# **Original Article**

# Deficiencies Under Plenty of Sun: Vitamin D Status among Adults in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013

# Marwa Tuffaha, Charbel El Bcheraoui, Farah Daoud, Hessah Abdulla Al Hussaini<sup>1</sup>, Fahad Alamri<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Al Saeedi<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Basulaiman<sup>1</sup>, Ziad A. Memish<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad A. AlMazroa<sup>1</sup>, Abdullah A. Al Rabeeah<sup>1</sup>, Ali H Mokdad

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>1</sup>Ministry of Health of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

#### Abstract

**Background:** Vitamin D deficiency has been correlated with several diseases and injuries including diabetes, osteoporosis, fractures, and falls. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), current data on vitamin D status are lacking. **Aims:** To inform Saudi public health authorities on the current status of blood levels vitamin D deficiency, we analyzed data from the Saudi Health Interview Survey. **Materials and Methods:** The Saudi Health Interview Survey (SHIS) is a cross-sectional national multistage survey of individuals aged 15 years and above on sociodemographic characteristics, tobacco consumption, diet, physical activity, health care utilization, different health-related behaviors, and self-reported chronic conditions. A total of 10,735 participants completed a health questionnaire and were invited to the local health clinics for biomedical exams. **Results:** 62.65% of female Saudis and 40.6% of male Saudis aged 15 years and above are deficient in vitamin D. Out of them, less than 1% males and less than 2% females consume vitamin D supplements. Women who have never married and obese individuals are more likely to be deficient in vitamin D, compared to men who were currently married and nonobese individuals. Those consuming vitamin D supplements are less likely to be deficient in vitamin D. **Conclusions:** Our study showed a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Saudi men and women, and the results call for an increased awareness to ensure adequate levels of vitamin D for better health in Saudi Arabia. Moreover, our findings are certainly relevant for other countries in the Gulf region or countries with similar cultures, clothing, and religions.

Keywords: Deficiency, Saudi Arabia, supplements, vitamin D

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ali H Mokdad, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, 2301 5th Avenue, Suite 600, Seattle - 98121, Washington, USA. E-mail: mokdaa@uw.edu

## Introduction

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) is among the countries with the highest number of sunny days per year. Exposure to sunlight is an essential factor for vitamin D production by the skin.<sup>[1]</sup> Vitamin D deficiency has been correlated with osteoporosis, fractures, and falls.<sup>[2,3]</sup> It is also associated with some autoimmune

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.najms.org			
	DOI: 10.4103/1947-2714.168675			

diseases such as type 1 diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and osteoarthritis.<sup>[4-6]</sup>

Environment, tradition, and religion in KSA call for conservative clothing for both men and women. The traditional dress habits evolved around reducing sun

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Tuffaha M, El Bcheraoui C, Daoud F, Al Hussaini HA, Alamri F, Al Saeedi M, *et al.* Deficiencies under plenty of sun: Vitamin D status among adults in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. North Am J Med Sci 2015;7:467-75.

exposure in the hot months and protection from the cold during nights in the desert and colder months. Thick clothing and head scarfs are used by the Saudi population. With reduced outdoor activities, the population is now less exposed to the sun. Previous studies showed low levels of vitamin D in KSA.<sup>[7,8]</sup> However, these studies had small sample sizes or were not nationally representative.

To examine the levels of vitamin D among the Saudi population, we conducted a large household survey including biomedical examinations.

## **Materials and Methods**

The Saudi Ministry of Health and its Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved of the study protocol. The University of Washington IRB has deemed the study as exempt from IRB since the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation received de-identified data for this analyses. All the respondents consented and agreed to participate in the study. We used verbal consent that was captured by our computer program since it is commonly used and accepted in KSA. Two verbal consents were obtained: One for the household roster (obtained from the head of the household or the most knowledgeable person in the house) and another obtained from the randomly selected respondent. If the randomly selected respondent was between the ages of 15 years and 17 years, consent was obtained from his/her parent(s) or legal guardian on the individual's behalf for his/her participation in the study. The KSA Ministry of Health and The University of Washington IRB approved the verbal consents that were obtained in this study.

The Saudi Health Interview Survey (SHIS) is a national multistage survey of individuals aged 15 years and above. Households of Saudi citizens were randomly selected from a national sampling frame maintained and updated by the Census Bureau. The Ministry of Health divides KSA into 13 health regions, each with its own health department. We divided each region into subregions and blocks used by the KSA Department of Statistics. All regions were included, and a probability proportional to size was used to randomly select subregions and blocks. Households were randomly selected from each block. A roster of household members was collected and an individual aged 15 years or more was randomly selected to be surveyed. If the randomly selected adult was not present, our surveyors made an appointment to return, and a total of three visits were made before the household was classified as a nonresponse. Weight, height, and blood pressure of the randomly selected adult were measured at the household by a trained professional.

