



# Systematic Review The Impact of Vitamin D on Androgens and Anabolic Steroids among Adult Males: A Meta-Analytic Review

Ahmed Abu-Zaid <sup>1,\*</sup>, Saleh A. K. Saleh <sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, Heba M. Adly <sup>3</sup><sup>®</sup>, Saeed Baradwan <sup>4</sup><sup>®</sup>, Abdullah M. Alharran <sup>5</sup><sup>®</sup>, Mshal Alhatm <sup>6</sup>, Mooza M. Alzayed <sup>5</sup>, Muteb N. Alotaibi <sup>6</sup>, Abdulbadih Rabih Saad <sup>5</sup>, Hessa Mohammed Alfayadh <sup>7</sup>, Mohammed Abuzaid <sup>8</sup> and Osama Alomar <sup>9</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, Riyadh 11533, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah 24382, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Community Medicine and Pilgrims Healthcare, Faculty of Medicine, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah 24382, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Jeddah 23433, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>5</sup> College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Manama 329, Bahrain
- <sup>6</sup> College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, Riyadh 11533, Saudi Arabia
  <sup>7</sup> College of Medicine, Almostructure Directly, Riyadh 12712, Coudi Arabia
- College of Medicine, Almaarefa University, Riyadh 13713, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Al Birk General Hospital, Al Birk 63525, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh 11564, Saudi Arabia
- \* Correspondence: amabuzaid@alfaisal.edu or aabuzaid@live.com

Abstract: Background: Recent studies indicate that vitamin D impacts male reproductive function, with deficiency linked to infertility. This review evaluates the effect of vitamin D supplementation on male fertility, focusing on total testosterone, free testosterone, the free androgen index (FAI), folliclestimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and estradiol. Methods: We systematically searched Medline, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Scopus from their inception until July 2024 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving adult males. The primary focus of these studies was on reproductive hormone parameters, analyzed using a random-effects meta-analysis and weighted mean difference (WMD). Evidence quality was assessed using ROB2 and GRADE. Meta-regression and dose-response analyses were performed. Results: Seventeen studies met the criteria for quantitative analysis. Vitamin D supplementation significantly increased total testosterone levels (WMD 0.38, 95% CI 0.06–0.70, n = 15,  $I^2 = 67.03$ ). However, it had no significant effect on other hormone parameters: free testosterone (WMD 0.00, 95% CI -0.02-0.03, n = 9, I<sup>2</sup> = 48.12), FSH (WMD -0.02, 95% CI -0.57-0.53, n = 7, I<sup>2</sup> = 48.72), LH (WMD -0.09, 95% CI -0.30-0.12, n = 8, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00), SHBG (WMD 0.73, 95% CI -1.14-2.61, n = 10, I<sup>2</sup> = 69.05), FAI (WMD -0.92, 95% CI -2.12-0.27, n = 6, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00), and estradiol (WMD -0.02, 95% CI -2.95-2.92, n = 5,  $I^2 = 20.63$ ). Conclusion: This meta-analysis shows that vitamin D supplementation may increase total testosterone levels in men. However, further well-designed RCTs are needed to determine vitamin D's effects on other reproductive hormone parameters.

Keywords: vitamin D; testosterone; free androgen index; reproductive hormones; estradiol

#### 1. Introduction

It is acknowledged that vitamin D is crucial for the absorption of both phosphate and calcium, which are essential for preserving a healthy skeletal system [1]. The primary source of this secosteroid is the skin, where ultraviolet (UV) radiation converts the cholesterol precursor, 7-dehydrocholesterol, into vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol) [2]. The serum level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D], a stable metabolite of vitamin D, is considered to be a trustworthy biomarker of vitamin D levels [3,4]. Recent discoveries have provided



Citation: Abu-Zaid, A.; Saleh, S.A.K.; Adly, H.M.; Baradwan, S.; Alharran, A.M.; Alhatm, M.; Alzayed, M.M.; Alotaibi, M.N.; Saad, A.R.; Alfayadh, H.M.; et al. The Impact of Vitamin D on Androgens and Anabolic Steroids among Adult Males: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Diseases* **2024**, *12*, 228. https://doi.org/10.3390/ diseases12100228

Academic Editor: Arrigo Cicero

Received: 29 August 2024 Revised: 18 September 2024 Accepted: 20 September 2024 Published: 25 September 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). novel understandings of the biological functions of vitamin D and its capacity to lower the hazard of various chronic disorders [5], including cardiovascular disease [6], infectious and autoimmune diseases [7], and cancer [7]. Therefore, vitamin D may have broader physiological implications, influencing multiple organ systems and metabolic pathways [8,9].

The expression of vitamin D receptors (VDRs) and vitamin D-metabolizing enzymes (VDMEs) in the testes, male reproductive system, and human sperm indicates that vitamin D is likely important for male reproductive functions, including spermatogenesis and the maturation of human sperm [10].

Male infertility is an increasing concern in developed nations [11], accounting for 50% of global infertility cases [12]. Genetic disorders, varicocele, genital infections, systemic illnesses, and environmental influences are recognized causes of male infertility. Nonetheless, approximately 30–40% of cases involve unidentified factors [13].

