, Williams MC, Woodall JP, Simpson DI, Goma LK. Twelve Isolations of Zika Virus from Aedes (Stegomyia) Africanus (Theobald) Taken in and above a Uganda Forest. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 1964;31:57-69.
- 11. Marchette NJ, Garcia R, Rudnick A. Isolation of Zika virus from Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in Malaysia. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 1969;18:411-5.
- 12. McCrae AW, Kirya BG. Yellow fever and Zika virus epizootics and enzootics in Uganda. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1982;76:552-62.

- 13. Saluzzo JF, Ivanoff B, Languillat G, Georges AJ. [Serological survey for arbovirus antibodies in the human and simian populations of the South-East of Gabon (author's transl)]. Bulletin de la Societe de pathologie exotique et de ses filiales 1982;75:262-6.
- 14. Olson JG, Ksiazek TG, Suhandiman, Triwibowo. Zika virus, a cause of fever in Central Java, Indonesia. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1981;75:389-93.
- 15. Olson JG, Ksiazek TG, Gubler DJ, Lubis SI, Simanjuntak G, Lee VH, Nalim S, Juslis K, See R. A survey for arboviral antibodies in sera of humans and animals in Lombok, Republic of Indonesia. Annals of tropical medicine and parasitology 1983;77:131-7.
- Lanciotti RS, Kosoy OL, Laven JJ, Velez JO, Lambert AJ, Johnson AJ, Stanfield SM, Duffy MR. Genetic and serologic properties of Zika virus associated with an epidemic, Yap State, Micronesia, 2007. Emerging infectious diseases 2008;14:1232-9.
- 17. Duffy MR, Chen TH, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Holzbauer S, Dubray C, Guillaumot L, Griggs A, Bel M, Lambert AJ, Laven J, Kosoy O, Panella A, Biggerstaff BJ, Fischer M, Hayes EB. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. The New England journal of medicine 2009;360:2536-43.
- 18. Musso D, Nilles EJ, Cao-Lormeau VM. Rapid spread of emerging Zika virus in the Pacific area. Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2014;20:0595-6.
- 19. Ioos S, Mallet HP, Leparc Goffart I, Gauthier V, Cardoso T, Herida M. Current Zika virus epidemiology and recent epidemics. Medecine et maladies infectieuses 2014;44:302-7.
- Buathong R, Hermann L, Thaisomboonsuk B, Rutvisuttinunt W, Klungthong C, Chinnawirotpisan P, Manasatienkij W, Nisalak A, Fernandez S, Yoon IK, Akrasewi P, Plipat T. Detection of Zika Virus Infection in Thailand, 2012-2014. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 2015;93:380-3.
- 21. Kwong JC, Druce JD, Leder K. Zika virus infection acquired during brief travel to Indonesia. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 2013;89:516-7.
- 22. Heang V, Yasuda CY, Sovann L, Haddow AD, Travassos da Rosa AP, Tesh RB, Kasper MR. Zika virus infection, Cambodia, 2010. Emerging infectious diseases 2012;18:349-51.
- 23. Zanluca C, de Melo VC, Mosimann AL, Dos Santos GI, Dos Santos CN, Luz K. First report of autochthonous transmission of Zika virus in Brazil. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2015;110:569-72.
- 24. Musso D. Zika Virus Transmission from French Polynesia to Brazil. Emerging infectious diseases 2015;21:1887.
- 25. Faye O, Freire CC, Iamarino A, Faye O, de Oliveira JV, Diallo M, Zanotto PM, Sall AA. Molecular evolution of Zika virus during its emergence in the 20(th) century. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2014;8:e2636.
- 26. Kuno G, Chang GJ, Tsuchiya KR, Karabatsos N, Cropp CB. Phylogeny of the genus Flavivirus. Journal of virology 1998;72:73-83.
- 27. Cao-Lormeau VM, Roche C, Teissier A, Robin E, Berry AL, Mallet HP, Sall AA, Musso D. Zika virus, French polynesia, South pacific, 2013. Emerging infectious diseases 2014;20:1085-6.
- 28. Kuno G, Chang GJ. Full-length sequencing and genomic characterization of Bagaza, Kedougou, and Zika viruses. Archives of virology 2007;152:687-96.
- 29. Schaffner F, Medlock JM, Van Bortel W. Public health significance of invasive mosquitoes in Europe. Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication

of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2013;19:685-92.

- 30. Foy BD, Kobylinski KC, Chilson Foy JL, Blitvich BJ, Travassos da Rosa A, Haddow AD, Lanciotti RS, Tesh RB. Probable non-vector-borne transmission of Zika virus, Colorado, USA. Emerging infectious diseases 2011;17:880-2.