The survey included questions on sociodemographic characteristics, tobacco consumption, diet, physical activity, health care utilization, health-related behaviors, and self-reported chronic conditions. These conditions were hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, stroke, cardiac arrest, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, atrial fibrillation, renal failure, asthma, and cancer.<sup>[9-12]</sup>

We measured weight and height to calculate body mass index (BMI) as weight (kg)/height (m<sup>2</sup>). Participants were classified into four groups:

- 1. Underweight for a BMI less than 18.5;
- 2. Normal weight for a BMI within 18.5-24.9;
- 3. Overweight for a BMI within 25.0-29.9; or
- 4. Obese for a BMI greater than or equal to 30.<sup>[13]</sup>

Respondents were considered to be current smokers if they reported to be currently smoking. We used the International Physical Activity questionnaire<sup>[14]</sup> to classify respondents into four groups of physical activity:

- 1. Vigorous physical activity,
- 2. Moderate physical activity,
- 3. Insufficient physical activity, and
- 4. None.

To assess diagnosed blood pressure, prediabetes, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia status, respondents were asked four separate questions: "Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that you had:

- 1. Hypertension, otherwise known as high blood pressure;
- 2. Prediabetes mellitus, otherwise known as prediabetes, borderline diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, or impaired sugar tolerance;
- 3. Diabetes mellitus, otherwise known as diabetes, sugar diabetes, high blood glucose, or high blood sugar; and
- 4. Hypercholesterolemia, otherwise known as high or abnormal blood cholesterol?" Women diagnosed with diabetes or hypertension during pregnancy were not counted as having these conditions.

Those who reported diagnosis of any of these conditions were asked if they were currently receiving any treatment for their condition.

A total of three blood measurements were taken with the participant resting and at 5-min intervals. We followed the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) for determining blood pressure levels.<sup>[15]</sup> Basically, respondents were considered to have hypertension if they met any of the following criteria:

1. Measured diastolic or systolic blood pressure exceeding 89 mmHg or 139 mmHg, respectively, or

2. Measured diastolic or systolic blood pressure not exceeding the appropriate threshold, but the respondent reported taking medications for hypertension.

Hence, respondents who were on drugs for hypertension were considered hypertensive even if their measured diastolic or systolic blood pressure did not exceed 89 mmHg or 139 mmHg, respectively. Respondents were considered to have borderline hypertension if:

- 1. They did not report taking drugs for hypertension and
- 2. Their measured diastolic blood pressure was between 80 mmHg and 89 mmHg or systolic blood pressure was between 120 mmHg and 139 mmHg.

Respondents who completed the questionnaire were invited to local primary health care clinics to provide blood samples for laboratory analysis. All blood samples were analyzed in a central laboratory at the King Fahd Medical City in Riyadh, Riyadh Province, Saudi Arabia. Respondents were considered to have a deficient level of vitamin D if blood work showed a vitamin D level below 28 ng/mL. Respondents were considered to have a sufficient level of vitamin D if blood work showed a vitamin D level between 28 ng/mL and 107 ng/mL. Respondents were considered to have a toxic level of vitamin D if blood work showed a vitamin D level above 107 ng/mL.

Respondents were considered to be hypercholesterolemic if they met any of the following criteria:

- 1. Measured cholesterol equal to or exceeding 6.2 mmol/L or
- 2. Measured cholesterol not exceeding 6.2 mmol/L but the respondent reported taking medications for hypercholesterolemia.

Respondents were considered to have borderline hypercholesterolemia if:

- 1. They did not report taking drugs for hypercholesterolemia and
- 2. Their measured blood cholesterol level was between 5.18 mmol/L and 6.2 mmol/L.

Respondents under treatment for hypercholesterolemia were considered controlled if their measured cholesterol levels were below 6.2 mmol/L.

We followed the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) for determining diabetes status.<sup>[15]</sup> Respondents were considered to be diabetic if they met any of the following criteria:

- 1. Measured glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) equal to or exceeding 6.5% (48.5 mmol/mol) or
- Measured HbA1c not equaling or exceeding 6.5% (48.5 mmol/mol) but the respondent reported taking medications for diabetes.

