# Efficacy of daily 800 IU vitamin D supplementation in reaching vitamin D sufficiency in nursing home residents: cross-sectional patient file study

Bistra I Veleva<sup>1</sup> Email: bveleva@yahoo.com

Victor G Chel<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Email: v.chel@topaz.nl

Wilco P Achterberg<sup>1,2</sup> Email: w.p.achterberg@lumc.nl

<sup>1</sup> Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Nursing Home Topaz Overduin, Katwijk, The Netherlands

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Nursing Home Topaz Overduin, Katwijk, The Netherlands

### Abstract

#### Background

The Dutch Health Council advises a standard daily vitamin D supplementation of 800 IU (20 mcg) for persons aged  $\geq$  70 years, with a target 25(OH)D serum concentration of  $\geq$  50 nmol/l. This recommendation is in line with advice from the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2011) and the Expert Working Group on vitamin D (2012). A target 25(OH)D serum concentration of  $\geq$  75 nmol/l is also recommended in the literature. It is unknown whether this advice, initially designed for healthy adults/elderly, will lead to vitamin D sufficiency in the large majority of nursing home residents, taking into account the frailty of this population.

### Methods

Cross-sectional patient file study. Participants were 71 psychogeriatric nursing home residents (25 males, 46 females) with a mean age of 83 (SD 7) years using cholecalciferol capsules (5600 IU) once a week, or cholecalciferol drops (50,000 IU/ml) 3 drops a week (7500 IU), for at least 3 months. Main outcome measure was serum 25(OH)D level after supplementation.

#### Results

Of all participants, 19 used cholecaliferol drops and 52 used cholecaliferol capsules. In total, mean serum 25(OH)D was 77 (SD 30) nmol/L and 55 residents (78%) were vitamin D sufficient. Among capsule users, mean serum 25(OH)D was 90 (SD 22) nmol/L and 49

(94%) were vitamin D sufficient. Among users of drops, mean serum 25(OH)D was 41 (SD 8) nmol/L and 6 (32%) were vitamin D sufficient.

### Conclusion

In most of these residents, vitamin D supplementation once a week with cholecalciferol capsules containing 5600 IU (equivalent to 800 IU daily) resulted in vitamin D sufficiency (serum  $25(OH)D \ge 50 \text{ nmol/L}$ ). When choosing a vitamin D preparation for routine supplementation in nursing home residents it should be noted that major differences may exist in efficacy, even when the various preparations contain the same amount of vitamin D.

# Keywords

Vitamin D sufficiency, Vitamin D supplementation, Nursing home, Cholecalciferol

# Background

Vitamin D deficiency (serum 25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L) and insufficiency (serum 25(OH)D > 30 < 50 nmol/L) [1] is common among older people as a result of reduction in mobility, time spent outdoors with sun exposure, intrinsic skin response to ultraviolet radiation and dietary vitamin D intake [2,3]. In nursing home residents almost everyone is vitamin D insufficient if vitamin D is not supplemented [4,5].

The importance of vitamin D (especially among older persons) is growing with increasing knowledge on the numerous biological effects of vitamin D as a promoter of bone health [6], physical performance [7] and as a possible modulator in, e.g., cardiovascular disease [8,9], diabetes [10] and cancer [11]. In nursing homes, vitamin D supplementation is increasingly being considered as an indicator and standard for responsible care.

A consensus has not been reached yet among vitamin D researchers on the optimal 25(OH)D concentrations. The Endocrine Society clinical practice guidelines (2011) [12] recommend a target 25(OH)D serum concentration of  $\geq$  75 nmol/l with a daily vitamin D requirement of 1,500 -2,000 IU for persons aged  $\geq$  70 years.

The Dutch Health Council advises a standard daily vitamin D supplementation of 800 IU (20 mcg) for persons aged  $\geq$  70 years, with a target 25(OH)D serum concentration of  $\geq$  50 nmol/l [13]. This recommendation is in line with advice from the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2011) [14] and the Expert Working Group on vitamin D (2012) [15].