- 31. Marcondes CB, Ximenes MF. Zika virus in Brazil and the danger of infestation by Aedes (Stegomyia) mosquitoes. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical 2015.
- 32. Musso D, Cao-Lormeau VM, Gubler DJ. Zika virus: following the path of dengue and chikungunya? Lancet 2015;386:243-4.
- Musso D, Roche C, Nhan TX, Robin E, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Detection of Zika virus in saliva. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 2015;68:53-5.
- Petersen EE, Staples JE, Meaney-Delman D, Fischer M, Ellington SR, Callaghan WM, Jamieson DJ. Interim Guidelines for Pregnant Women During a Zika Virus Outbreak
 United States, 2016. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report 2016;65:30-3.
- 35. Marano G, Pupella S, Vaglio S, Liumbruno GM, Grazzini G. Zika virus and the neverending story of emerging pathogens and transfusion medicine. Blood transfusion = Trasfusione del sangue 2015:1-6.
- 36. Grard G, Caron M, Mombo IM, Nkoghe D, Mboui Ondo S, Jiolle D, Fontenille D, Paupy C, Leroy EM. Zika virus in Gabon (Central Africa)--2007: a new threat from Aedes albopictus? PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2014;8:e2681.
- 37. Macnamara FN. Zika virus: a report on three cases of human infection during an epidemic of jaundice in Nigeria. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1954;48:139-45.
- 38. Dick GW. Zika virus. II. Pathogenicity and physical properties. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1952;46:521-34.
- Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, Leparc-Goffart I, Lastere S, Valour F, Baudouin L, Mallet H, Musso D, Ghawche F. Zika virus infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome--case report, French Polynesia, December 2013. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2014;19.
- 40. Roze B, Najioullah F, Ferge JL, Apetse K, Brouste Y, Cesaire R, Fagour C, Fagour L, Hochedez P, Jeannin S, Joux J, Mehdaoui H, Valentino R, Signate A, Cabie A, Group GBSZW. Zika virus detection in urine from patients with Guillain-Barre syndrome on Martinique, January 2016. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2016;21.
- 41. Cao-Lormeau VM, Blake A, Mons S, Lastere S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, Dub T, Baudouin L, Teissier A, Larre P, Vial AL, Decam C, Choumet V, Halstead SK, Willison HJ, Musset L, Manuguerra JC, Despres P, Fournier E, Mallet HP, Musso D, Fontanet A, Neil J, Ghawche F. Guillain-Barre Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: a case-control study. Lancet Lancet 2016 Feb 29 pii: S0140-6736(16)00562-6 doi: 101016/S0140-6736(16)00562-6 [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 26948433 2016.
- 42. Roth A, Mercier A, Lepers C, Hoy D, Duituturaga S, Benyon E, Guillaumot L, Souares Y. Concurrent outbreaks of dengue, chikungunya and Zika virus infections an unprecedented epidemic wave of mosquito-borne viruses in the Pacific 2012-2014. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2014;19.

- 43. Vorou R. Letter to the editor: diagnostic challenges to be considered regarding Zika virus in the context of the presence of the vector Aedes albopictus in Europe. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2016;21.
- 44. CDC. Revised diagnostic testing for Zika, chikungunya, and dengue viruses in US Public Health Laboratories. Atlanta: CDC; 7Feb2016 Available from: http://www.cdcgov/zika/pdfs/denvchikvzikv-testing-algorithmpdf.
- 45. Zammarchi L, Tappe D, Fortuna C, Remoli ME, Gunther S, Venturi G, Bartoloni A, Schmidt-Chanasit J. Zika virus infection in a traveller returning to Europe from Brazil, March 2015. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2015;20.
- 46. Faye O, Faye O, Diallo D, Diallo M, Weidmann M, Sall AA. Quantitative real-time PCR detection of Zika virus and evaluation with field-caught mosquitoes. Virology journal 2013;10:311.
- 47. Weinbren MP, Williams MC. Zika virus: further isolations in the Zika area, and some studies on the strains isolated. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1958;52:263-8.
- 48. Berthet N, Nakoune E, Kamgang B, Selekon B, Descorps-Declere S, Gessain A, Manuguerra JC, Kazanji M. Molecular characterization of three Zika flaviviruses obtained from sylvatic mosquitoes in the Central African Republic. Vector borne and zoonotic diseases 2014;14:862-5.
- 49. Althouse BM, Hanley KA, Diallo M, Sall AA, Ba Y, Faye O, Diallo D, Watts DM, Weaver SC, Cummings DA. Impact of climate and mosquito vector abundance on sylvatic arbovirus circulation dynamics in Senegal. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 2015;92:88-97.