Hence, the subgroup diabetic included those with measured HbA1c equal or above 6.5% or taking medication for diabetes. Respondents were considered to have borderline diabetes if: 1) they did not report taking drugs for diabetes, and 2) their measured HbA1c blood level was between 5.7% (35.3 mmol/mol) and less than 6.5% (48.5 mmol/mol).

#### Statistical analysis

We used a backward elimination multivariate logistic regression model to measure the association between vitamin D deficiency and consumption of vitamin D and all associated factors. All factors were first included in the models and variables and were eliminated based on a Wald chi-square test for analysis of effect. Variables were removed one by one based on the significance level of their effect on the model, starting with the variable with the highest P > 0.5 until all the variables kept had a  $P \le 0.5$  in the analysis of effect. The logistic regression excluded cases with missing data. We used SAS 9.3 for the analyses and to account for the complex sampling design.

## Results

Between April 2013 and June 2013, a total of 12,000 households were contacted and a total of 10,735 participants completed the survey (response rate of 89.4%). The remaining 1,265 participants completed part or all of the household enumeration but the selected individual did not complete the survey. A total of 5,590 individuals went to the local clinics and provided blood samples for analyses, which led to a response rate of 52.1%. Of these, there were missing data on blood vitamin D levels in 586 individuals and missing data on consumption of vitamin D supplements in 876 individuals.

Overall, 40.6% males and 62.7% females had insufficient levels of vitamin D. The sociodemographic characteristics of SHIS respondents by vitamin D blood levels are presented in Table 1. Young and unmarried individuals were more likely to have insufficient levels of vitamin D [Table 1].

Women [adjusted odds ratio (AOR)=2.69, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 2.09-3.47], individuals who had never married (AOR = 1.77, 95% CI=1.29-2.44), and obese individuals (AOR = 1.30, 95% CI=1.01-1.67) were more likely to have deficient blood levels of vitamin D, compared to men who were currently married and nonobese individuals [Table 2].

Current smokers (AOR = 6.1, 95% CI = 1.31-27.48) were more likely to have toxic blood levels of vitamin D in comparison to those who were never smokers or previous smokers. Individuals with low levels of physical activity were less likely to have toxic levels of vitamin D (AOR = 0.21, 95% CI = 0.05-0.89) [Table 2].

North American Journal of Medical Sciences | Oct 2015 | Volume 7 | Issue 10 |

15 years and above in the Kingdom									
Sociodemographic characteristics	Insufficient vitamin D: 0-28 ng/mL			Sufficient vitamin D: 28-106 ng/mL			Toxic levels of vitamin D: 107+ ng/mL		
	N	Weighted (%)	SE	N	Weighted (%)	SE	N	Weighted (%)	SE
Sex									
Males	806	40.6	1.60	1508	58.80	1.61	22	0.60	0.19
Females	1434	62.65	1.46	1197	36.64	1.45	37	0.71	0.15
Age (years)									
15-24	599	59.90	2.05	458	39.72	2.05	7	0.38	0.19
25-34	547	48.50	2.20	581	50.80	2.20	10	0.70	0.28
35-44	509	47.31	2.13	590	51.80	2.13	14	0.89	0.32
45-54	315	41.68	2.53	442	57.60	2.53	12	0.72	0.23
55-64	147	37.16	3.57	275	61.59	3.58	5	1.26	0.65
65+	123	27.21	2.93	359	71.25	2.97	11	1.54	0.67
Education									
Primary school or less	726	47.83	1.87	1081	51.32	1.87	23	0.85	0.30
Elementary or high school completed	968	51.77	1.69	1067	47.65	1.69	24	0.59	0.16
College degree or higher education	544	53.44	2.40	555	46.00	2.40	12	0.56	0.18
Marital status									
Currently married	1344	42.31	1.25	1874	56.79	1.25	43	0.90	0.17
Never married	726	59.19	1.88	561	40.40	1.87	8	0.42	0.19
Separated, divorced, or widowed	164	47.50	3.97	260	51.81	3.95	7	0.69	0.28
Tobacco smoking status									
Never smoked	1958	53.21	1.22	2282	46.28	1.22	48	0.52	0.10
Previous smoker	74	33.73	4.92	144	64.93	4.93	5	1.34	0.78
Current smoker	203	41.06	3.37	271	57.49	3.39	6	1.45	0.76
Vitamin supplement consumption									
Yes	48	27.27	5.06	97	71.36	5.13	5	1.37	0.68
No	1822	50.76	1.26	2211	48.68	1.26	43	0.57	0.13
Obesity									
Not obese	1327	51.08	1.44	1690	48.31	1.44	33	0.60	0.16
Obese	820	50.28	1.86	932	48.97	1.86	24	0.81	0.21
Levels of physical activity									
None	877	54.02	1.77	1010	44.95	1.77	30	1.03	0.27
Low	587	53.66	2.20	670	46.00	2.20	12	0.34	0.12
Moderate	279	46.07	3.11	344	53.30	3.11	10	0.63	0.23
High	497	47.93	2.24	681	51.46	2.24	7	0.61	0.29
Sun exposure/week									
0 h	225	56.98	3.59	211	42.48	3.58	4	0.54	0.39
<1 h	734	54.14	1.94	811	45.15	1.93	16	0.71	0.29
1-2 h	230	50.67	3.44	304	49.08	3.43	7	0.26	0.11
2-3 h	87	43.42	5.21	128	56.58	5.21	0		
>3 h	225	42.89	3.22	412	56.77	3.22	5	0.34	0.19
Hypertension									
No	1850	53.42	1.27	2009	45.98	1.26	40	0.60	0.14
Yes	375	38.30	2.34	682	60.72	2.33	19	0.98	0.31
Diabetes									
No	1918	53.10	1.25	2056	46.29	1.24	41	0.61	0.14
Yes	322	37.08	2.43	649	61.95	2.43	18	0.97	0.28
Hypercholesterolemia									
No	1997	51.74	1.20	2345	47.65	1.20	51	0.61	0.12
Yes	243	42.79	3.11	360	56.04	3.11	8	1.17	0.61
Chronic conditions					10.55				
Not diagnosed	2107	51.10	1.17	2512	48.23	1.17	53	0.67	0.13
Diagnosed	129	49.99	4.37	184	49.60	4.36	6	0.41	0.20