However, it is unknown whether this advice, initially designed for healthy adults/elderly, will lead to vitamin D sufficiency in the large majority of nursing home residents, taking into account the frailty of this population with multiple comorbidities, polypharmacy, and dependency on basic activities of daily living. One study in Dutch nursing home residents, investigating the effect of equivalent oral doses of cholecalciferol 600 IU/day, 4200 IE/week and 18,000 IU/month on vitamin D status showed that, at 4 months, the percentage of patients with serum 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L was 10.9% and 10.6% in the daily and weekly groups of vitamin D supplementation, respectively [4].

To our knowledge, no cross-sectional study in nursing home residents has investigated the efficacy of a daily vitamin D supplementation dose of 800 IU. Therefore, the present study investigates the prevalence of vitamin D sufficiency in a psychogeriatric nursing home population, after use of the recommended daily supplementation dose of 800 IU cholecalciferol.

# Methods

### **Participants and intervention**

A cross-sectional study was carried out in 71 residents of dementia care units of the nursing home *Topaz Overduin* in Katwijk (the Netherlands), using cholecalciferol capsules, once a week 5600 IE or cholecalciferol drops (50,000 IU/ml), 3 drops a week (7500 IU). The capsules contained cholecalciferol 100 IE/mg, cellulose microcrystalline PH102, magnesium stearate en lactose monohydrate (180). Drops were a watery mixture composed of cholecalciferol concentrate in oil, citric acid monohydrate, star anise oil, potassium sorbates, polysorbatum 80 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleat), sugar syrup, and purified water.

The only exclusion criterion was the use of vitamin D for less than 3 months.

All blood samples were drawn on the same day.

The best parameter for vitamin D status, 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D], was measured by a radioimmunoassay (25-OH-vitamin D RIA, Diasorin, Stillwater, MN, USA). The assay has 100% cross reactivity with 25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3. Total imprecision (Interassay coefficient of variation) is 9.4% at 22 nmol/l. SCAL Medical Diagnostics (Foundation central primary care laboratory) is certified by the Dutch Board for Accreditation and participates in external quality assessment schemes organized by the Foundation Quality Control Medical Laboratory Diagnostics.

#### **Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was not necessary under Dutch regulations since this study was a retrospective patient file study. Among all elderly care physicians in the participating nursing home, drawing blood samples, in order to check the effects of vitamin D supplementation on individual serum 25(OH)D levels, is considered to be a quality of care standard and therefore part of good medical practice. Therefore, the drawing of blood samples was for clinical purposes and complied with The Dutch Law of Agreement to Medical Treatment (WGBO), and not as research that has to comply with the Dutch law on Medical Research in Humans (WMO), for which ethical approval is required. Informed consent however is also necessary for all diagnostic and clinical work under the WGBO, so patients who did not want their blood samples taken, could refuse.

#### Potential factors that influence 25(OH)D concentration

From the patient files, data were collected on factors possibly influencing a rise in serum 25(OH)D: age, comorbidity, number and sort of medication use (anticonvulsant medication and corticosteroids were taken into account because these increase catabolism of 25(OH)D [13]), body mass index (BMI), sun exposure, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD)

as an estimate of the renal function, and Functional Ambulation Classification (FAC) scores as an assessment of the mobility, performed by physiotherapists and physicians. The FAC consists of five items. Scores range from 0-5 (0 = Nonfunctional ambulation; 1 = Ambulatordependent for physical assistance level II; 2 = Ambulator-dependent for physical assistance – level I; 3 = Ambulator-dependent for supervision; 4 = Ambulator- independent level surfaces only; and 5 = Ambulator independent) [16].

Comorbidity was expressed as the number of chronic diseases, taking into account 7 major conditions [17], i.e. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac disease, peripheral arterial disease, diabetes mellitus, stroke, cancer and rheumatoid arthritis/osteoarthritis, and measured by review of the patients' medical files. BMI was calculated as body weight in kg divided by height in m<sup>2</sup> and subsequently categorized into three groups: underweight (BMI <  $20 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), normal weight (BMI >  $20 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) and overweight (BMI >  $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ).