- 50. Diallo D, Sall AA, Diagne CT, Faye O, Faye O, Ba Y, Hanley KA, Buenemann M, Weaver SC, Diallo M. Zika virus emergence in mosquitoes in southeastern Senegal, 2011. PloS one 2014;9:e109442.
- 51. Ngoagouni C KB, Nakouné E, Paupy C, Kazanji M. Invasion of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) into central Africa: what consequences for emerging diseases? Parasites & vectors 2015 8:191.
- 52. Kampango A, Abilio AP. The Asian tiger hunts in Maputo city-the first confirmed report of Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse, 1895) in Mozambique. Parasites & vectors 2016;9:76.
- 53. Calvez E, Guillaumot L, Millet L, Marie J, Bossin H, Rama V, Faamoe A, Kilama S, Teurlai M, Mathieu-Daude F, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M. Genetic Diversity and Phylogeny of Aedes aegypti, the Main Arbovirus Vector in the Pacific. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2016;10:e0004374.
- 54. Wong PS, Li MZ, Chong CS, Ng LC, Tan CH. Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse): a potential vector of Zika virus in Singapore. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2013;7:e2348.
- 55. Ledermann JP, Guillaumot L, Yug L, Saweyog SC, Tided M, Machieng P, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Griggs A, Bel M, Duffy MR, Hancock WT, Ho-Chen T, Powers AM. Aedes hensilli as a potential vector of Chikungunya and Zika viruses. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2014;8:e3188.
- Boukraa S, Dekoninck W, Versteirt V, Schaffner F, Coosemans M, Haubruge E, Francis F. Updated checklist of the mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) of Belgium. Journal of vector ecology : journal of the Society for Vector Ecology 2015;40:398-407.

- 57. Kuno G, Chang GJ. Biological transmission of arboviruses: reexamination of and new insights into components, mechanisms, and unique traits as well as their evolutionary trends. Clinical microbiology reviews 2005;18:608-37.
- 58. Erguler K, Smith-Unna SE, Waldock J, Proestos Y, Christophides GK, Lelieveld J, Parham PE. Large-Scale Modelling of the Environmentally-Driven Population Dynamics of Temperate Aedes albopictus (Skuse). PloS one 2016;11:e0149282.
- 59. Valerio L, Roure S, Fernandez-Rivas G, Ballesteros AL, Ruiz J, Moreno N, Bocanegra C, Sabria M, Perez-Quilez O, de Ory F, Molina I. Arboviral infections diagnosed in a European area colonized by Aedes albopictus (2009-2013, Catalonia, Spain). Travel medicine and infectious disease 2015;13:415-21.
- 60. Werner D, Zielke DE, Kampen H. First record of Aedes koreicus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Germany. Parasitology research 2015;115:1331-4.
- 61. Bres P. [Recent data from serological surveys on the prevalence of arbovirus infections in Africa, with special reference to yellow fever]. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 1970;43:223-67.
- 62. Wolfe ND, Kilbourn AM, Karesh WB, Rahman HA, Bosi EJ, Cropp BC, Andau M, Spielman A, Gubler DJ. Sylvatic transmission of arboviruses among Bornean orangutans. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 2001;64:310-6.

Table 1: Lineages of Zika virus.