In recent years, dietary antioxidants have garnered significant consideration due to their potential function in enhancing male fertility [14]. One such nutritional factor is vitamin D, and deficiency in this is acknowledged as a sizable public health concern [15]. Substantial positive correlation between 25(OH)D and testosterone was noted in many studies [16–19]. We remain without an accurate molecular understanding of the relationship between vitamin D and testosterone. However, the level of vitamin D receptors and associated metabolic enzymes (such as CYP2R1) in the testes—particularly in Leydig cells—as well as in the seminal vesicles, epididymis, prostate, and the sperm head area underscores the significant role of vitamin D in male fertility and reproductive health [14]. Hammoud et al. demonstrated that both high serum vitamin D concentrations ( $\geq$ 50 ng/mL) and low serum vitamin D concentrations (<20 ng/mL) can be negatively associated with semen parameters [20]. In a retrospective investigation, vitamin D was positively correlated with testosterone and the free androgen index (FAI) score, while it showed an opposite relationship with sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) [21]. Abbasihormozi et al. failed observe any link between serum vitamin D concentrations, semen quality, and reproductive hormones such as luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and free testosterone in normozoospermic men. However, vitamin D levels were positively correlated with sperm motility in men with oligoasthenoteratozoospermia (OAT) [22]. Progressive motility, a key indicator of sperm quality during the analysis of semen, predicts the likelihood of success for naturally occurring conception as well as intrauterine insemination (IUI) [23].

Vitamin D3 has promising effects on these parameters, indicating its potential as a cost-effective tool in infertility treatments and assisted reproductive technologies (ART) [24]. Although numerous reviews have been conducted on the topic, a consensus regarding the contribution of vitamin D to male fertility remains elusive. Additionally, investigations involving human subjects have been conducted in recent years. Therefore, the present study seeks to explore the effect of vitamin D supplementation on male reproductive hormones, specifically targeting androgens and related reproductive hormones in adult men. The investigation will focus on key parameters such as total and free testosterone, the FAI, FSH, LH, SHBG, and estradiol. This focus addresses the inconsistent findings in the existing research.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study Protocol

A systematic review and meta-analysis following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [25] was conducted and was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) database (ID: CRD42024563097).

#### 2.2. Search Approach

We conducted a thorough systematic review of the literature to examine the impacts of vitamin D supplementation on androgens and reproductive hormones in men. The search,

carried out in July 2024, included multiple databases such as the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Web of Science, PubMed, and Scopus, utilizing terms related to androgens, male reproductive hormones, and various forms of vitamin D. The complete search strategy and database-specific syntaxes are detailed in Supplementary File S1. Furthermore, we reviewed reference lists from original studies, meta-analyses, relevant reviews, and congress abstracts to identify any additional eligible studies. Despite extending the search to Google Scholar, no new relevant articles were found beyond those identified through PubMed.

#### 2.3. Study Choice

To be considered in the analysis, studies had to meet the following conditions: they needed to involve male human subjects; focus on androgens and reproductive hormones such as testosterone, SHBG, FSH, LH, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), and estradiol; use vitamin D supplementation as an intervention for at least one week; and provide the average and standard deviation of the variables pre- and post-supplementation. The studies also had to follow a prospective randomized controlled trial (RCT) design. We excluded studies that were case studies, cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, reviews, letters, editorials, or supplementary articles. If multiple publications stemmed from the same study, the one with the longest follow-up time was included. Studies were also excluded if they lacked an appropriate controlled design, involved multivitamin or multimineral supplements including vitamin D, or had overlapping participants with other studies. Non-RCT studies and those without explicit methods were also omitted.

#### 2.4. Data Extraction and Quality Evaluation

This study incorporated data extracted from RCTs, including details on the various baseline characteristics of the studies and patients. Two independent reviewers evaluated the studies based on their title, abstract, and full text, resolving disagreements through consensus and consulting a third reviewer if necessary. They extracted participant characteristics, intervention details, and outcome measures using a specially developed data extraction form. Missing or incomplete data were addressed by contacting the study authors via email or referring to previous analyses. This study's quality evaluation was completed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias assessment tool, version 2 [26].

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

We evaluated the influence of vitamin D supplementation on the following outcomes and parameters in men: (1) total testosterone; (2) free testosterone; (3) FAI; (4) FSH; (5) LH; (6) SHBG; and (7) estradiol. The meta-analysis was only conducted for variables of interest that were reported in at least three study arms. The dose of vitamin D intake per day was calculated from the vitamin D intake of monthly and weekly interventions. Weighted mean differences (WMDs) and their corresponding SDs were estimated using the DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model. Statistical heterogeneity was examined with the Cochran's Q test and the  $I^2$  statistic ( $I^2$ ). Subgroup analyses were conducted by health status (infertile/reproductive disorders vs. healthy men), the duration of intervention  $(\leq 12 \text{ wk}, > 12 \text{ wk})$ , baseline vitamin D levels (not deficient, deficient), the dosage of vitamin D supplementation ( $\leq$ 4000 IU/d, >4000 IU/d), and the age of subjects to determine the potential sources of heterogeneity. We also performed meta-regression to detect the sources of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding one study at a time. Publication bias was assessed by the visual inspection of funnel plots of outcomes, and plot symmetry was assessed statistically using Egger's and Begg's methods. Dose-response analysis was conducted for all interested variables, assessing the dose and duration of vitamin D supplementation. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 17.0 (STATA Corp. College Station, TX, USA). Two-sided p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Summary of Study Characteristics