Adequate compliance was defined to exist when more than 80% of the vitamin D medication was ingested.

#### Statistical analysis

Main outcome measure was serum 25(OH)D level after supplementation.

We express continuous variables as means  $\pm$  standard deviation and categorical variables as percentages. We used Student's T for comparisons, as well as Chi-squared test.

A logistic regression analysis was used to assess the possible predictors of 25(OH)D insufficiency. P-values were considered significant at a p-value < 0.05. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated to estimate the strength of the association when the p-value was significant. All analyses were conducted with SPSS 21 software.

### Results

Of the 71 participants with at least 3 months supplementation, 19 used cholecaliferol drops (3 males/16 females) and 52 used cholecaliferol capsules (14 males/38 females). In total, mean serum 25(OH)D was 77 (SD 30) nmol/L and 55 residents (78%) were vitamin D sufficient (Table 1).

	Total group	Supplementation by capsules	Supplementation by drops	Р
Subjects (females/males), n	71 (46/25)	52 (38/14)	19 (16/3)	0.5
Age in years, mean (SD)	83 (7)	83 (7)	82 (8)	0.4
Serum 25(OH)D <sup>1</sup> nmol/L, mean (SD)	77 (30)	90 (22)	41 (18)	< 0.001
Ser. 25(OH)D in residents, % (n)				
≥75	61 (43)	80 (42)	5 (1)	
≥50-74.9	17 (12)	14 (7)	26 (5)	
30-49.9 nmol/L	14 (10)	6 (3)	37 (7)	
< 30 nmol/L	8 (6)	0 (0)	32 (6)	
Duration supplementation% (n)				
3-6 months	24 (17)	33 (17)	0 (0)	< 0.001
12-18 months	45 (32)	60 (31)	5 (1)	
>18 months	31 (22)	7 (4)	95 (18)	
Subjects with sunlight exposure $>1 x$ week%	61 (43)	44 (23)	74 (14)	0.2
(II) Number of medications% (n)				
	73 (52)	73 (38)	74(14)	0.0
5	73(32)	73(38)	74(14)	0.9
>3 Madication influencing 25(OH)D	27(19)	27 (14)	20 (3)	
anticonvulsante	13(11)	13(8)	15 (5)	0.8
- corticosteroids	1(1) 14(10)	2(1) 13(7)	15 (3)	0.8
$EAC^2 \% (n)$	14 (10)	15(7)	15 (5)	
1 AC , 70 (II)	39 (27)	13 (23)	21 (4)	
1	$\frac{39(27)}{11(8)}$	43 (23)	21(4)	
2	11 (8)	10(5)	10(3)	0.2
2	11(0)	10 (5)	10(5)	0.5
5	14(10)	10(5)	20 (3)	
4	21(13)	23(12)	10 (3) 5 (1)	
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{MI}^3 \ll (\mathbf{n})$	4 (3)	4 (2)	5(1)	
DIVIL, % (II)	55 (20)	60 (21)	42 (8)	
<20 underweight	33 (39) 34 (24)	$\frac{00}{34}$ (18)	42 (8)	0.01
20-25 nearthy weight	34(24)	54(10)	32 (0) 26 (5)	
>25 Overweight	11 (6)	0(3)	20 (3)	
MDRD , % (II)	21 (22)	22 (17)	26 (5)	07
<00	51(22)	55 (17)	20(3)	0.7
$\sim 00$	09 (49)	07 (33)	/4 (14)	
	73 (52)	72 (29)	74(14)	0.6
<u>~</u>	75(32)	73 (30) 27 (14)	74 (14)	0.0
>2	27 (19)	27 (14)	20 (3)	

 Table 1 Characteristics of 71 patients with vitamin D supplementation

Values are mean (SD) or number (percentage).