Lineages of Zika virus	
1. African lineages (two clusters):	2. Asian lineage.
a. MR 766 cluster	One Asian genotype
b. Nigerian cluster	

Years of sampling	Location	Mosquito genus species	Study/Assay	Ref				
AFRICA								
1948	Zika forest, Uganda	Aedes africanus	Mosquito catches in Zika forest and first isolation of Zika virus from Aedes africanus pool specimens	5, 38				
1958	Zika forest, Uganda	Aedes africanus	Virus isolation	47				
1964	Zika Forest, Uganda	Aedes africanus	Virus isolation	10				
1969	Uganda, Bwama county, Zika forest	Aedes africanus, Aedes apicoergenteus	Virus isolation from pool specimens of mosquitoes trapped in Zika forest.	12				
1976-1980	Central African Republic	Aedes africanus, aedes opok	Retrospective entomologic study with RT-PCR and sequencing	48				
1968-2002	West Africa: Côte d'Ivoire And Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic	Aedes dalzieli, Aedes africanus, Aedes aegypti, Aedes furcifer, Aedes grahamii Ae. luteocephalus Aedes vittatus	Retrospective study. Phylogenetic analysis Reverse transcription-PCR, nucleotide sequencing. Numerous recombination events were detected.	25				
1962-2008	Senegal	Aedes aegypti, Ae. custani, Ae. dalzieli, Ae. fowleri, Ae. furcifer (known as A. taylori), Aedes luteocephalus, Ae. vittatus, Ae. neoafricanus, Ae. metallicus, Ae. minutus, Anopheles africanus coustani, Anopheles gambiae s.l., and Mansonia uniformis The higher number of ZIKV isolation events was detected in Ae. furcifer (known as A. taylori), Aedes Iuteocephalus, and Aedes dalzieli.	Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris) Identification of isolates with immunofluorescence with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid. This was confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.	49				
2011 2007	southeastern Senegal Gabon	Ae. africanus, Ae. hirsutus, Ae. metallicus, Ae. unilineatus and Cx. perfuscus had the highest infection rates compared to Aedes (Diceronyia) furcifer, Aedes (Fredwardsius) vittatus, Aedes taylori, Aedes luteocephalus, Aedes dalzieli, Aedes aegypti, Mansonia uniformis and Annopheles coustani, with the lower infection rates Aedes albopictus	Virus isolation, RT-PCR Retrospective seroepidemiologic and entomologic study in 2014. RT-PCR and sequencing of pool specimens	36				
ASIA								
1969 Non annliachte	Malaysia	Aedes aegypti	Virus isolation	54				
Non applicable. Experiment in 2012	singapore	(Iocal in Singapore) Aedes aegypti	Inoculation of Ugandan ZIKV in (local in Singapore) Aedes aegypti and subsequent mosquito-borne transmission of the virus					
Non Applicable Experiment in 2014	Yap island, Federated States of Micronesia. Human outbreak in 2007	Field collected Aedes henselli and Culex quinquefasciatus tested negative for Zika virus. Aedes henselli <u>laboratory infection</u> .	Experiment. Laboratory infection of Aedes henselli	55				

Table 2: Mosquitoes in which Zika virus was detected

-Ŀ. D 0 ٠ U

Table 3: Animals in which Zika virus was detected.

Years of sampling Location (minuta) Animal (minuta) Assay virus/anitbody and mask tested Number of processing markey Postive animals (s) (s) Refere nce 1847 Zik forest, Uganda Resus markeys Introcerbal inculation intro line and suspend virus balation To markeys Introcerbal inculation into bala intro postive intervent successful experimentia inculation With marmals inculation							D (
Sampling Zilla farost, Ugand Ricsus Ricsus Intracerebral inoculation into mice and subsequent virus. Two others underwert successful experimental isolation monitory and monitorys isolation into the monitory detection with the program subsequent virus. Societion into the monitory detection with the program subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the program subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the program subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the program subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation into the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation in the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation in the monitory detection with the subsequent virus isolation in the monitory detection with the virus isolation in the monitory detectio	Years of	Location	Animal	Assay virus/antibody	Number of	Positive animals (%)	Refere	
1947 Zia forest, Uganda Rheas, monkeys, stability, 24,4 Intracerebra fonculation in Dirac, diagonesed with the virus, monkeys, stability, 24,4 Intracerebra fonculation in Dirac, diagonesed with the virus, stability, 1962 and 1964 Senegal 1962.1068 With mammals 2,4% monkeys 25% Intracerebra fonculation with henculation inhibition fonculation inhibition for stability, 1963 and monkeys With mammals 2,4% monkeys 25% Intracerebra fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition for stability, 1978 With mammals 2,4% monkeys 25% Intracerebra fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition for stability, 1977 Intracerebra fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition for stability, 1977 Intracerebra fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition for stability, 1977 Intracerebra fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation fonculation inhibition fonculation inhibition fonculation fon	sampling				animals tested		nce	
