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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

The “sunshine” vitamin is a hot topic that attracted ample attention over the past decades, specially that a considerable proportion of the worldwide population are deficient in this essential nutrient. Vitamin D was primarily acknowledged for its importance in bone formation, however; increasing evidence point to its interference with the proper function of nearly every tissue in our bodies including brain, heart, muscles, immune system and skin. Thereby its deficiency has been incriminated in a long panel of diseases including cancers, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular and neurological disorders. Its involvement in the pathogenesis of different dermatological diseases is no exception and has been the subject of much research over the recent years. In the current review, we will throw light on this highly disputed vitamin that is creating
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It is somewhat ironic that vitamin D, through a historical accident, became classified as a ‘vitamin’, owing to the fact that vitamin is conventionally defined as ‘essential item needed in the diet’. The paradox with ‘vitamin D’ is that diet per se is usually poor in vitamin D except for cod or other fish oils or food fortified with this vitamin [1].

Vitamin D is actually a fat-soluble prohormone steroid that has endocrine, paracrine and autocrine functions [2]. The endocrine effects of vitamin D are mainly involved in serum calcium homeostasis. Vitamin D and calcium are often used in the same sentence because they work closely together, vitamin D’s primary role is to control the levels of calcium found in the bloodstream by constantly allowing calcium and phosphate absorption from the intestine or taking calcium from bones. Furthermore, vitamin D is an enabling agent that, when present in optimal concentrations, has no perceptible effect on calcium absorption in its own right; however, it permits or facilitates flexible physiologic response to varying calcium need [3].

The paracrine and autocrine effects of vitamin D depend on genetic transcription, unique to the type of cell expressing nuclear vitamin D receptors. These potential effects include inhibition of cell proliferation, promotion of cell differentiation, and apoptosis which may in turn have roles in cancer, immunity, and many organ systems [4–8]. The potential myriad effects of this vitamin in human health and disease have led to an escalating interest in vitamin D inadequacy and the best methods to normalize suboptimal levels.

Sources of vitamin D

There are only 3 known sources of vitamin D; sunlight, diet, and vitamin D supplements (Fig. 1) [2,9,10].

Sunlight

The most well-known source of vitamin D is via synthesis in the skin induced by sun exposure. The first reference to the
physiological effect of sunlight on vitamin D was illustrated by the Greek historian Herodotus. He visited the battlefield where Cambyses (525 BC) overcame the Egyptians, and inspected the skulls of slain Persians and Egyptians. He noted that the Persian skulls were so fragile that they broke even when struck with a pebble, whereas those of the Egyptians were strong and could scarcely be broken even when struck with a stone. The Egyptians’ explanation to Herodotus was that they went bareheaded from childhood exposing their heads to sunlight, whereas Persians covered their heads with turbans shading them from the sun resulting in skull bone weakness. Later on, in the mid 17th century Francis Glisson, Professor of Physics at Cambridge University, in his treatise on rickets observed that the disease was common among infants and young children of country farmers who ate well, and whose diets were known to include eggs and butter, but who lived in rainy, misty parts of the country and who were kept indoors during long severe winters [11].

Vitamin D synthesis in the skin

According to the Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) [12], the vitamin D effective radiation is described in terms of its action spectrum (i.e., the efficiency of each wavelength to synthesize vitamin D in skin) which covers the spectral range (255–330 nm) with a maximum at about 295 nm (UVB). A whole body exposure to UVB radiation inducing the light pink color of the minimal erythema dose for 15–20 min is able to induce the production of up to 250 μg vitamin D (10,000 IU) [13,14].