North American Journal of Medical Sciences | Oct 2015 | Volume 7 | Issue 10 |

Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression for association of sociodemographic and other risk factors with blood vitamin D levels in Saudis aged 15 years and above in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2013

the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2013						
Socio-demographic characteristics	Sufficient vs deficient		Sufficient vs toxic			
	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI		
Sex						
Males						
Females	2.69	2.09-3.47	2.00	0.73-5.49		
Age*	0.91	0.82-1.02	2.00	0.70 0.17		
Education	0.71	0.02 1.02				
Primary school or less						
Elementary or high	1.08	0.82-1.02	0.38	0.12-2.06		
school completed			0100			
College degree or	1.32	0.93-1.86	0.50	0.12-2.06		
higher education						
Marital status						
Currently married						
Never married	1.77	1.29-2.44				
Separated, divorced, or	1.27	0.83-1.95				
widowed						
Tobacco smoking status						
Never smoked						
Previous smoker			3.54	0.47-26.78		
Current smoker			6.10	1.35-27.48		
Vitamin D supplement						
consumption						
No						
Yes	0.28	0.14-0.54	2.65	0.56-12.59		
Obesity						
Not obese						
Obese	1.30	1.01-1.67				
Levels of physical						
activity						
None						
Low	0.84	0.62-1.14	0.21	0.05-0.89		
Moderate	0.70	0.48-1.01	0.51	0.11-2.38		
High	0.86	0.63-1.16	0.97	0.23-4.13		
Sun exposure/week						
0 h						
<1 h			1.50	0.30-7.61		
1–2 h			0.65	0.11-3.79		
>2 h			0.42	0.06-2.87		
History of diagnoses of						
hypertension						
No						
Yes	0.82	0.60-1.12	1.97	0.64-6.10		
History of diagnoses of						
diabetes						
No						
Yes	0.76	0.56-1.02				
History of diagnoses of						
high cholesterol						
No						
Yes						
Chronic conditions						
Not diagnosed	1 10	0 70 1 01	0.00	0.07.1.00		
Diagnosed	1.19	0.78-1.81	0.32	0.07-1.38		
AOR = Adjusted odds ratio, CI	= Confid	ence interval	, *AOR for	age should		

AOR = Adjusted odds ratio, CI = Confidence interval, 'AOR for age should be considered for an increase of 10 years

The sociodemographic characteristics of SHIS respondents of vitamin D supplement consumption according to vitamin D sufficiency are presented in Table 3. Vitamin D supplement consumption was low [Table 3]. We found that the likelihood of consuming vitamin D supplements among those with an insufficient level of vitamin D was higher among individuals who had completed high school education (AOR = 3.85, 95% CI=1.43-10.39) or college or higher education (AOR = 4.62, 95% CI=1.32-16.15) [Table 4]. Sun exposure was not associated with vitamin D supplement consumption among this group.