moneys, mode and subsequent virus, solution into others underwent subsection with monkeys 295; wild mammals 2.4% monkeys 295; solution 196.71984 Ugends, Kisabi, Bourna county, vira forest Nummals, Kisabi, Bourna county, vira forest Nummals, Kisabi, Bourna county, vira forest Nummals, Bourna county, vira forest <td>1947</td> <td>Zika forest, Uganda</td> <td>Rhesus</td> <td>Intracerebral inoculation into</td> <td>One Rhesus diagnosed</td> <td>with the virus,</td> <td>5</td>	1947	Zika forest, Uganda	Rhesus	Intracerebral inoculation into	One Rhesus diagnosed	with the virus,	5	
OP5-1086 (H) 1962 and 1964 Senegal 1962 radii (H) 1964 Senegal 1962 radii (H) 1964 Material 21 (H) 1964 Material 21 (H) 1965 Material 21 (H) 1965 Material 21 (H) 1966 Material 21 (H) 1978 Material 21 (H) 1978 Material 21 (H) 1978 Material 21 (H) 1978 Material 21 (H) 1977 Material 21 (H) 1977 Material 21 (H) 1977 Material 21 (H) 1977 Material 21 (H) 1978 Material 21 (H) 1977			monkeys,	mice and subsequent virus	I wo others underwents	successful experimental	38	
1962 and 1964 Ethologu 1962 and 1964 monkeys 25% monkeys 25% monkeys 25% 1967 Uganda, Kisubi, Bwama county, zuka Forest Bwamba county Mitbody detection with hemagglutination inhibition test Kisubi Rectail 21 Bwama Rectail 21 Bw	1967-1968	Senegal 1967-1968	Wild mammals	Antibody detection with	Inoculation	wild mammals 2 4%	61	
1964 Local (H) Control Parama county, alka forest for status forest fores	1962 and 1964	Ethiopia 1962 and	monkeys	hemagglutination inhibition		monkeys 25%		
1969 Uganda, Kisubi, Bwama county, zka forest Bwama becounty monkeys* Mitbody detection with hemagglutination inhibition antibodes (HIA), Detection of antibodes (DA) Kisubi Retail 21 Bwama Retail 25 Bwama Rotali 25 23/52 23/52 23/52 0 1978 Lombok, Indonesia, T977. Ducks, goats, cows, horsy, ratio cows, horsy, ratio carabase (water buffalo) Ducks, goats, cows, horsy, ratio carabase (water buffalo) Ducks, goats, cows, horsy, ratio cows, horsy, ratio carabase (water buffalo) HA* Brangglutination inhibition antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination inhibition antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination inhibition antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination inhibition antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination inhibition antibode (BA) HA* Brangglutination antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination antibodes (DA) HA* Brangglutination antibode (BA) Antibody detection antibode (BA) HA* Brangglutination antibode (BA) Antibody detection antibode (BA) Brangglutination antibode (BA) Brangglutination		1964	-	(HI)				
Ksub, brana county, zika forsist forsist monkeys forsist (arcs) memagautination imbition test (arcs) bernagautination imbition test (arcs) bernagautination imbition (arcs) bernagautination imbition (arcs) bernagautination imbition (arcs) HIA (arcs) 27/36 (arcs) File (arcs) 1978 Lombok, Indonesia (arcs) Ducks, goats, cows, honese, bats, rats, carabaos (water batfalog) HIA (arcs) HIA (arcs) <td>1969</td> <td>Uganda,</td> <td>Bwamba county</td> <td>Antibody detection with</td> <td>Kisubi Redtail 21</td> <td>4/21</td> <td>12</td>	1969	Uganda,	Bwamba county	Antibody detection with	Kisubi Redtail 21	4/21	12	
Institution bulkers in durings in difference Product of the second		KISUDI, Pwama county, zika	monkeys	hemagglutination Inhibition	Bwama Redtail 52	23/52		
1978 Lombok, Indonesia, Human outbreak in 1977. Lows, goats, torus, hore, human outbreak in 1977. Horagolithation inhibition for the second buffalo) Horagolithation inhibition 11 Carabao 13 Carabao 14 (10%) 0078 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 025 (0) 026 (0) 027 (0) 026		forest		lest	Dwarna otners to	// 10		
Human outbreak in 1977.Cows, forses, bat, scarabaos (with buffalo)antibodies (HIA), bet cition of antibodies (DA) A Course of Attraction of Attrac	1978	Lombok, Indonesia.	Ducks, goats,	Hemagglutination inhibition	HIA ^c	HIA ^c	15	
Human outbreak in 1977.bets, rats, carabao (value bufalo)Detection of antibodies (DA) 3 Carabao 3 Goats147 cows 173 GPA 7735 (20%) 2725 (20%) 7735 (20%) 2725 (20%)Hat 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%)Hat 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%)Hat 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%) 2725 (20%)1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hermagiguituration inhibition 151 (20%)157 rodents, 45 Cows 33 Bufaloes 0 33 Bufaloes 0 33 Bufaloes 0 33 Bufaloes 0 112 257%)6/157(3.8%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0157 rodents, 45 Cows 0157 rodents, 45 Cows 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 06/157(3.8%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 010 0 0 0 0 010 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1011 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1011 10 			cows, horses,	antibodies (HIA),	15 horses	3/15 (20%)		
1977.carabase (water buffalo)1/3 Carabas 350puis1/13 (B%) 350puis178 chicken 52 ducks0/78 (0) 2/52 (24%)0/78 (0) 2/52 (24%)0/78 (0) 2/52 (24%)17 wild birds 0 A/40/71 (0) 0 A/40/74 (0) 0 A/40/74 (0) 0 A/41982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with herraggiutration inhibition (H) /Complement fixation rest157 rodents, 45 Cows 1 bat0/17 (0) 0 A/41983PakistanRodents, domestis sheep and goats (at slaugutars)Antibody detection with herraggiutration inhibition (H) /Complement fixation rest157 rodents, 45 Cows 06/157(3.8%) 0*1993PakistanRodents, domestis sheep and goats (at slaugutars)Antibody detection 45 Cows 48 Goats6/157(3.8%) 0*1996-1997Maleysia, Borneo Orangutans, Retrospective study of viral isolatesWild Grangutans, Grift af Africa or angutans, Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Frythrocebus pats), caltion or neutralization texts, caltion or neutralizationN/A ^k *		Human outbreak in	bats, rats,	Detection of antibodies (DA)	41 cows	4/41 (10%)		