Of the 52 capsule users, mean age was 83 (SD 7) years and mean serum 25(OH)D was 90 (SD 22) nmol/L. None of this group was vitamin D deficient [25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L], whereas 3 (6%) were vitamin D insufficient [25(OH)D <50 nmol/L], 49 (94%) were vitamin D sufficient [25(OH)D  $\ge$  50 nmol/L] and 42 of this group (80%) had serum 25(OH)D levels > 75 nmol/L.

Of the 19 drop users, mean age was 82 (SD 8) years and mean serum 25(OH)D level was 41 (SD 18) nmol/L. In this group, 6 (32%) were vitamin D deficient [25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L], 7 (37%) were vitamin D insufficient [25(OH)D <50 nmol/L], 6 (31%) were vitamin D sufficient [25(OH)D  $\ge$  50 nmol/L], and 1 (5%) had serum 25(OH)D levels > 75 nmol/L.

Among drops user, all 19 (100%) participants were compliant. Among capsule users 50 (96%) were compliant and 2 (4%) were not.

Because of the lower serum concentration of 25(OH)D in the group of drop users we used Student's T for comparisons as well as Chi-squared test to compare the basic characteristics of the two groups that can influence the 25(OH)D concentration. A significant difference was established in the BMI between the two groups (p 0.01) with a higher number of persons with overweight in the group of the drop users. Subsequently we carried out a bivariate analysis with the serum vitamin D concentration (patients divided in two groups 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/l and 25(OH)D  $\geq$  50 nmol/l) as dependent variable to control for potential confounders that can influence vitamin D concentration.

The use of cholecalciferol drops was a strong predictor of 25(OH)D insufficiency (OR 35.3; p < 0.0001; 95% CI 7.7-160.9) (Table 2).

	25(OH)D <sup>1</sup> < 50 nmol/L	25(OH)D≥50 nmol/L	P-value	OR	95% CI
N	16	55			
Gender, % (n)			0.9		
Male	25 (12)	23 (13)			
Female	75 (4)	76 (42)			
Age in years, mean (SD)	83 (SD 8)	83 (SD 7)	0.9		
Subjects with sunlight exposure $>1x$ /week, % (n)	25 (4)	44 (24)	0.1		
Drops/capsules, % (n)			< 0.0001	35.3	7.7-160.9
- drop users	81 (13)	11 (6)			
- capsule users	19 (3)	89 (49)			
No. of medications, % (n)			0.8		
<5	75 (12)	72 (40)			
>5	25 (4)	27 (15)			
$FAC^2$ , % (n)			0.3		
0	25 (4)	42 (23)			
1	6(1)	13 (7)			
2	19 (3)	9 (5)			
3	31 (5)	9 (5)			
4	13 (2)	24 (13)			
5	6(1)	4 (2)			
BMI <sup>3</sup> , (n)			0.3		
<20 underweight	56 (9)	55 (30)			
20-25 healthy weight	25 (4)	36 (20)			
>25 overweight	19 (3)	9 (5)			
MDRD <sup>4</sup> , % (n)			0.6		
<60	37 (6)	29 (16)			
>60	62 (10)	71 (39)			
Comorbidity <sup>5</sup> , % (n)			0.02	0.2	0.07-0.8
$\leq 2$ diseases	50 (8)	80 (44)			
>2 diseases	50 (8)	20 (11)			

# Table 2 Predictors of vitamin D insufficiency in patients with dementia with Vitamin D supplementation: binary logistic regression analyses

 $^{1}$  25(OH)D –25-hydroxyvitamin D.

<sup>2</sup> FAC - Functional Ambulation Classification.

<sup>3</sup> BMI - Body Mass Index.

<sup>4</sup> MDRD - Modification of Diet in Renal Disease.

<sup>5</sup>Chronic diseases from seven majors: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac disease, peripheral arterial disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis/osteoarthritis and cancer.