Its precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol in the plasma membranes of both epidermal basal and suprabasal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts is converted to previtamin D₃. Cutaneously synthesized vitamin D₃ is released from the plasma membrane and enters the systemic circulation bound to vitamin D-binding protein (DBP) [15]. Serum concentrations of vitamin D₃ peak 24–48 h following exposure to UV radiation [13]. Thereafter, vitamin D₃ levels decline exponentially with a serum half-life ranging from 36 to 78 h [13,14]. As a lipidsoluble molecule, vitamin D₃ can be taken up by adipocytes and stored in subcutaneous or omental fat for later use [16]. The distribution of vitamin D₃ into adipose tissue prolongs its total-body half-life to approximately two months as first detected on experiments on submarine personnel [17–19].

Once in the circulation, vitamin D is converted by a hepatic hydroxylase into 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D; calcidiol). The circulating 25(OH)D level is an indicator of the vitamin D status. This level reflects both ultraviolet exposure and dietary vitamin D intake. The serum half-life of 25(OH)D is approximately 15 days [2], 25(OH)D is not biologically active except at very high, non-physiological levels [20]. As needed, 25(OH)D is converted in the kidney to its active hormonal form 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D; calcitriol) in a process which is usually tightly controlled by the parathyroid hormone which levels start rising at 25(OH)D cutoff levels of 75 nmol/L or lower. In spite of this, inadequate vitamin D supply lowers the circulating level of calcitriol [16]. Circulating calcitriol is also adversely affected by a reduced number of viable nephrons, high serum concentrations of fibroblast growth factor-23, and high levels of inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) [19,21].

It is important to know that the conversion of previtamin D₃ to the inactive photoproducts lumisterol and tachysterol balances the cutaneous biosynthesis of vitamin D₃ as a feedback loop. This mechanism ensures that one cannot “overdose” on vitamin D₃ by photoexposure alone. After less than 1 minimal erythema dose (MED; i.e., the amount of photoexposure required to produce faint pinkness in the skin at 24 h after exposure), the concentration of previtamin D₃ reaches maximal levels and further UV radiation merely results in the production of inactive metabolites [2].

Dietary sources and supplements

Vitamin D is available in 2 distinct forms, ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂) and cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃). Sunshine exposure provides vitamin D in the form of D₃ only, while dietary sources are able to provide both forms, which are officially regarded by many as equivalent and interchangeable [22–24]. However, several reasons have been suggested to argue against this presumption including that both are different in their efficacy at raising serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, with diminished binding of vitamin D₂ metabolites to vitamin D binding protein in plasma, as well as the detection of a nonphysiologic metabolism and shorter shelf life for vitamin D₂. Nevertheless, still to this day, the major preparations of vitamin D for prescription are in the form of vitamin D₃, not vitamin D₂. Multivitamins may contain either vitamin D₂ or vitamin D₃, but most companies are now reformulating their products to contain vitamin D in the D₃ form [25].

There are only few natural sources of vitamin D including cod liver oil, cheese, egg yolks, mackerel, salmon, tuna fish, and beef liver. Because it is not easy for many individuals to obtain adequate vitamin D intake from natural dietary sources alone, many countries fortify foods such as orange juice, milk, yogurt, and cereal with vitamin D. Many inexpensive supplemental vitamin D forms are readily available over the counter in both vitamin D₃ and vitamin D₂ forms and with or without calcium [26,27].

Vitamin D levels

Different cut-off values for the normal threshold of vitamin D have been used until recently [28]. A level of 50 nmol/L has been widely used to define 25(OH)D insufficiency, while some studies have used 37.5 nmol/L as the lowest level of sufficiency [29–31]. Further studies, however, suggest that a 25(OH)D level as high as 75 nmol/L or higher is needed to cover all physiological functions of vitamin D and should therefore be considered optimal [32–36].

Factors influencing vitamin D levels

Nutrient deficiencies are usually the result of dietary inadequacy, impaired absorption and use, increased requirement, or increased excretion. Vitamin D deficiency can occur when usual intake is lower than recommended levels over time, exposure to sunlight is limited, the kidneys cannot convert 25(OH)D to its active form, or absorption of vitamin D from the digestive tract is inadequate. Vitamin D-deficient diets are
associated with milk allergy, lactose intolerance, ovo-vegetarianism, and veganism [37].