Juniab) <t< td=""><td></td><td>1977.</td><td>carabaos (water</td><td></td><td>13 Carabao</td><td>1/13 (8%)</td><td></td></t<>		1977.	carabaos (water		13 Carabao	1/13 (8%)		
S2 ducks S2 ducks T1 batsS2 ducks S2 ducks T1 batsS2 ducks S7 (%6) S7 (%6) OZE (%) OZE (%) <			Dullalo)		78 chicken	0/78 (0)		
1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagguitheation hibition (H) /Complement fixation test6/15 (38%) (DA4 6 forces 8 cows 1 chicken 2 ducks6/15 (3.8%) (DA4 Alt tested negative141982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagguitheation hibition (HI) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows 3 3 Buffaloes6/157(3.8%) 0141983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter)Antibody detection with hemagguitheation hibition (HI) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows 3 3 Buffaloes6/157(3.8%) 0 091996-1997Malaysia, Borneo driver Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Aria Republic Retrospective study of vial isolatesWid orangutans, RT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans321968-2002West Africa: Cote d'Vier Senegal Burkina Faso, central Aria Republic Retrospective study of vial isolatesMonkeyRT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.N/A*241962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of vial isolatesMonkeyWros isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae, peadoscutellaris) leartification of isolates with immunoflucrescence queuersistic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.N/A*4*					52 ducks	2/52 (4%)		
1982Gabonmonkeys and restAntibody detection with hemaguluration inhibition test157 rodents, a ducks0/15 (0) DA ⁴ All tested negative1982Gabonmonkeys and ducksAntibody detection with hemaguluration inhibition test1 bat131983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection test157 rodents, 48 Goats6/157(3.8%) 0 0 12.57%)157 rodents, 0 0 0 12.57%)6/157(3.8%) 0 0 0 12.57%)38 Urfaloes 0 0 0 0 0 12.57%)1968-2002West Africa: Coopel Burkina Faso, Central Africawild or angulans, semi-captive or angulans, of driat isolatesNinkeys monkeysR1-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detectionN/A*5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive or angulans, semi-captive or angulans141962-2008West Africa: Coopel globalesMonkey (Erythrocebus pats), control AfricaWind solatesVirus isolation in the mosquito cell inc AFO (Ae. complement fraction or neutralization tests.N/A*N/A*					71 bats	6/71 (8%)		
1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 2 ducks0/17 (0) DA ⁴ 6 hgrses 3 geats 1 tarabao 9 geats 1 tarabao 9 geats 1 tarabao 9 geats 1 tata11111982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 40 Scows6/157(3.8%) 0 06/157(3.8%) 0 0157 rodents, 40 Scows6/157(3.8%) 0 012111983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slauptior) humasAntibody detection 40 Scows 33 Buffalces 40 Scows6/157(3.8%) 0 0 06/157(3.8%) 0 012121996-1997Malaysia, Borneo diving SangutansWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans 31 Semi-captive orangutans5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans111968-2002West Africa: Cote diving Saoo. Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (frythrocebus aethiogs, (ab orangutans)N/A*N/A*1962-2008Senegal Monkey of viral isolatesMonkey (frythrocebus aethiogs, (ab or horangutans)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AF61 (Ae pseudoscutellaris) aethiogs, (ab or horangutansN/A*Image and antibal cell line AF61 (Ae pseudoscutellaris) aethiogs, (ab or horangutansN/A*1962-2008Senegal MonkeyMonkey (frythrocebus aethiogs, (a					25 rats	0/25 (0)		
DA* 6 horses 8 cows 9 goats 1 carabao 9 goats 1 chicken 2 ducks 1 batDA* All tested negative1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagutination inhibition (H) Complement fixation test157 rodents, 6 (7157(3.8%)6/157(3.8%)11983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at staughter) humansAntibody detection with hemagutination inhibition (H) Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Gows 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17 wild birds</td> <td>0/17 (0)</td> <td></td>					17 wild birds	0/17 (0)		
1982 Gabon monkeys Antibody detection with hemaggutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test 157 rodents, 40 cks 6/157(3.8%) * 1983 Pakistan Rodents, domestic sheep and goats (at suphrer) humans Antibody detection with hemaggutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test 157 rodents, 45 Cows 6/157(3.8%) * 1983 Pakistan Rodents, domestic sheep and goats (at suphrer) humans Antibody detection 45 Cows 157 rodents, 45 Cows 6/157(3.8%) * 1996-1997 Malaysia, Borneo Wild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutans antibodies 40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans 5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans * 1968-2002 West Africa: Cote d'woire, Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus aethiops (also mande Grivet and African Grien Monkey) Virus isolation in the mosquito cangutans N/A ^b *					DA ^a	DA ^d		