In the total study population, residents with 25(OH)D serum levels  $\geq$ 50 nmol/L were more likely to have less comorbidity: i.e.  $\leq$  2 chronic somatic diseases registered (p = 0.02; OR 4.0; CI 1.2-13.0). However, this correlation was not significant among drop users. Among capsule users, the number of vitamin D insufficient subjects was too low (<5) to examine this correlation.

In both groups, no association was found between the other possible confounders (gender, age, BMI, renal function, sun exposure, number and kind of medication and mobility status) and vitamin D insufficiency.

### Discussion

Vitamin D supplementation that may be needed to achieve optimal concentration of 25(OH)D in all populations is not established. Studies suggest that 700 to 1000 IU vitamin D per day may be enough to bring 50% of the younger and older adults up to 75–100 nmol/l [18-20]. In our study a supplementation of 5600 IU vitamin D once a week by capsules brings 80% of the older adults to serum 25(OH)D higher than 75 nmol/l.

A strength of the present study is that it is the first to demonstrate that a population-based approach in vitamin D supplementation strategy is feasible, even in a population of fragile institutionalized older people.

However, because it is a single-site study with a relatively small number of patients the external validity is limited. The study was conducted in Dutch nursing home residents and recommendations are for this population. Reproduction of the study in multiple sites and countries is therefore warranted.

The present study reveals a striking difference between the efficacy of drops and capsules in reaching vitamin D sufficiency in a psychogeriatric nursing home population.

In capsules users only 3 of 52 subjects (6%) were vitamin D insufficient; 2 of these participants had low medication compliance because of unwillingness to take the medication (presumably related to their cognitive decline). The third insufficient resident had a history of recurrent bladder carcinoma, long carcinoma and radiotherapy, cardiac disease and chronic renal failure. Among users of drops, no less than 13 of 19 subjects (69%) had insufficient 25(OH) serum levels. Possible reasons for this discrepancy were further investigated.

Indeed we didn't have the baseline 25(OH)D levels of our patients before the supplementation. In a previous study conducted in Netherlands, vitamin D baseline level was found to be insufficient in 98% of the nursing home residents [4]. It is also obvious in our study that the drop users have a longer duration of vitamin D supplementation than capsule users (p < 0.0001) and no one of the drop users receives the supplementation shorter than one year.

Compliance with the ingestion of drops was 100% in all participants; drops were administered by spoon with apple sauce, or in a small quantity of tea or water. In no case did drops exceeded the maximum shelf life and all were stored as specified by the pharmacist; the pharmacist also confirmed that 3 drops do in fact contain 7500 IU of cholecalciferol. Drops were a watery mixture composed of cholecalciferol concentrate in oil, citric acid monohydrate, star anise oil, potassium sorbates, polysorbatum 80 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleat), sugar syrup, and purified water, which appears to have better bioavailability than oily formulations [21]. In the nursing home *Topaz Overduin* the method used for supplementing vitamin D has changed over time from drops to capsules; this means that there was no specific reason why any resident should still be using drops.

The nursing staff was asked about the way drops were administered. They reported this occurred in 3 ways, depending on the nurse's personal choice: 1) 3 drops were administered directly from the drop container, 2) 3 drops were given using a 1-ml syringe (delivered standard by the pharmacist with the drop container and the instruction that 0.11 ml be taken in case of syringe use), or 3) 0.11 ml was administrated with the 1-ml syringe. Over time, each resident received the drops in these different ways, implying that there was no set procedure of administration for any particular group.

A literature search for confounders in attaining accuracy and precision of delivery from containers with oral drops, yielded several pediatric and ophthalmologic studies [22-24]. Interestingly one of these studies, assessing the dose uniformity of samples delivered from pediatric oral droppers, discovered that the key factor in achieving satisfactory dispensing is the position of the dropper - which has to be held vertically [22].