A flow diagram outlining the search of information sources and study selection is presented in Figure 1. Finally, 17 RCTs [24,27–42] were incorporated into the meta-analysis, accounting for 1774 men (957 in the intervention group and 817 in the control group). The trial's main features are summed up in Table 1. Sample sizes in the included RCTs fluctuated from 23 to 307 participants, and the mean participant age fluctuated from 20 to 72 years. Seven studies focused on infertile men or those with reproductive disorders, six studies included healthy males, two studies involved patients with severe vitamin D deficiencies, one study examined heart failure patients, and one study focused on overweight men. The dosage of supplementary vitamin D in the treated arms of the 17 included trials varied from 580 to 8500 IU/day. The period of vitamin D supplementation ranged from as low as 8 weeks to as high as 96 weeks.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of included studies. \*\* Records excluded based on screening of titles and abstracts.

		Sampl	le Size				Age (Years)		BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		Main Outcome
Study (Ref)	Country	Intervention	Control	Subjects	Vitamin D Dosage (IU/Day)	Duration (Week)	Intervention Mean $\pm$ SD	Placebo Mean $\pm$ SD	Intervention Mean $\pm$ SD	Placebo Mean $\pm$ SD	
Bischoff-Ferrari et al., 2024 [28]	Switzerland	23	23	Hypogonadal men	800	48	$72.0\pm5.9$	$\textbf{72.4} \pm \textbf{5.9}$	27.5 ± 2.9	$26.1\pm3.0$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT
Holt et al., 2024 [31]	Denmark	151	156	Infertile men	1400	21	$35.0\pm 6.0$	35.0 ± 7.0	$26.3\pm4.0$	$26.4\pm4.8$	$ \begin{array}{c} \leftrightarrow \text{TT,} \leftrightarrow \text{LH,} \leftrightarrow \text{TT/LH,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{SHBG,} \leftrightarrow \text{FT,} \leftrightarrow \text{E,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{FE,} \leftrightarrow \text{T/E} \end{array} $
Yeo et al., 2023 [41]	Korea	29	28	Vitamin D-deficient men	1600	36	$65.07\pm8.46$	$63.19\pm6.19$	$25.48\pm2.22$	$25.01 \pm 3.27$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT
Padmapriya et al., 2022 [35]	India	60	60	Infertile men	4000	10	-	-	-	-	$\leftrightarrow$ FSH, $\leftrightarrow$ LH
Rips et al., 2022 [38]	Estonia	27	26	Physically active male	1200	28	$20.8\pm1.7$	$21.2\pm2.0$	$22.7\pm2.4$	23.2 ± 2.6	$\leftrightarrow$ TT
Gheflati et al., 2021 [30]	Iran	20	20	Infertile men	7140	12	$32.30 \pm 1.30$	$33.00\pm1.22$	$24.47\pm0.86$	$26.34\pm0.97$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT, $\leftrightarrow$ SHBG, $\leftrightarrow$ FAI
Maghsoumi- Norouzabad et al., 2021 [24]	Iran	43	43	Infertile men	4000	12	$35.13\pm5.51$	$34.44\pm5.07$	$28.40\pm2.96$	$27.95 \pm 2.51$	$\begin{array}{l} \leftrightarrow \text{FSH}, \leftrightarrow \text{LH}, \leftrightarrow \text{TT}, \\ \leftrightarrow \text{SHBG}, \leftrightarrow \text{E}, \leftrightarrow \text{FAI}, \\ \leftrightarrow \text{T/E}, \uparrow \text{T/LH} \end{array}$
Amini et al., 2020 [27]	Iran	30	32	Infertile men	7140	12	$34.37 \pm 4.83$	$34.86 \pm 4.65$	$25.69 \pm 1.94$	$25.47 \pm 1.90$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{SHBG,} \leftrightarrow \text{FAI,} \leftrightarrow \text{TT,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{FSH,} \leftrightarrow \text{LH,} \leftrightarrow \text{FT} \end{array}$
Ramezani Ahmadi et al., 2020 [37]	Iran	20	20	Active healthy males	2000	12	$23.7\pm2.55$	$24.75\pm4.15$	$23.77\pm3.90$	$22.46\pm3.03$	TT
Lerchbaum et al., 2019 [34]	Austria	47	47	Healthy Males	2850	12	$48.0\pm14.0$	50 ± 12.59	$28.4\pm4.22$	$29.4\pm 6.37$	$\begin{array}{l} \leftrightarrow \text{TT,} \leftrightarrow \text{FT,} \leftrightarrow \text{FSH,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{LH,} \leftrightarrow \text{SHBG,} \leftrightarrow \text{E,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{FAI} \end{array}$
Zittermann et al., 2019 [42]	Germany	71	62	Patients with advanced heart failure (HF)	4000	48	$55\pm9.9$	$51.1\pm10.5$	29.2 ± 4.6	$29.5\pm5.3$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT, $\leftrightarrow$ FT, $\leftrightarrow$ SHBG
Saha et al., 2018 [39]	India	49	43	Vitamin D-deficient young males	8500	24	$20.2\pm2.2$	20.2 ± 2.1	23.1 ± 3.4	23.4 ± 3.4	$\leftrightarrow$ TT, $\leftrightarrow$ SHBG, $\leftrightarrow$ FAI
Lerchbaum et al., 2017 [33]	Austria	49	49	Healthy males	2850	12	34 ± 16.29	$38 \pm 17.77$	$25 \pm 3.18$	$25.2 \pm 3.48$	$ \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{ } \overrightarrow{ TT, \leftrightarrow} \overrightarrow{FT, \leftrightarrow} \overrightarrow{FSH,} \\ \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{ } \overrightarrow{LH, \leftrightarrow} \overrightarrow{FAI, \leftrightarrow} \overrightarrow{SHBG} $

 Table 1. Main characteristics of included studies.