Regarding the amount of vitamin D production in human skin, it depends on several variables including environmental factors such as geographic latitude, season, time of day, weather conditions (cloudiness), amount of air pollution and surface reflection which can all interfere with the amount of UVB radiation reaching the skin [38–41].

Personal variations represent another group of influential factors affecting the vitamin D production in the skin, including age as elderly people have thinner skin, and consequently are less capable of synthesizing vitamin D [7,38,39] and obesity as overweight individuals have reduced vitamin D levels [42]. It is also noteworthy that skin type determines a person’s effectiveness in producing vitamin D. Light skins (type I) produce up to six fold the amount of vitamin D produced by dark skins (type VI). In addition, clothing habits, lifestyle, workplace (e.g., indoor versus outdoor), and sun avoidance practices have a strong impact on vitamin D synthesis [38–41].

The influence of some common practices as using sunblocks or receiving sunbeds on vitamin D production is another point of interest. Sunblocks are known to block UVB radiation effectively. However, it is questionable whether sunscreening in practice causes any vitamin D deficiency. Absolute full-body coverage of sunscreen is uncommon. Some areas of the skin are always left out. At times and locations where the sun is intense and the temperature is high enough to make the population use sunscreen, its vitamin D status is generally very satisfactory [39–41]. On the other hand the use of sun beds is controversial, but regardless, subjects who regularly use tanning beds that emit UVB radiation are likely to have higher 25(OH)D concentrations. Nevertheless, there is a trend toward discouraging the use of such tanning beds for fear of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer [43].

**Vitamin D and the skin: What’s beyond its synthesis and metabolism?**

The skin is unique in being not only the source of vitamin D for the body but also in being capable of responding to the active metabolite of vitamin D, 1,25(OH)2D. Both 1,25(OH)2D and its receptor (VDR) play essential roles in the skin.

**Skin differentiation and proliferation**

Both calcium and 1,25(OH)2D perform important and interacting functions in regulating the skin differentiation process. 1,25(OH)2D increases the expression of involucrin, transglutaminase, loricrin, and filaggrin and increases keratinocyte cornified envelope formation while inhibiting proliferation [44,45]. These actions are due to, at least in part, the ability of 1,25(OH)2D to increase intracellular calcium levels achieved by induction of the calcium receptor [46], and the phospholipase C [47] that are critical for the ability of calcium to stimulate keratinocyte differentiation [48,49]. Mice lacking the VDR show defective epidermal differentiation manifesting as reduced levels of involucrin and loricrin and loss of keratohyaline granules [50,51].

**Cutaneous antimicrobial effects**

1,25(OH)2D and its receptor regulate the processing of the long chain glycosylceramides that are critical for the skin barrier formation [52] which is crucial in defending the skin. Furthermore, they induce toll like receptor 2 (TLR2) and its coreceptor CD14, that initiate the innate immune response in skin [53]. Activation of these receptors leads to the induction of CYP27B1, which in turn induces cathelicidin resulting in the killing of invasive organisms [53,54]. Mice lacking the VDR or the enzyme (CYP27B1) show decreased lipid content of the lamellar bodies leading to a defective permeability barrier [52], and a defective response of the innate immune system to invading infections [53].