1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagguination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows 0 0 45 Cows 0 0 12,57%)6/157(3.8%) 0 021983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and poats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection with hemagguination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows 0 0 0,45 Sheep 48 Goats6/157(3.8%) 0,021996-1997Malaysia, Borneo orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansWild orangutans, semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans1/20 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive erangutans1/31 (3%) semi-captive erangutans1968-2002West Africa: Cote choire, Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patis), Chiorocebus and African Green Monkey)Monkey (Erythrocebus actification or isolates with immunofluorescence with virus specific immune ascilic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.N/A ^b *********************************					8 cows	All tested negative		
9 goats 1 chicken 2 ducks9 goats 1 chicken 2 ducks11982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagulutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 6 /157 (3 8%) 06 /157 (3 8%) 0121983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection157 rodents, 4 6 Sheep 4 6 Sheep 1 (2.083%)6 /157 (3 8%) 031996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans5 /40 (13%) wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutansantibodies1968-2002West Africa: Cote efficies Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus pats), Chicrocebus africa; Chicrocebus africa; Chicrocebus africa; Retrospective studyMonkey (Erythrocebus pats), Chicrocebus africa; Chicrocebus africa; Chicro africa; mamed Africa Green Monkey)Monkey (Frythrocebus pats), Chicrocebus africa fixen on neutralization testsN/A ^b antibility africa; africa; complement fixation or neutralization tests					1 carabao			
1982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemägglutination inhibition (H) /Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows6/157(3.8%) 0131983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection157 rodents, 45 Cows6/157(3.8%) 091996-1997Malaysia, Borneo orangutans, Sem-captive orangutans, Sem-captive orangutansantibodies157 rodents, 45 Cows6/157(3.8%) 091996-1997Malaysia, Borneo (H) /Complement fixation testWild orangutans, Sem-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, sem-captive orangutans12.083%)1968-2002West Africa: Cote Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkeyRT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.N/A*12.083%)1962-2008Sonegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Frythrocebus (Erythrocebus Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AF6 (Ae. pseudosuctilaris)N/A***1962-2008Sonegal (rail isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the minumer specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization testsN/A***					9 goats			
2 ducks 1 bat2 ducks 1 bat41982GabonmonkeysAntibody detection with hemagguitnation inhibition (H) / Complement fixation test157 rodents, 45 Cows 06/157(3.8%) 091983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection157 rodents, 45 Cows 06/157(3.8%) 091996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans5/40 (13%), wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans***1968-2002West Africa: Cote d'Ivoire, Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.N/A ^b Africa Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.Africa Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.N/A ^b Africa Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.Africa Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.N/A ^b Africa Kertopsective study or or neutralization tests.Africa Kertopsective stu					1 chicken			
1982 Gabon monkeys Antibody detection with hemagglutination inhibition (HI) /Complement fixation test 10at 10at 11 1983 Pakistan Rodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humans Rodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humans Antibody detection 157 rodents, 45 Cows 33 Buffaloes 6/157(3.8%) 0 9 1996-1997 Malaysia, Borneo Wild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutans antibodies 31 Semi-captive orangutans 5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans 6/2 1968-2002 West Africa: Côte d'Ivoire, Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops (also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) N/A ^b N/A ^b 4 ^a 1962-2008 Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops (also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) Virus isolation in the mosquito complement fixation or neutralization tests. N/A ^b N/A ^b 4 ^a					2 ducks			
192 Labor Initiality of the state o	1982	Gabon	monkeys	Antibody detection with	TDat		13	
Image: second	1702	Gubon	moniceys	hemagalutination inhibition				
Image: constraint of the sectionrestimage: constraint of the sectionfor the section <th for="" section<="" td="" the=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(HI) /Complement fixation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(HI) /Complement fixation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				(HI) /Complement fixation			
1983PakistanRodents, domestic sheep and goats (at slaughter) humansAntibody detection157 rodents, 45 Cows 0 33 Buffaloes 46 Sheep 48 Goats6/157(3.8%)*1996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutans Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans621968-2002West Africa: Cote d'Ivoire,Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesWonkeyRT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.N/A ^b 5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans621962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Frythrocebus patas), Chorcebus a ethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green MonkeyVirus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae, pseudoscutellaris)N/A ^b 491962-2008Senegal (Frythrocebus patas), Chorcebus a ethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green MonkeyVirus isolation on reutralization tists.N/A ^b 49				test			0	