	Table	<b>1.</b> Cont.												
		Sampl	le Size				Age (	Years)	BMI (	kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Main Outcome			
Study (Ref)	Country	Intervention	Control	Subjects	Vitamin D Dosage (IU/Day)	Duration (Week)	Intervention Mean $\pm$ SD	Placebo Mean $\pm$ SD	Intervention Mean $\pm$ SD	Placebo Mean $\pm$ SD				
Ferlin et al., 2015 [29]	Italy	127	60	Non-mosaic KS patients	580	96	$31.5\pm8.5$	$30.9\pm8.4$	$26.0\pm4.6$	$23.6\pm3.7$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT, $\leftrightarrow$ FSH, $\leftrightarrow$ LH, $\leftrightarrow$ E			
Scholten et al., 2015 [40]	United States	11	12	Male adult athletes	4000	8	$32.8\pm5.63$	$29.9 \pm 5.19$	$23.4\pm5.96$	$26.2\pm15.58$	$\leftrightarrow$ TT			
Jorde et al., 2013 [32]	Norway	169	113	Healthy males	5700	48	$50.0\pm10.6$	$52.0\pm10.9$	$31.1\pm4.8$	$30.2\pm4.6$	$ \begin{array}{l} \leftrightarrow \text{TT,} \leftrightarrow \text{FT,} \leftrightarrow \text{FSH,} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{LH,} \leftrightarrow \text{SHBG} \end{array} $			
Pilz et al., 2011 [36]	Germany	31	23	Overweight men	3332	48	$49.4\pm10.2$	$46.8\pm12.0$	$33.1\pm3.9$	$32.5\pm3.8$	↑TT, ↑FT			

 $\uparrow$  this symbol is a sign of increasing variables in the intervention group.  $\leftrightarrow$  this sign indicates that there is no difference between the two groups. NR: not reported. E: estradiol. FE: free estradiol. T: testosterone. SHBG: sex-hormone-binding globulin. DHEA: dehydroepiandrosterone. FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone. LH: luteinizing hormone. OAT: oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. TT: total testosterone. FT: free testosterone. FAI: free androgen index.

#### 3.2. Pooled Results from Meta-Analysis

Vitamin D supplementation significantly increased total testosterone levels (WMD 0.38, 95% CI 0.06–0.70, n = 15, I<sup>2</sup> = 67.03) (Figure 2A). Our subgroup analysis indicated that this effect was significant for durations of more than 12 weeks and vitamin D supplementation of more than 4000 IU/day compared to durations of 12 weeks or less and the supplementation of 4000 IU/day or less, respectively. We also observed in our subgroups that senior adults showed a significant increase in total testosterone after vitamin D supplementation compared to other age groups (Table 2). Our meta-analysis results indicated that vitamin D supplementation did not show any significant impact on other reproductive hormone parameters, such as free testosterone (WMD 0.00, 95% CI -0.02-0.03, n = 9,  $I^2 = 48.12$ ) (Figure 2B), FSH (WMD -0.02, 95% CI -0.57-0.53, n = 7, I<sup>2</sup> = 48.72) (Figure 2C), LH (WMD -0.09, 95% CI -0.30-0.12, n = 8, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00) (Figure 2D), SHBG (WMD 0.73, 95% CI -1.14-2.61, n = 10, I<sup>2</sup> = 69.05) (Figure 2E), estradiol (WMD -0.02, 95% CI -2.95-2.92, n = 5,  $I^2 = 20.63$ ) (Figure 2F), and FAI (WMD -0.92, 95% CI -2.12-0.27, n = 6,  $I^2 = 0.00$ ) (Figure 2G). However, our dose-response analysis indicated that there was an opposite correlation between the vitamin D dose and FSH levels, and there was also an opposite correlation between the duration of vitamin D supplementation and FAI levels. Additionally, we observed in our dose-response meta-analysis that a rise in the duration of vitamin D supplementation significantly correlated with free testosterone levels (Supplementary File S2).

Our subgroup analysis of these variables did not show any significant changes in the results, except in FAI. Interestingly, we observed that in infertile men or men with reproductive disorders, vitamin D supplementation significantly decreased FAI scores compared to healthy males. Moreover, FAI scores also significantly decreased in vitamin Ddeficient participants, when vitamin D supplementation exceeded 4000 IU/day compared to non-deficient males, and in those patients receiving less than or equal to 4000 IU/day of vitamin D supplementation, respectively (Table 2).