**Vitamin D and cutaneous innate immunity**

The historical link between vitamin D and innate immune function stemmed initially from the use of cod liver oil as treatment for tuberculosis (TB) [54]. More recent work has focused on the cellular and molecular machinery that underpins the actions of vitamin D on the pathogen that causes TB, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (M. TB). In the first of these studies, carried out 25 years ago, active 1,25(OH)2D was shown to reduce the proliferation of M. TB in macrophages with this effect being enhanced by the cytokine interferon γ (IFNγ), a known stimulator of macrophages [55]. However, the major advance in our understanding of how vitamin D directs antibacterial responses in TB arose from much more recent studies aiming at defining the way by which monocytes and macrophages, key cells in directing bacterial killing, respond to an encounter with M. TB [56]. These data suggested that monocytes promote localized activation of vitamin D in response to M. TB, with the resulting 1,25(OH)2D binding to endogenous VDR. In this way, vitamin D can act to modulate gene expression in response to M. TB immune challenge – a classical intracrine mechanism [57,58]. Functional analyses showed that 25OHD-mediated induction of cathelicidin is coincident with enhanced killing of M. TB in monocytes. Naturally occurring variations in serum 25OHD have been shown to correlate with induction of monocyte cathelicidin expression [59]. The conclusion from these studies was that individuals with low serum 25OHD will be less able to support monocyte induction of antibacterial activity and may therefore be at greater risk of infection. Conversely, supplementation of vitamin D-insufficient individuals *in vivo* has been shown to improve TLR-mediated induction of monocyte cathelicidin [60] and may therefore help to protect against infection (Fig. 2).

Studies have shown that T-cell cytokines play a pivotal role in both amplifying and attenuating vitamin D-mediated cathelicidin production [61]. Indeed, cytokine production by monocytes themselves may be central to the intracrine metabolism of vitamin D in this cell type [62,63]. Thus, it seems likely that the ability to mount an appropriate response to infection will be highly dependent on the availability of vitamin D, with additional tuning of this response by other components of the normal human immune response.

Vitamin D can also influence innate immune responses to pathogens via effects on antigen presentation by macrophages.
or dendritic cells (DCs) (Fig. 2). These cells are known to express VDR [64], and treatment with 1,25(OH)₂D has been shown to inhibit DC maturation, suppress antigen presentation and promote a tolerogenic T-cell response [65,66].

Vitamin D and cutaneous adaptive immunity

Early studies of vitamin D and the immune system demonstrated VDR expression in both T and B cells (Fig. 2) [67]. Notably, VDR expression by these cells was only immunologically functional in active, proliferating cells, suggesting an antiproliferative role for 1,25(OH)₂D on these cells [68]. T helper (Th) cells appear to be the principal target for 1,25(OH)₂D which can suppress Th cell proliferation as well as modulating cytokines production by these cells [69]. Activation of naïve Th cells by antigen in turn leads to the generation of Th cell subgroups with distinct cytokine profiles: Th1 (IL-2, IFNγ, tumor necrosis factor alpha) and Th2 (IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10) that respectively support cell-mediated and humoral immunity [70,71].

In vitro 1,25(OH)₂D inhibits Th1 cytokines [72], while promoting Th2 cytokines [73]. A third group of T cells known to be influenced by vitamin D are interleukin-17 (IL-17)-secreting T cells (Th17 cells). Autoimmune disease-susceptible non obese diabetic (NOD) mice treated with 1,25D exhibit lower levels of IL-17 [74], and 1,25(OH)₂D-mediated suppression of murine retinal autoimmunity appears to involve inhibition of Th17 activity [75]. Furthermore, subsequent studies have shown that 1,25(OH)₂D suppresses IL-17 production via direct transcriptional suppression of IL-17 gene expression [76].

Another group of T cells known to be potently induced by 1,25(OH)₂D are regulatory T cells (Tregs) [77]. Although part of the Th cell family, Tregs act to suppress immune responses by other T cells as part of the machinery to prevent overexuberant or autoimmune responses [78]. Recent studies have underlined the importance of Tregs in mediating the immunoregulatory actions of vitamin D. Administration of 1,25(OH)₂D systemically to patients who underwent renal transplantation has been shown to expand circulating Treg populations [79].