#### Discussion

Our study is the first national one to report blood levels of vitamin D and the consumption of vitamin D supplements among individuals in KSA. Our findings revealed high rates of vitamin D deficiency. These findings are surprising, given the amount of sunshine in KSA. Indeed, vitamin D deficiency may be overlooked as a health problem in the country due to this fact. Our study calls for a national plan to increase awareness of the importance of vitamin D and its sources and to encourage the monitoring of blood levels among those taking supplements.

The Saudi Ministry of Health developed its own guidelines and adopted them for the country. Vitamin D levels were assessed using Roche Hitachi COBAS 8000. No unique cutoffs exist for deficient, sufficient, and toxic serum levels of vitamin D. The Institute of Medicine defined vitamin D deficiency as blood levels less than 11 ng/mL for neonates, infants, and young children and less than 12 ng/mL for adults.<sup>[16]</sup> More recently, 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) serum levels between 20 ng/mL and 32 ng/mL have been defined as sufficient.<sup>[17]</sup> NHANES III defined vitamin D deficiency as serum levels below 15 ng/mL.[18] Others suggest that the most beneficial serum concentration of vitamin D begins at 30 ng/mL.<sup>[19]</sup> Some scientists agree on 20 ng/mL as a minimum desirable serum concentration of 25(OH)D.<sup>[20]</sup>Alshahraniet al. suggested that 25(OH)D serum levels between 20 ng/mL and 32 ng/mL were insufficient.<sup>[21]</sup>A common definition for high serum vitamin D concentrations is also lacking: serum levels above 100 ng/mL or 150 ng/mL have been suggested as indicative of toxicity.<sup>[22]</sup> We used the Saudi Ministry of Health guidelines for vitamin D for our cutoff points.

Our findings were in the range of the findings from previous studies in KSA on vitamin D deficiency. Sadat-Ali *et al.* reported a prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among healthy Saudi men in the range of 28% to 37% in Eastern KSA.<sup>[23]</sup>Elsammak *et al.* reported a prevalence of vitamin D deficiency of more than 65%

Tuffaha, et al.: V	Vitamin D status	among adults in t	he Kingdom of	Saudi Arabia, 2013

Sociodemographic characteristics		in D supplement cons mong individuals with sufficient level of vitan	Vitamin D supplement consumption among individuals with a toxic level of vitamin D			
	N	Weighted (%)	SE	N	Weighted (%)	SE
Sex						
Males	11	0.91	0.33	11	0.90	0.32
Females	37	1.98	0.45	42	2.09	0.45
Age (years)						
15-24	5	0.59	0.32	6	0.62	0.33
25-34	13	2.07	0.72	13	2.05	0.71
35-44	9	1.56	0.69	11	1.69	0.69
45-54	11	4.00	1.52	13	4.28	1.51
55-64	6	2.55	1.13	6	2.47	1.09
65+	4	3.79	2.94	4	3.60	2.79
Education						
Primary school or less	14	1.28	0.50	15	1.34	0.50
Elementary or high school completed	18	1.28	0.38	21	1.34	0.38
College degree or higher education	16	2.48	0.83	17	2.51	0.83
Marital status						
Currently married	36	2.79	0.62	39	2.85	0.61
Never married	9	0.63	0.27	10	0.66	0.27
Separated, divorced, or widowed	3	1.67	1.07	4	1.90	1.09
Tobacco smoking status				-		
Never smoked	43	1.51	0.32	48	1.59	0.32
Previous smoker	2	2.75	2.04	2	2.68	1.99
Current smoker	3	1.15	0.69	3	1.11	0.66
Obesity	0	1.10	0.07	0	1.11	0.00
Not obese	18	1.05	0.31	21	1.10	0.31
Obese	27	2.37	0.65	28	2.42	0.65
Levels of physical activity	21	2.57	0.00	20	2.72	0.00
None	19	1.83	0.63	23	2.01	0.63
Low	15	1.77	0.57	16	1.80	0.05
Moderate	4	0.83	0.51	4	0.82	0.57
	4 10	1.16	0.31	4 10	1.15	0.30
High	10	1.10	0.40	10	1.15	0.45
Sun exposure/week 0 h	7	1 (0	0.95	0	1.05	0.07
	7	1.69	0.85	8	1.85	0.87
<1 h	24	1.99	0.53	24	1.96	0.52
1-2 h	5	1.25	0.75	7	1.47	0.77
2-3 h	0	0 74	0.50	0	0.70	0.50
>3 h	5	0.74	0.53	5	0.73	0.53
Hypertension		1.01			1.05	
No	41	1.31	0.27	46	1.37	0.27
Yes	7	5.07	2.59	7	4.93	2.52
Diabetes						
No	39	1.35	0.30	44	1.42	0.29
Yes	9	4.11	1.60	9	4.00	1.56
Hypercholesterolemia						
No	40	1.37	0.29	45	1.43	0.29
Yes	8	5.52	2.36	8	5.42	2.32
Chronic conditions						
Not diagnosed	41	1.49	0.31	46	1.55	0.31
Diagnosed	7	1.74	0.81	7	1.73	0.80