		Trea	tment		Cor	ntrol			Mean diff.	Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD			with 95% CI	(%)
Amini et al, 2020	30	04	1.1048982	32	.03	1.240121			-0.07 [ -0.66, 0.52]	12.06
Bischoff-Ferrari et al, 2024	23	.11	.21633308	23	01	.05567764		•	0.12 [ 0.03, 0.21]	19.76
Ferin et al, 2015	14	12.3	5.0029991	12	14.5	4.2035699			-2.20 [ -5.79, 1.39]	0.77
Gheflati et al, 2021	20	.41	.42930176	20	.31	.21			0.10 [ -0.11, 0.31]	18.51
Holt et al, 2024	151	0	4.580131	156	0	4.0797549			0.00 [ -0.97, 0.97]	7.12
Jorde et al, 2013	169	1	3.7	113	5	3			0.40 [ -0.42, 1.22]	8.74
Yeo et al, 2023	29	.289	1.6972104	28	351	1.9546255			0.64 [ -0.31, 1.59]	7.32
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	.7	3.9160904	50	.7	4.2725783		<b>_</b>	0.00 [ -1.61, 1.61]	3.35
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	.1	3.785689	50	.8	4.2394736			-0.70 [ -2.28, 0.88]	3.46
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al, 2021	43	1.08	1.9362076	43	07	2.0251667			1.15 [ 0.31, 1.99]	8.52
Pilz et al, 2011	31	2.7	4.3554563	23	.9	4.9244289			1.80 [ -0.68, 4.28]	1.55
Rips et al, 2022	27	3.9	5.6240555	26	2.9	5.0921508			1.00 [ -1.89, 3.89]	1.17
Saha et al, 2017	49	-1.7	5.6824291	43	-2.1	5.1264022			0.40 [ -1.82, 2.62]	1.90
Scholten et al, 2015	20	4.825	3.0274991	20	.493	1.6378263			— 4.33 [ 2.82, 5.84]	3.72
Zittermann et al, 2019	71	-1.2	5.483662	62	-1.3	7.03			0.10 [ -2.03, 2.23]	2.05
Overall								•	0.38 [ 0.06, 0.70]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.13$ , $I^2 = 67.03\%$	, H <sup>2</sup> =	3.03								
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(14) = 42.47, p = 0.00	0									
Test of θ = 0: z = 2.33, p = 0.02										
							-5	0	5	
Random-effects DerSimonian–Laird m	nodel									

(A)

F

Figure 2. Cont.

# **(B)**

		Treat	ment		Cor	ntrol					Mean diff.		Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD					with 95% C	1	(%)
Ramezani Ahmadi et al, 2020	23	082	.11048529	23	05	.27622455	-	-		-0.0	03[-0.15, 0	0.09]	3.44
Amini et al, 2020	30	004	.36150104	32	.232	.38319186				-0.2	24 [ -0.42, -0	0.05]	1.56
Bischoff-Ferrari et al, 2024	23	.521	1.1185593	23	023	.28745261				0.5	54 [ 0.07, <i>`</i>	1.02]	0.25
Holt et al, 2024	151	.1	.82498242	156	.04	.80771344	-	-		0.0	06 [ -0.12, (	0.24]	1.61
Jorde et al, 2013	169	.2	9.4	113	9	7.5				— 1. <sup>.</sup>	10 [ -0.97, 3	3.17]	0.01
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	.002	.08281908	50	001	.0293087				0.0	00 [ -0.02, 0	0.03]	26.22
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	.002	.027	50	.007	.02920616				-0.0	01 [ -0.02, (	0.01]	33.61
Pilz et al, 2011	31	.045	.08371977	23	.014	.09240671	1			0.0	03 [ -0.02, 0	0.08]	14.88
Zittermann et al, 2019	71	031	.10543244	62	035	.12274771				0.0	00[-0.03, 0	0.04]	18.41
Overall										0.0	00 [ -0.02, 0	0.03]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^{2} = 0.00$ , $I^{2} = 48$	8.12%,	$H^2 = 1$	.93										
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(8) = 15.42, p =	0.05												
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.18, p = 0.86													
							-1 (	) 1	2	3			

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

					(	C)					
		Treat	tment		Cor	ntrol				Mean diff.	Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD				with 95% CI	(%)
Amini et al, 2020	30	.03	3.8571622	32	36	2.7921139				0.39 [ -1.28, 2.06]	8.37
Ferin et al, 2015	14	-3.1	12.757743	12	-3.2	10.829589				0.10 [ -9.09, 9.29]	0.36
Jorde et al, 2013	169	4	1.2	113	4	.9				0.00 [ -0.26, 0.26]	33.34
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	7	2.2816126	50	.5	2.2956936		-		-1.20 [ -2.10, -0.30]	18.42
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	0	2.3102658	50	-1.2	3.1915217				1.20 [ 0.11, 2.29]	14.91
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al,	43	02	4.8850691	43	.01	4.0303722				-0.03 [ -1.92, 1.86]	6.86
Padmapriya et al, 2022	60	004	2.8687609	60	.047	2.3013151				-0.05 [ -0.98, 0.88]	17.76
Overall								•		-0.02 [ -0.57, 0.53]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.22$ , $I^2 = 48$ .	72%,	$H^2 = 1.5$	95								
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(6) = 11.70, p =	0.07										
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.07, p = 0.94											
						-1	0.	-5 0	5	ר 10	

#### Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

		Treat	tment		Со	ntrol		Mean diff.	Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD		with 95% Cl	(%)
Amini et al, 2020	30	.16	3.267981	32	13	1.8879619		0.29 [ -1.03, 1.61]	2.62
Ferin et al, 2015	14	-3.3	8.487049	12	-4	6.7014924		0.70 [ -5.25, 6.65]	0.13
Holt et al, 2024	151	2	2.0499795	156	0	2.8	+	-0.20 [ -0.75, 0.35]	15.00
Jorde et al, 2013	169	0	1.4	113	.1	1.4		-0.10 [ -0.43, 0.23]	40.88
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	4	1.6373372	50	0	1.3101828	- <b></b>	-0.40 [ -0.98, 0.18]	13.45
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	1.1	2.2253941	50	.6	2.2671665		0.50 [ -0.38, 1.38]	5.86
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al,	43	01	4.110146	43	.04	2.230269	_ <b>_</b>	-0.05 [ -1.45, 1.35]	2.33
Padmapriya et al, 2022	60	006	1.3480011	60	.001	1.3345138	•	-0.01 [ -0.49, 0.47]	19.73
Overall							4	-0.09 [ -0.30, 0.12]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00$	)0%,⊦	$H^2 = 1.0$	0						
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(7) = 3.48, p = 0	).84								
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.82, p = 0.41									
							-5 0 5	10	

(D)

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

Figure 2. Cont.

## (E)

		Treat	tment		Cor	ntrol		Mean diff.	Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD		with 95% CI	(%)
Amini et al, 2020	30	-2.97	9.6501658	32	72	13.3345		-2.25 [ -8.08, 3.58]	6.66
Gheflati et al, 2021	20	11.7	5.932293	20	3.95	3.4643325		7.75 [ 4.74, 10.76]	12.64
Holt et al, 2024	151	-1	9.5283787	156	0	11.286435		-1.00 [ -3.34, 1.34]	14.53
Jorde et al, 2013	169	3	5.3	113	6	5.1	<b>•</b>	0.30 [ -0.94, 1.54]	17.36
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	-2	12.785447	50	2	15.590105		-1.80 [ -7.39, 3.79]	7.02
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	1.1	13.678702	50	4.8	14.168452		-3.70 [ -9.16, 1.76]	7.23
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al,	43	.29	3.6458332	43	28	4.6950719	-	0.57 [ -1.21, 2.35]	16.07
Pilz et al, 2011	31	4.3	12.286985	23	3.2	15.81044		1.10 [ -6.39, 8.59]	4.68
Saha et al, 2017	49	1.2	8.2456049	43	.4	7.531932		0.80 [ -2.44, 4.04]	12.01
Zittermann et al, 2019	71	11.4	48.845881	62	2.9	22.961798			1.79
Overall							•	0.73 [ -1.14, 2.61]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 4.85$ , $I^2 = 69$	.05%,	$H^2 = 3.2$	23						
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 29.08, p =	0.00								
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.77, p = 0.44									
						-	10 0 10	20	

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

						(F)						
		Trea	tment		Cor	ntrol				Mear	ı diff.	Weight
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD				with 9	5% CI	(%)
Holt et al, 2024	151	-1	24.560865	156	-5	24.250105			+	4.00 [ -1	.46, 9.46]	22.24
Ferin et al, 2015	14	32.2	39.430065	12	46.3	35.703921				14.10 [ -43	.22, 15.02]	1.00
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	3	11.581119	50	6.6	13.777596				-3.60 [ -8	.59, 1.39]	25.48
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	1	12.296201	50	.3	13.141223			-	0.70 [ -4	.29, 5.69]	25.49
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al,	43	16	12.495147	43	06	10.865003			-	-0.10 [ -5	.05, 4.85]	25.79
Overall									•	-0.02 [ -2	.95, 2.92]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 2.31$ , $I^2 = 20$	.63%,	$H^2 = 1$ .	26									
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(4) = 5.04, p = 0	0.28											
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.01, p = 0.99	Э											
							-40	-20	0	20		
Developer offecto DevCine enion 1		ا م ام م										

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

						(G)				
		Trea	tment	ntrol			Mean diff.	Weight		
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD		,	with 95% CI	(%)
Amini et al, 2020	30	1.59	5.8248519	32	1.71	8.5286752		-	-0.12 [ -3.78, 3.54]	10.65
Gheflati et al, 2021	20	4	2.6457513	20	7	4.5825757			-3.00 [ -5.32, -0.68]	26.53
Lerchbaum et al, 2017	50	2	4.3330381	50	1	3.6062639			-0.10 [ -1.66, 1.46]	58.43
Lerchbaum et al, 2019	50	1	17.84666	50	1.2	19.260083	. <u> </u>	<b></b>	-0.20 [ -7.48, 7.08]	2.69
Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al,	43	-4.98	30.963451	43	48	23.430572		<u> </u>	-4.50 [ -16.11, 7.11]	1.06
Saha et al, 2017	49	-13.5	33.488356	43	-12.8	39.745314	·	•	-0.70 [ -15.67, 14.27]	0.64
Overall							•		-0.92 [ -2.12, 0.27]	
Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00$	00%,	$H^2 = 1.0$	00							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 4.74, p = 0	).45									
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -1.52, p = 0.13	5									
						-20	) -10	0 10	20	

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model



A visual examination of Begg's funnel plots indicated no potential publication biases for any of the variables of interest. These findings were further validated by Begg's rank correlation and Egger's regression asymmetry tests. We also performed sensitivity analyses for each individual variable. The leave-one-out sensitivity analyses did not show significant changes after the exclusion of each study for all variables (Supplementary File S2).