Studies of vitamin D and T-cell function have to date focused primarily on the response of these cells to active 1,25(OH)₂D. What is less clear is the mechanism by which variations in vitamin D status can also influence T cells, despite reports linking serum levels of 25OHD with specific T-cell populations [56]. For example, circulating levels of 25OHD have been shown to correlate with Tregs activity in patients with multiple sclerosis [80,81]. There are four potential mechanisms by which serum 25OHD is believed to influence T-cell function: (i) direct effects on T cells mediated via systemic 1,25(OH)₂D; (ii) indirect effects on antigen presentation to T cells mediated via localized DC expression of CYP27B1 and intracrine synthesis of 1,25(OH)₂D; (iii) direct effects of 1,25(OH)₂D on T cells following synthesis of the active form of vitamin D by CYP27B1-expressing monocytes or DCs – a paracrine mechanism; (iv) Intracrine conversion of 25OHD to 1,25(OH)₂D by T cells. As yet, it is unclear whether one or more of these mechanisms will apply to the regulation of specific T-cell types. For example, the effects of 1,25(OH)₂D on Tregs can occur indirectly via effects on DCs [82], but may also involve direct effects on the Tregs [83]. However, as DCs also express CYP27B1 [84] and may therefore act as the conduit for 25OHD effects on Tregs. Interestingly, reports have also described expression of CYP27B1 by T cells [85], suggesting that 25OHD may also influence the function of these cells via an intracrine mechanism, although the precise relevance of this to specific T-cell types remains unclear [56].

Despite the fact that expression of VDR by B cells has been recognized for many years [67], the ability of 1,25(OH)₂D to suppress B-cell proliferation and immunoglobulin (Ig) production was initially considered to be an indirect effect mediated via Th cells [68]. However, more recent studies have confirmed direct effects of 1,25(OH)₂D on B-cell homoeostasis [86], with notable effects including inhibition of plasma cells and class switched memory cells differentiation. These effects lend further support for vitamin D’s proposed role in B-cell-related autoimmune disorders such as systemic lupus erythematosus. Other B-cell targets known to be modulated by for 1,25(OH)₂D include IL-10 [87] and CCR10 [88], suggesting...
that the repertoire of B-cell responses to vitamin D extends beyond its effects on B-cell proliferation and Ig synthesis [56].

Hair follicle cycling

In vitro studies have supported the concept that VDR may play a vital role in the postnatal maintenance of the hair follicle. Mesodermal papilla cells and the outer root sheath (ORS) epidermal keratinocytes express VDR in varied degrees in correlation with the stages of the hair cycle. In both the late anagen and catagen stages there is an increase in VDR, which is associated with decreased proliferation and increased differentiation of the keratinocytes. These changes are thought to promote the progression of the hair cycle [89].

Limited studies have been done in humans to elaborate the role of vitamin D in the hair cycle. A potential application for vitamin D is in chemotherapy-induced alopecia. Topical calcitriol has been shown to protect against chemotherapy-induced alopecia caused by paclitaxel and cyclophosphamide. However, topical calcitriol failed to protect against chemotherapy-induced alopecia caused by a combination of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide and a combination of cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracil. The ability of topical calcitriol to prevent chemotherapy-induced alopecia may therefore depend on the chemotherapy agents used. Of note, the studies in which no effects were observed, were small and may have used doses of vitamin D that were inadequate to protect against chemotherapy-induced alopecia [90].

The sebaceous gland

It has been reported that incubation of the human sebaceous gland cell line with 1,25(OH)2D results in a dose-dependent suppression of cell proliferation. Using real-time PCR, it was demonstrated that key components of the vitamin D system (VDR, 25Oase, 1αOase, and 24Oase) are strongly expressed in such cells. It has been concluded that local synthesis or metabolism of vitamin D metabolites may be of importance for growth regulation and various other cellular functions in sebaceous glands and that sebaceous glands represent promising targets for therapy with vitamin D analogs or for pharmacological modulation of calcitriol synthesis/metabolism [91,92].