Table 3. Distribution of vitamin D supplement consumption according to vitamin D sufficiency in Saudis aged

among healthy young Saudis in the same region.<sup>[8]</sup> Naeem et al. reported a deficiency prevalence of 67.8% in the Quassim region.<sup>[24]</sup>

Inadequate amounts of vitamin D pose health risks for the entire age spectrum including rickets in children and osteopenia and osteoporosis in adults.[25] In addition,

Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression for association of sociodemographic and other risk factors with vitamin D supplement consumption among individuals with an insufficient level of vitamin D in Saudis aged 15 years and above in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2013

Sociodemographic characteristics	Vitamin D supplement consumption among individuals with an insufficient level of vitamin D				
	AOR	95% CI			
Sex					
Males					
Females	2.18	0.79-6.02			
Age*	1.61	0.97-2.67			
Education					
Primary school or less					
Elementary or high school completed	3.85	1.43-10.39			
College degree or higher education	4.62	1.32-16.15			
Marital status					
Currently married					
Never married	0.69	0.14-3.44			
Separated, divorced, or widowed	0.32	0.05-1.86			
Obesity					
Not obese					
Obese	1.78	0.56-5.69			
Levels of physical activity					
None					
Low	1.04	0.34-3.21			
Moderate	0.26	0.05-1.40			
High	1.10	0.30-4.10			
Sun exposure/week					
0 h					
<1 h	1.46	0.41-5.13			
1–2 h	1.04	0.20-5.53			
>2 h	0.73	0.13-4.02			
History of diagnosis of hypertension					
No					
Yes	3.43	0.62-19.05			

AOR = Adjusted odds ratio, CI = Confidence interval, 'AOR for age is for a 10-year increase. Tobacco smoking, the history of diagnoses of diabetes, high cholesterol, and chronic conditions was excluded by the backward elimination multivariate logistic regression

adequate vitamin D levels are needed to prevent complications from falls and fractures.<sup>[26]</sup>

Vitamin D deficiency is of more concern if present among postmenopausal women. These women are at an increased chance of falls and fractures due to hormone-related bone loss.<sup>[27]</sup> Although vitamin D alone has been proven ineffective in preventing fractures in postmenopausal women, vitamin D and calcium supplements may prevent fractures.<sup>[3,28]</sup> Our findings showed that 45.4% of women aged 50 years and above had vitamin D deficiency. Sadat-Ali *et al.* reported a vitamin D deficiency prevalence of 50-60% among Saudi postmenopausal women in 2002<sup>[29]</sup> while Al-Turki *et al.* reported a prevalence of 55% in 2008.<sup>[30]</sup>

Lack of sunlight exposure, lack of availability of vitamin D-fortified foods, and lack of or insufficient vitamin supplementations were the most likely factors explaining the high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. In KSA, these three sources are lacking. Minimal sun exposure is mainly due to the use of protective clothing. Saudi men and women wear the abaya and the headscarf, minimizing any sun exposure outdoors. Hence, it is crucial to inform Saudis about the need for sun exposure and how it should be achieved while reducing the risks of melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer from sun exposure.

