

How is Least significant change defined by the attached paper? How is the constant 2.77 derived

Based on the attached research paper, the **Least Significant Change (LSC)** is defined as the smallest difference between two consecutive measurements of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] that can be considered a **real, non-random change** with 95% probability. It accounts for measurement precision errors to distinguish clinically significant changes from analytical variability $^{[1]}$.

Key Definition from the Paper:

LSC Calculation:

$$LSC = Precision Error (CV) \times 2.77$$

- **Precision Error (CV)**: The root mean square standard deviation (absolute) or coefficient of variation (percentage) derived from triplicate measurements of 25(OH)D concentrations [1].
- **Constant 2.77**: Derived statistically to achieve **95% confidence** that a difference exceeding LSC is non-random [1].

Derivation of the Constant 2.77:

The factor 2.77 is based on:

- 1. **Z-score for 95% Confidence**: The z-value for a two-tailed 95% confidence interval is 1.96.
- 2. Accounting for Two Measurements: Since LSC compares two results (e.g., baseline and follow-up), the standard error is scaled by $\sqrt{2}$:

$$1.96 imes \sqrt{2} pprox 2.77$$

[1]

3. **Statistical Basis**: This ensures that if the difference between two measurements exceeds LSC, there is \geq 95% probability that the change is real and not due to analytical imprecision [1].

Contextual Findings:

- The study calculated an **absolute LSC of 4.0 ng/mL** (13.2% relative) for the IDS-iSYS 25(OH)D assay [1].
- LSC varied with 25(OH)D concentration:

25(OH)D Range (ng/mL)	Absolute LSC (ng/mL)	Relative LSC (%)
<20 (Deficiency)	2.2	14.7
20-30 (Insufficiency)	3.7	14.2

25(OH)D Range (ng/mL)	Absolute LSC (ng/mL)	Relative LSC (%)	
30-50 (Optimal)	4.1	10.9	
>50 (High)	11.8	16.9	<u>[1]</u> .

Practical Application:

Clinicians should interpret changes in serial 25(OH)D results using LSC:

- A difference >4.0 ng/mL (absolute) or >13.2% (relative) indicates a clinically significant change with 95% confidence [1].
- Example: If a patient's 25(OH)D rises from 18 ng/mL to 23 ng/mL (Δ 5 ng/mL > LSC 4.0 ng/mL), this reflects a true improvement beyond analytical noise^[1].

This approach standardizes interpretation of longitudinal vitamin D testing, reducing misclassification due to assay variability [1].



1. least-significant-chanage.pdf