Photoprotection

Photodamage refers to skin damage induced by ultraviolet (UV) light. Depending on the dose, UV light can lead to DNA damage, inflammatory responses, skin cell apoptosis (programmed cell death), skin aging, and skin cancer. Some studies, mainly in vitro (cell culture) studies [93–96] and mouse studies where 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 was topically applied to skin before or immediately following irradiation [93,97,98], have found that vitamin D exhibits photoprotective effects. Documented effects on skin cells include decreased DNA damage, reduced apoptosis, increased cell survival, and decreased erythema. The mechanisms for such effects are not known, but one mouse study found that 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 induced expression of metallothionein (a protein that protects against free radicals and oxidative damage) in the stratum basale [93]. It has also been postulated that non-genomic actions of vitamin D contribute to the photoprotection [99]; such effects of vitamin D involve cell-signaling cascades that open calcium channels [100].

Wound healing

1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 regulates the expression of cathelicidin (LL-37/hCAP18) [53,57], an antimicrobial protein that appears to mediate innate immunity in skin by promoting wound healing and tissue repair. One human study found that cathelicidin expression is upregulated during early stages of normal wound healing [58]. Other studies have shown that cathelicidin modulates inflammation in skin [101], induces angiogenesis [102], and improves reepithelialization (the process of restoring the epidermal barrier to re-establish a functional barrier that protects underlying cells from environmental exposures) [103]. The active form of vitamin D and its analogs have been shown to upregulate cathelicidin expression in cultured keratinocytes [58,104]. However, more research is needed to determine the role of vitamin D in wound healing and epidermal barrier function, and whether oral vitamin D supplementation or topical treatment with vitamin D analogs is helpful in healing surgical wounds.

Vitamin D and skin diseases

Based on the afore mentioned facts concerning the intertwined bonding that exists between vitamin D and skin, it seems only “natural” to incriminate vitamin D deficiency in a long list of cutaneous disorders including skin cancer, psoriasis, ichthyosis, autoimmune skin disorders such as vitiligo, blistering disorders, sclerodermia and systemic lupus erythematosus, as well as atopic dermatitis, acne, hair loss, infections and photodermatoses. Nevertheless, it remains speculative whether vitamin D deficiency primarily contributes to disease pathogenesis or merely represents a consequential event to the inflammatory processes involved. According to a recent systematic review including 290 prospective cohort studies and 172 randomized trials of major health outcomes and of physiological parameters related to disease risk or inflammatory status, one solid fact is emphasized; vitamin D deficiency appears to be a marker of ill health [105] regardless of being an actual cause or an association. In the current review we will highlight the most commonly studied dermatological diseases.

Skin cancer

A number of epidemiologic studies have suggested that vitamin D may have a protective effect decreasing cancer risk and cancer-associated mortality [106–110]. Adequate vitamin D status has been linked to decreased risks of developing specific cancers, including cancers of the esophagus, stomach, colon, rectum, gallbladder, pancreas, lung, breast, uterus, ovary, prostate, urinary bladder, kidney, skin, thyroid, and hematopoietic system (e.g., Hodgkin’s lymphoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, multiple myeloma) [110]. With regards to skin cancer, epidemiologic and laboratory studies have reported mixed findings, with some reporting an association between higher vitamin D levels and increased skin cancer risk...
Psoriasis

Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease that affects 2–3% of the population worldwide and causes significant morbidity [112]. Although the pathogenesis of psoriasis is not fully understood, there is ample evidence suggesting that the dysregulation of the immune cells in the skin, particularly T cells, plays a critical role in psoriasis development [113].