In our nursing home, the two other routes of administration of drops are also susceptible to dosage errors, i.e. accurate titration of 0.11 ml cholecalciferol with a 1-ml syringe is practically impossible; also, drops given via a syringe have a different volume than drops given with the container. Since the nursing staff of our nursing home received no instructions concerning the administration of drops, this might be an explanation for the discrepancy found. Because dosage errors are possible when administering small volumes of solutions, nursing staff should be guided on the correct method of delivery.

# Conclusion

Vitamin D supplementation using cholecalciferol capsules containing 5600 IU, once a week (equal to 800 IU daily) will result in vitamin D sufficiency (serum  $25(OH)D \ge 50 \text{ nmol/L}$ ), regardless of gender, age, BMI, renal function, sun exposure, comorbidity, medication and mobility status. When choosing a vitamin D preparation for routine supplementation for nursing home residents, it is important to note that major differences in efficacy may exist between various types of preparations, even when they apparently contain the same amount of vitamin D. This raises the issue of standardization of drops administration for the purpose of avoiding failure in the meeting of recommended daily needs of vitamin D.

### Abbreviations

IOM, Institute of Medicine; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; FAC, Functional Ambulation Classification; BMI, Body Mass Index; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease; Chronic diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac disease, peripheral arterial disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis/osteoarthritis and cancer

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Authors' contributions**

All authors participated in the study design. Data collection was done by BV and VC & WA contributed to the statistical analysis and drafting of the manuscript. All authors participated

in interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript. WA had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Authors' information**

Wilco P. Achterberg-professor of institutional care and elderly care medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, specialties: geriatrics, nursing home medicine, pain, dementia, geriatric rehabilitation.

Victor G. Chel, elderly care physician, completed his PhD on "Treatment of vitamin D deficiency in Dutch nursing home residents". Head of the specialist training programme for elderly care physicians, Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Public Health and Primary Care,

Bistra I. Veleva- a physician in training at Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, specialist training programme for elderly care physicians. Bistra Veleva and Wilco Achterberg are alternate corresponding authors.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the elderly care physicians, nursing staffs and secretaries of the nursing home Topaz Overduin in Katwijk (The Netherlands) as well as SCAL Medical Diagnostics for their assistance with the study.

### References

1. NIH Library. http://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/VitaminD-HealthProfessional.

2. Gallagher JC: Vitamin D and Aging. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2013, 42:319–332.

3. Orwoll E, Nielson CM, Marshall LM, Lambert L, Holton KF, Hoffman AR, Barrett-Connor E, Shikany JM, Dam T, Cauley JA, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men(MrOS) Study Group: **Vitamin D deficiency in older men.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009, **94**(4):1214–1222.

4. Chel V, Wijnhoven HA, Smit JH, Ooms M, Lips P: Efficacy of different doses and time intervals of oral vitamin D supplementation with or without calcium in elderly nursing home residents. *Osteoporos Int* 2008, **19:**663–671.

5. Chel VG, Ooms ME, Popp-Snijders C, Pavel S, Schothorst AA, Meulemans CC, Lips P: Ultraviolet irradiation corrects vitamin D deficiency and suppresses secondary hyperparathyroidism in the elderly. *J Bone Miner Res* 1998, **13**:1238–1242.

6. Dawson-Hughes B, Harris SS, Krall EA, Lallal GE: Effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on bone density in men and women 65 years of age or older. *N Engl J Med* 1997, **337:**670–676.

7. Latham NK, Anderson CS, Reid IR: Effects of vitamin D supplementation on strength, physical performance, and falls in older persons: a systematic review. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003, **51**:1219–1226.

8. Arnson Y, Itzhaky D, Mosseri M, Barak V, Tzur B, Agmon-Levin N, Amital H: Vit D Inflammatory Cytokines and Coronary Events: A Comprehensive Review. *Clin Rev Allerg Immunol* 2013, **45**(2):236–247.

9. Barnard K, Colón-Emeric C: Extraskeletal Effects of Vitamin D in Older Adults: Cardiovascular Disease, mortality, mood and cognition. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother* 2010, **8:**4–33.