Variable	Subgroup	oed by	No. of Studies	Effect Size WMD	95% CI	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	<i>p</i> for Heterogeneity
Estradial	Duration	$\leq$ 12 weeks	3	-1.00	-3.87, 1.88	0.00	0.45
Littadioi	Duration	>12 weeks	2	0.83	-12.64, 14.31	30.25	0.23
	Health status	Infertile or reproductive disorder	4	-2.11	-3.97, -0.24 *	0.00	0.55
		Healthy	2	-0.11	-1.66, 1.45	0.00	0.94
FAI	Vitamin D supplementation	$\leq$ 4000 IU/day	3	-0.18	-1.69, 1.34	0.00	0.76
	dosage	>4000 IU/day	3	-2.15	-4.09, -0.21 *	0.00	0.42
	Baseline vitamin D	No deficiency	2	-0.10	-1.63, 1.42	0.00	0.98
	status	Deficient	4	-2.21	-4.13, -0.30 *	0.00	0.60
	Health status	Infertile or reproductive disorder	6	-0.00	-0.06, 0.05	0.00	0.02
		Healthy	3	0.00	-0.02, 0.02	0.00	0.05
		$\leq$ 12 weeks	4	-0.01	-0.03, 0.02	0.00	0.09
	Duration	>12 weeks	5	0.03	-0.03, 0.08	0.00	0.15
Free	Vitamin D supplementation	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.01, 0.01	0.00	0.69		
restosterone	dosage	>4000 IU/day	3	0.22	-0.50, 0.94	80.83	0.01
		Young adults (20–30)	1	-0.03	-0.15, 0.09	-	-
	Participant's age	Middle-age adults (31–50)	6	0.00	-0.02, 0.03	0.00	0.08
		Senior adults (>50)	2	0.22	-0.30, 0.74	79.98	0.03
	Baseline vitamin D	No deficiency	4	-0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.00	0.72
	status	Deficient	4	-0.00	-0.09, 0.08	0.00	0.01
	Health status	Infertile or reproductive disorder	5	0.41	-0.21, 1.02	0.00	0.53
		Healthy	2	-0.52	-1.69, 0.65	84.23	0.01
		$\leq$ 12 weeks	5	0.01	-0.90, 0.93	65.41	0.02
FSH	Duration	>12 weeks	2	-0.02	-0.26, 0.26	0.00	0.98
	Vitamin D supplementation	$\leq$ 4000 IU/day	6	0.01	-0.86, 0.88	56.77	0.04
	dosage	>4000 IU/day	1	0.00	-0.26, 0.26	-	-
	Baseline vitamin D	No deficiency	5	-0.05	-0.75, 0.64	65.10	0.02
	status	Deficient	2	0.21	-1.05, 1.46	0.00	0.74

Table 2. Subgroup analysis of interested variables.

#### No. of Effect Size p for 95% CI I<sup>2</sup> (%) Variable Subgrouped by Studies WMD Heterogeneity Infertile or reproductive 6 0.01 -0.30, 0.330.00 0.85 Health status disorder Healthy 2 -0.17-0.46, 0.110.00 0.38 $\leq 12$ weeks 5 -0.04-0.37, 0.280.00 0.53 Duration LH 3 >12 weeks -0.12-0.41, 0.160.00 0.92 Vitamin D $\leq$ 4000 IU/day 6 -0.10-0.38, 0.180.00 0.68 supplementation 2 >4000 IU/day -0.08-0.40, 0.250.00 0.57 dosage -0.10-0.32, 0.120.00 No deficiency 6 0.68 Baseline vitamin D status Deficient 2 0.13 -0.83, 1.090.00 0.73 Infertile or 0.72 -2.52, 3.9681.05 0.00 reproductive 6 Health status disorder -0.80, 1.470.55 Healthy 4 0.33 0.00 0.70 -2.55, 3.9579.32 $\leq 12$ weeks 6 0.00 Duration 4 0.09 -0.99, 1.180.00 0.47 >12 weeks Vitamin D $\leq$ 4000 IU/day -1.50, 1.116 -0.200.00 0.44supplementation SHBG >4000 IU/day 4 -1.98, 5.80dosage 1.91 86.11 0.00 Young adults 1 0.80 -2.44, 4.04\_ \_ (20 - 30)Middle-age Participant's age 8 0.54 -1.59, 2.6674.74 0.00 Adults (31-50) Senior adults 1 8.50 -4.78, 21.78\_ \_ (>50) No deficiency 4 -0.19-1.25, 0.870.00 0.40 Baseline vitamin D status Deficient 2.26 75.04 0.00 6 -1.09, 5.60Infertile or 8 0.13 -0.06, 0.3334.66 0.15 reproductive Health status disorder 7 Healthy 1.00 -0.11, 2.1274.50 0.00 $\leq 12$ weeks 7 0.68 -0.13, 1.4883.69 0.00 Duration >12 weeks 8 0.13 0.04, 0.22 \* 0.00 0.62 Vitamin D 9 0.00 $\leq$ 4000 IU/day 0.75 -0.34, 1.8575.40 Total supplementation Testosterone >4000 IU/day 6 0.12 0.04, 0.20 \* 0.00 0.83 dosage Young adults 2 0.62 -1.14, 2.390.00 0.75 (20 - 30)Middle-age Participant's age 11 0.54 -0.01, 1.1075.78 0.00 adults (31-50) Senior adults 2 0.99 0.12 0.03, 0.21 \* 0.00 (>50) 7 0.57 No deficiency -0.71, 1.8580.47 0.00 Baseline vitamin D status Deficient 8 0.16 -0.00, 0.3323.69 0.24

Table 2. Cont.