Several studies have focused on the possible role of vitamin D deficiency in psoriasis [114–116]. The exact mechanism by which vitamin D deficiency contributes in such a complex pathogenesis is not fully understood. Several pathways have been established including, loss of the anti-proliferative function of vitamin D, as it has been found that human cultured keratinocytes exposed to calcitriol showed marked inhibition of growth and accelerated maturation [117]. Moreover, as inflammation and angiogenesis represent cornerstone in the pathogenesis of psoriasis [118,119], the loss of the anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic activity of vitamin D [108] could represent another explanation to the contribution of vitamin D deficiency in psoriasis. As vitamin D inhibits P. acnes-induced Th17 differentiation, and thereby could be considered as an effective tool in modulating acne [127]. Furthermore, sebocytes were identified as 1,25OH2D responsive target cells, indicating that vitamin D analogs may be effective in the treatment of acne. In another recent study, the expression of inflammatory biomarkers have been shown to be influenced by treatment with vitamin D in cultured sebocytes, but not through VDR [128].

In the same spectrum of acne, another study demonstrated relatively high serum levels of vitamin D in patients with rosacea which is a common chronic skin condition affecting the face, in comparison with controls, suggesting that increased vitamin D levels may lead to the development of rosacea [129].

Hair loss

The role of vitamin D in hair might be explained by the fact that an optimal concentration of vitamin D has been suggested to be necessary to delay the aging phenomena, including hair loss [130]. Recently it has been shown that 1,25OH2D/VDR promotes the ability of β-catenin to stimulate hair follicle differentiation [131]. Moreover extensive data from animal models clearly show that the VDR activation plays an important role in the hair follicle cycle, specifically anagen initiation [132]. Interestingly, in VDR ablated mice it did not seem that normalization of mineral ion homeostasis by a diet high in calcium and phosphorous prevented alopecia suggesting that the mechanism for alopecia is unrelated to mineral levels but rather to the vitamin D levels [133]. Furthermore, recent data suggested that VDR regulates directly or indirectly the expression of genes required for hair follicle cycling, including the hedgehog signaling pathway [134].

A recent study conducted on eighty female patients demonstrated that low serum vitamin D3 was associated with both common types of hair loss in females namely; telogen effluvium and androgenetic female pattern hair loss. It was suggested that screening for vitamin D level and supplementation with vitamin D in cases with deficiency would be beneficial in the management of these conditions [135].

In contradistinction to the proposal of the important role played by vitamin D in hair loss, a placebo-controlled trial on 26 patients showed that calcipotriol did not affect the telogen to anagen ratio after 6 weeks of treatment in patients with scalp psoriasis. It is to be noted that the optimal effect of calcipotriol on psoriasis was not seen until 8 weeks, thus, follow up might have been too brief to detect an effect of calcipotriol on hair loss [136]. Furthermore, a cross sectional study of 296 healthy men was done to explore a possible association...
between male pattern baldness and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. The severity and extent of the baldness did not appear to be associated with serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels [130]. This raises the speculation about the real value of vitamin D levels in hair loss, and whether the story could be intrinsic, closely related to the receptor itself rather than to the level of vitamin D.

Vitiligo

Vitiligo is a common pigmentary disorder characterized by well-demarcated depigmented patches or macules of different shapes and sizes and is caused by the destruction of functional melanocytes in the epidermis [137].

Vitamin D protects the epidermal melanin unit and restores melanocyte integrity via several mechanisms including controlling the activation, proliferation, migration of melanocytes and pigmentation pathways by modulating T cell activation, which is apparently correlated with melanocyte disappearance in vitiligo. The mechanism through which vitamin D exerts its effects on melanocytes is not yet fully understood. Vitamin D is believed to be involved in melanocyte physiology by coordinately regulating melanogenic cytokines [most likely endothelin-3 (ET-3)] and the activity of the SCF/c-Kit system, which is one of the most important regulators of melanocyte viability and maturation [138]. Furthermore, a proposed mechanism involving vitamin D in the protection of vitiliginous skin is based on its antioxidant properties and regulatory function toward the reactive oxygen species that are produced in excess in vitiligo epidermis [139]. Another point is that the active form of vitamin D reduces the apoptotic activity induced by UVB in keratinocytes and melanocytes [140], that has been reported to remove melanocytes from the skin [141]. Moreover, vitamin D might exert immunomodulatory effects by inhibiting the expression of IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, and TNF-γ, modulate dendritic cell maturation, differentiation, and activation as well as induce the inhibition of antigen presentation [65], thereby dampen the autoimmune pathway incriminated in the pathogenesis of vitiligo.