10. Mitri J, Dawson-Hughes B, Hu FB, Pittas AG: Effects of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on pancreatic  $\beta$  cell function, insulin sensitivity, and glycemia in adults at high risk of diabetes: the Calcium and Vitamin D for Diabetes Mellitus (CaDDM) randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011, **94**:486–494.

11. Toriola AT, Nguyen N, Scheitler-Ring K, Colditz GA: Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D Levels and prognosis among Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2014. In press.

12. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, Murad MH, Weaver CM, Endocrine Society: **Evaluation, Treatment, and Prevention of Vitamin D Deficiency: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011, **96**(7):1911–1930.

13. Health Council of the Netherlands: *Evaluation of the dietary reference values for vitamin D*. The Hague: Health Council of the Netherlands; 2012. publication n0.2012/15.

14. Ross AC, Manson JE, Abrams SA, Aloia JF, Brannon PM, Clinton SK, Durazo-Arvizu RA, Gallagher JC, Gallo RL, Jones G, Kovacs CS, Mayne ST, Rosen CJ, Shapses SA: **The 2011 Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D: what dietetics practitioners need to know.** *J Am Diet Assoc* 2011, **2011**(4):524–527.

15. Brouwer-Brolsma EM, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Bouillon R, Feskens EJ, Gallagher CJ, Hypponen E, Llewellyn DJ, Stoecklin E, Dierkes J, Kies AK, Kok FJ, Lamberg-Allardt C, Moser U, Pilz S, Saris WH, van Schoor NM, Weber P, Witkamp R, Zittermann A, de Groot LC: Vitamin D: do we get enough? A discussion between vitamin D experts in order to make a step towards the harmonisation of dietary reference intakes for vitamin D across Europe. *Osteoporos Int* 2013, **24**:1567–1577.

16. Holden MK, Gill KM, Magliozzi MR, Nathan J, Piehl-Baker: Clinical Gait Assessment in the neurologically impaired: reliability and meaningfulness. *Phys Ther* 1984, **64:**35–40.

17. Visser M, Deeg DJ, Puts MT, Seidell JC, Lips P: Low serum concentrations of 25hydroxyvitamin D in older persons and the risk of nursing home admission. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006, 84:616–622. 18. Tangpricha V, Pearce EN, Chen TC: Vitamin D insufficiency among free-living healthy young adults. *Am J Med* 2002, **112**:659–662.

19. Barger-Lux MJ, Heaney RP, Dowell S: Vitamin D and its major metabolites: serum levels after graded oral dosing in healthy men. *Osteoporos Int* 1998, **8**(3):222–230.

20. Spedding S, Vanlint S, Morris H, Scragg R: **Does vitamin D sufficiency equate to a single serum 25-hydroxyvitamin d level or are different levels required for non-skeletal diseases?** *Nutrients* 2013, **5**(12):5127–5139.

21. van Groningen L, Opdenoordt S, van Sorge A, Telting D, Giesen A, de Boer H: Cholecalciferol loading dose guideline for vitamin D-deficient adults. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2010, **162**:805–811.

22. Brown D, Ford JL, Nunn AJ, Rowe PH: **An assessment of dose-uniformity of samples delivered from paediatric oral droppers.** *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2004, **29:**521–529.

23. Bunn G: Administration of oral liquids. *Pharmaceutical J* 1983, 231:168–169.

24. German EJ, Hurst MA, Wood D: Reliability of drop size from multi-dose eye drop bottles: is it a cause of concern. *Eye* 1999, **13**:93–100.

#### Additional files provided with this submission:

Additional file 1: 8740789371314894\_Formatted with corrections.docx, 32K http://www.biomedcentral.com/imedia/2034146732141924/supp1.docx Additional file 2: 8740789371314894\_Formatted final corrected.docx, 31K http://www.biomedcentral.com/imedia/5320937181419252/supp2.docx Additional file 3: 8740789371314894\_Formatted\_Updated.docx, 34K http://www.biomedcentral.com/imedia/2034574517142118/supp3.docx