The amount of time for optimal vitamin D photosynthesis is uncertain. Even as little as 15 min of direct sunlight can generate up to 20,000 IU of vitamin D but many factors influence the synthesis of vitamin D: skin pigmentation, latitude, and amount of skin exposed, making it hard to assess how much 25-hydroxyvitamin D will be synthesized.<sup>[31]</sup> In addition, unprotected sun exposure has its health risks as well as benefits. Supplementation can provide a safe source of vitamin D.<sup>[32]</sup>

The current guidelines recommend daily supplementation of vitamin D for individuals at risk of vitamin D deficiency. The Endocrine Society and the American Academy of Pediatrics recommend a daily intake of 400 IU/day of vitamin D for children under 1 year of age, 600 IU/day for individuals aged 1-18 years, 600 IU/day for individuals aged 19-70 years, and 800 IU/day for individuals aged 70 years and above.<sup>[22]</sup> However, levels of 2,000 IU/day for children under 1 year of age, 4,000 IU/day for individuals aged 1-18 years, and 10,000 IU/d for individuals aged 19 years and above may be needed to correct vitamin D deficiency.<sup>[33]</sup>The optimal vitamin D levels for protection against cancer, autoimmune diseases, depression, and many other health problems are unknown. Clear guidelines are urgently needed to guide both the public and health officials to improve blood levels of vitamin D.

Few foods naturally contain vitamin D2 or D3. In the United States, milk, yogurt, cereal, and some bread products are fortified with vitamin D. Saadat-Ali *et al.* showed that food items consumed in the Eastern Province of KSA were not fortified.<sup>[34]</sup> Therefore, Saudi health officials should consider such an approach to improve vitamin D levels in the country.

Our study had some limitations. First, our data were from a cross-sectional study and therefore, we could not

assess causality. Second, many of our behavioral data such as diet and physical activity were self-reported and subject to recall and social desirability biases. Third, we used Saudi cutoff points for vitamin D blood levels instead of international ones. Fourth, only 52% of the respondents completed the visit to a health clinic and had their blood drawn for analysis. However, our weighting methodology accounted for this bias by applying a poststratification adjustment using sociodemographic characteristics, health behaviors, previously diagnosed noncommunicable diseases, and anthropometric measurements of the respondents from the household survey. Finally, our study was based on a large sample and used a standardized methodology for all its measures.

Our study was of great importance, as it revealed that vitamin D levels in countries with plenty of sun such as Saudi Arabia were low and required action to improve them in order to decrease the burden of disease associated with the low levels of vitamin D. Moreover, our findings are certainly relevant for other countries in the Gulf region or countries with similar cultures, clothing, and religions. Awareness campaigns, taking into account the culture of the region, need to be developed and implemented in KSA to highlight the importance of vitamin D and educate residents about the best ways to improve vitamin D levels. Moreover, these programs should include monitoring of vitamin D levels in order to avoid toxicity.

#### Conclusion

Our study showed a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Saudi men and women. Our results call for increased awareness to ensure adequate levels of vitamin D for better health in Saudi Arabia. Moreover, there is a need to inform the population about the importance of vitamin D and its sources in the Saudi diet. Our study also showed that very few Saudis use a supplement. Hence, it is crucial that those with low levels of vitamin D be encouraged to improve their diet and use supplements. At the same time, health professionals should caution individuals on supplement use to ensure that they do not reach toxic levels. In a country with plenty of sun, it is important to inform the public that vitamin D is an issue to monitor when outdoor exposure is minimal.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Kathrine Muller at the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation for editing the manuscript. None of the authors declare a conflict of interest.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

474

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1. Lips P. Vitamin D physiology. ProgBiophysMolBiol 2006;92:4-8.
- 2. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Staehelin HB, Orav JE, Stuck AE, Theiler R, *et al.* Fall prevention with supplemental and active forms of vitamin D: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2009;339:b3692.
- 3. Cranney A, Horsley T, O'Donnell S, Weiler H, Puil L, Ooi D, *et al.* Effectiveness and safety of vitamin D in relation to bone health. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep) 2007;1-235.
- 4. Takiishi T, Gysemans C, Bouillon R, Mathieu C. Vitamin D and diabetes. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2012;38:179-206.
- 5. Cutolo M, Otsa K, Uprus M, Paolino S, Seriolo B. Vitamin D in rheumatoid arthritis. Autoimmun Rev 2007;7:59-64.
- Cao Y, Winzenberg T, Nguo K, Lin J, Jones G, Ding C. Association between serum levels of 25-hydroxy vitamin D and osteoarthritis: A systematic review. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2013;52:1323-34.
- Elsammak MY, Al-Wosaibi AA, Al-Howeish A, Alsaeed J. Vitamin D deficiency in Saudi Arabs. HormMetab Res 2010;42:364-8.
- Elsammak MY, Al-Wossaibi AA, Al-Howeish A, Alsaeed J. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in the sunny Eastern region of Saudi Arabia: A hospital-based study. East Mediterr Health J 2011;17:317-22.
- 9. El Bcheraoui C, Memish ZA, Tuffaha M, Daoud F, Robinson M, Jaber S, *et al.* Hypertension and its associated risk factors in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013: A national survey. Int J Hypertens 2014;2014:564679.
- Basulaiman M, El Bcheraoui C, Tuffaha M, Robinson M, Daoud F, Jaber S, *et al*. Hypercholesterolemia and its associated risk factors-Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. Ann Epidemiol 2014;24:801-8.
- Memish ZA, El Bcheraoui C, Tuffaha M, Robinson M, Daoud F, Jaber S, *et al.* Obesity and associated factors – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. Prev Chronic Dis 2014;11:E174.
- 12. El Bcheraoui C, Basulaiman M, Tuffaha M, Daoud F, Robinson M, Jaber S, *et al.* Status of the diabetes epidemic in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. Int J Public Health 2014;59:1011-21.
- Global Database on Body Mass Index-BMI classification. World Health Organization. (Accessed December 22, 2014, at http://www.assessmentpsychology.com/icbmi.htm).
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, *et al.* International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:1381-95.
- National Heatlth and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Health Tech/Blood Pressure Procedures Manual. CDC; 2009. (Accessed December 22, 2014, at http://www.cdc. gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes\_09\_10/BP.pdf).
- Institute of Medicine (US) Committee to Review Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin D and Calcium. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2011. (Accessed December 10, 2014, at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK56070/).