It is still unknown if vitamin D deficiency plays a role in causing vitiligo, as it does in other autoimmune diseases. In 2010 Silverberg and Silverberg [142] assessed serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels in 45 patients with vitiligo and it appeared that 55.6% were insufficient (22.5–75 nmol/L) and 13.3% were very low (<22.5 nmol/L) a finding that was re-demonstrated by others [143]. However, another study showed no correlation between 25(OH)D and vitiligo [144].

Regardless the existing controversy, topical vitamin D analogs are members of the armamentarium of therapeutic modalities for vitiligo. The use of vitamin D analogs in combination with PUVA sol and topical calcipotriol for the treatment of vitiligo was first reported by Parsad et al. [145]. Subsequently, a number of studies have reported on the treatment of vitiligo with vitamin D analogs alone or in combination with ultraviolet light or corticosteroids to enhance repigmentation [142,146,147] with some contradictory results [148–150].

Pemphigus vulgaris and bullous pemphigoid

Pemphigus vulgaris and bullous pemphigoid are potentially fatal autoimmune bullous disorders caused by keratinocyte acantholysis as a result of pathogenic antibody production by B cells. Vitamin D, through its participation in several immune modulatory functions including B cells apoptosis, Th2 cell differentiation, apoptotic enzyme regulation and Tregs functions, may be actively involved in the immune regulation of such diseases. Several recent studies demonstrated that patients with pemphigus vulgaris and bullous pemphigoid have significantly lower serum vitamin D levels in comparison with controls regardless age, body mass index or pattern of sun exposure [151,152]. In addition, it was suggested that this lower level of vitamin D might account for the increased prevalence of fractures in such patients and therefore should be taken into consideration in patients who must be given corticosteroids [152].

Atopic dermatitis

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common chronic inflammatory type of eczema. Several studies have shown initial epidermal barrier dysfunction with subsequent immune activation as the underlying mechanism. Animal studies, case reports, and randomized clinical trials have suggested that vitamin D, through various mechanisms including immunomodulation, may alleviate the symptoms of AD. The majority of these studies indicate an inverse relationship between the severity of atopic dermatitis and vitamin D levels. Furthermore, studies have shown that, in individuals with AD who are deficient in vitamin D, repletion of vitamin D results in improvement and decreased severity of the disease [153,154].

Should vitamin D be scripted on every prescription?

The answer to this question is still far from clear, but at least we could clearly recommend routine evaluation of its level, with particular focus on those who are at risk of its deficiency e.g. elderly, obese, lacking proper sun exposure or with malabsorption disorders. Vitamin D supplementation could represent an important adjuvant treatment if deficient or insufficient.

Conclusions

In conclusion one could clearly sense the unique relationship that entangles vitamin D to dermatology. On one hand, our skin is one source for this important vitamin and on the other hand all available data point to its important impact on the health of our skin and the involvement of its deficiency in the pathway of many dermatological diseases. Several factors are responsible for maintaining it in optimum levels; therefore sunny climates are by far not a guarantee for providing a “comfort zone” regarding the possibility of this vitamin deficiency, a concern documented by several epidemiological studies carried out in areas close to the equator [155–158]. On the basis of currently available data, it is clear that supplemental vitamin D should be the preferred recommendation toward achieving its normal serum levels, thereby avoiding the deleterious effects accompanied by its deficiency. Still more research is needed to unravel its complicated ties to dermatological diseases and create clear guidelines and recommendations for its supplementation.
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