1996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captiveantibodies45 Lows 33 Buffalces0 	1983	Pakistan	Rodents,	Antibody detection	157 rodents,	6/157(3.8%)	9	
Insight of goins (at sharper s			and goats (at		45 COWS 33 Buffaloes	0		
1996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 			slaughter)		46 Sheep	1(2.57%)		
1996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild Wild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans*********************************			humans		48 Goats	1(2.083%)		
1996-1997Malaysia, BorneoWild orangutans, Semi-captive orangutansantibodies40 Wild orangutans, 31 Semi-captive orangutans5/40 (13%)wild, 1/31 (3%) semi-captive*********************************								
1968-2002West Africa: Côte d'Ivoire, Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesmonkeysRT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.N/Ab1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans251962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris)N/Ab1/31 (3%) semi-captive251962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris)N/Ab1/31 (3%) semi-captive orangutans491962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris)N/Ab1/31 (3%) semi-captive1962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation of isolates with immunofluorescence with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.I/Ab1/31 (3%) semi-captive ito and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.1/31 (3%) semi-captive ito and confirmed by complement fixation	1996-1997	Malaysia, Borneo	Wild	antibodies	40 Wild orangutans,	5/40 (13%)wild,	62	
Semin-capture orangutansOrangutans1968-2002West Africa: Côte d'Ivoire,Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatesmonkeysRT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection.N/A ^b 1962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey)Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris)N/A ^b 49			orangutans,		31 Semi-captive	1/31 (3%) semi-captive		
1968-2002 West Africa: Côte d'Ivoire,Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkeys RT-PCR, nucleotide sequencing, recombination detection. N/A ^b 25 1962-2008 Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris) N/A ^b 49			orangutans		orangutaris			
d'Ivoire,Senegal Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolatessequencing, recombination detection.N/Ab1962-2008Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolatesMonkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named African Green Monkey)Wirus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris)N/Ab	1968-2002	West Africa: Côte	monkeys	RT-PCR, nucleotide			25	
Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolates detection. 1962-2008 Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris) aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) N/A ^b		d'Ivoire,Senegal		sequencing, recombination	N/A ^b			
Lentral Africa Republic Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. Chlorocebus aethiops, (also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) N/A ^b 49		Burkina Faso,		detection.				
Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) N/A ^b 49		Central Africa						
of viral isolates Monkey Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) N/A ^b 49 49 49		Retrospective study						
1962-2008 Senegal Retrospective study of viral isolates Monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) Virus isolation in the mosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris) N/A ^b 49		of viral isolates						
Retrospective study of viral isolates(Erythrocebus patas), Chlorocebus aethiops,(also named Grivet and Africanmosquito cell line AP61 (Ae. pseudoscutellaris) identification of isolates with immunofluorescence ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.	1962-2008	Senegal	Monkey	Virus isolation in the	N/A ^b		49	
or viral isolates patas), Cell line AP61 (Ae. Chlorocebus aethiops, (also named Grivet identification of isolates with and African immunofluorescence Green Monkey) with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.		Retrospective study	(Erythrocebus	mosquito				
aethiops, (also named Grivet and African Green Monkey) Green Monkey immunofluorescence with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.		or viral isolates	patas), Chlorocohus	cell line AP61 (Ae.				
named Grivet identification of isolates with and African immunofluorescence Green Monkey) with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.			aethiops (also	pscuuoscutellalis				
and African Green Monkey) with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.			named Grivet	identification of isolates with				
Green Monkey) with virus-specific immune ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.			and African	immunofluorescence				
ascitic fluid and confirmed by complement fixation or neutralization tests.			Green Monkey)	with virus-specific immune				
fixation or neutralization tests.				ascitic fluid and confirmed by				
tests.				fixation or neutralization				
				tests.				

^a Redtail monkey, Black mangabey, Lowland Colombus were positive for Zika virus. ^cHemaglutination inhibition antibodies

^bNon applicable