- Dawson-Hughes B, Heaney RP, Holick MF, Lips P, Meunier PJ, Vieth R. Estimates of optimal vitamin D status. OsteoporosInt 2005;16:713-6.
- Gibson RS. Principles of Nutritional Assessment. Oxford University Press; 2005.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Dietrich T, Dawson-Hughes B. Estimation of optimal serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D for multiple health outcomes. Am J ClinNutr 2006;84:18-28.
- Norman AW, Bouillon R, Whiting SJ, Veith R, Lips P. 13<sup>th</sup> Workshop consensus for vitamin D nutritional guidelines. J SteroidBiochemMolBiol 2007;103:204-5.
- 21. Alshahrani F, Aljohani N. Vitamin D: Deficiency, sufficiency and toxicity. Nutrients 2013;5:3605-16.
- 22. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 2007;357:266-81.
- 23. Sadat-Ali M, AlElq A, Al-Turki H, Al-Mulhim F, Al-Ali A. Vitamin D levels in healthy men in eastern Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med 2009;29:378-82.
- Naeem Z, Almohaimeed A, Sharaf FK, Ismail H, Shaukat F, Inam SB. Vitamin D status among population of Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2011;5:116-24.
- Gröber U, Spitz J, Reichrath J, Kisters K, Holick MF. Vitamin D: Update 2013: From rickets prophylaxis to general preventive healthcare.Dermatoendocrinol 2013;5:331-47.
- 26. Osteoporosis and Musculoskeletal Disorders: Preventing Osteoporosis. 2014. (Accessed December 22, 2014, at http:// www.iofbonehealth.org/preventing-osteoporosis).

- 27. Bruyere O. Fracture prevention in postmenopausal women. Am Fam Physician 2005;71:2151-2.
- Avenell A, Mak JC, O'Connell D. Vitamin D and vitamin D analogues for preventing fractures in post-menopausal women and older men. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 4:CD000227.
- Sadat-Ali M, Al-Habdan IM, Al-Mulhim FA, El-Hassan AY. Bone mineral density among postmenopausal Saudi women. Saudi Med J 2004;25:1623-5.
- Al-Turki HA, Sadat-Ali M, Al-Elq AH, Al-Mulhim FA, Al-Ali AK. 25-Hydoxyvitamin D levels among healthy Saudi Arabian women. Saudi Med J 2008;29:1765-8.
- Hall LM, Kimlin MG, Aronov PA, Hammock BD, Slusser JR, Woodhouse LR, *et al.* Vitamin D intake needed to maintain target serum 25-hydroxyvitamin d concentrations in participants with low sun exposure and dark skin pigmentation is substantially higher than current recommendations. J Nutr 2010;140:542-50.
- 32. Don't seek the sun: Top reasons to get vitamin D from your diet. American Academy of Dermatology. (Accessed December 22, 2014, at https://www.aad.org/mediaresources/stats-andfacts/prevention-and-care/vitamin-d).
- Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, *et al.* Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: An endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J ClinEndocrinolMetab 2011;96:1911-30.
- 34. Sadat-Ali M, Al Elq A, Al-Farhan M, Sadat NA. Fortification with vitamin D: Comparative study in the Saudi Arabian and US markets. J Family Community Med 2013; 20:49-52.