Bold indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). CI: confidence interval; WMD: weighted mean difference. \* Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

#### 3.3. Summary of Quality Judgment and Certainty of Evidence

The Cochrane risk of bias assessment, based on the ROB 2.0 tool, indicated that out of 17 included studies, 5 had a low risk of bias, 7 had some concerns, and 5 had a high risk of bias (Figure 3). We also evaluated the certainty of evidence for variables based on the GRADE tool. Total testosterone, free testosterone, free FAI, FSH, LH, and estradiol had moderate certainty of evidence, while SHBG had low certainty of evidence (Supplementary File S3).

Unique ID	Study ID	<u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u>D4</u>	<u>D5</u>	Overall
1	Bischoff-Ferrari et al, 2024			<b>—</b>	-	<b>—</b>	•
2	Holt et al, 2024	-	•	+	+		•
3	Yeo et al, 2023	•	-	+	+	+	•
4	Padmapriya et al, 2022		-	+	<del>(+</del> )	+	<u> </u>
5	Rips et al, 2022			+	+		+
6	Gheflati et al, 2021		+	+	+	+	$\overline{+}$
7	Maghsoumi-Norouzabad et al, 2021			+	-		$\overline{+}$
8	Amini et al, 2020		•	+	+		•
9	Ramezani Ahmadi et al, 2020		•	+	+		•
10	Lerchbaum et al, 2019	<u>!</u>	•	-	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	•
11	Zittermann et al, 2019	<u>!</u>	-	+	•	+	•
12	Saha et al, 2018		+	<b>—</b>	-		$\overline{+}$
13	Ferlin et al, 2015	-	-	-	-		•
14	Scholten et al, 2015	<u>!</u>	•	•		•	•
15	Lerchbaum et al, 2017		•	<b>—</b>	•	<b>—</b>	•
16	Jorde et al, 2013	-	•	•	+		•
17	Pilz et al, 2011	•	•	<b>+</b>	+	•	•
		•	Low risk				
		-	Some con	cerns			
		•	High risk				
		D1	Randomis	ation proce	ss		
		D2	Deviations	s from the i	ntended int	erventions	
		D3	Missing ou	utcome dat	a		
		D4	Measurem	nent of the	outcome		

D5 Selection of the reported result

Figure 3. A summary of the risk of bias of the included studies.

#### 4. Discussion

The correlation between vitamin D supplementation and androgens and related reproductive hormones is still debated. This meta-analysis is the first comprehensive review to gauge the effect of vitamin D on these hormones in men. Although previous reviews exist [43,44], they lack the breadth of evidence covered here. Our analysis, which included data from 17 clinical trials, found that vitamin D supplementation significantly increased total testosterone levels. However, it did not affect other parameters, such as free testosterone, FSH, LH, SHBG, FAI, and estradiol.

Our research offers contemporary understandings of the relationship between vitamin D supplementation and total testosterone levels by incorporating data from 17 trials, a significant increase compared to the 8 trials included in the prior meta-analysis by Hosseini Marnani et al. [43]. This expanded dataset allowed us to observe a notable correlation between vitamin D supplementation and increased total testosterone levels. These findings contrast with earlier systematic reviews and meta-analyses, which did not find a significant association, highlighting the importance of including a larger number of trials to obtain more comprehensive results. However, our meta-analysis findings also endorse earlier research, including previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses,

that have identified a positive link between serum 25(OH) vitamin D levels and total testosterone [45]. This consistency in findings reinforces the significant positive correlation between these two biomarkers, which is observed in observational studies. Observational studies also advocate that there is a greater prevalence or jeopardy of testosterone deficiency in men with vitamin D deficiency [46–48]. Our findings are also supported by our subgroup analysis, which indicate that, compared to a lower dose and shorter duration of treatment, a higher dose and longer duration of vitamin D supplementation significantly increase total testosterone concentrations.

However, in our results, we failed to observe a significant impact of vitamin D supplementation on free testosterone. Following vitamin D supplementation, the observed increase in total testosterone levels but not in free testosterone levels can be attributed to the distinct nature and regulation of these two forms of testosterone [49]. Total testosterone encompasses both bound and unbound (free) testosterone [50]. Most testosterone in the blood is bound to SHBG or albumin, with only a small fraction circulating as free testosterone [51]. Vitamin D supplementation may influence total testosterone levels through mechanisms that increase the overall production of testosterone by the testes [46,52]. This increase, however, does not necessarily translate to a significant change in free testosterone levels. The absence of significant alteration in free testosterone concentrations could be due to several factors. For example, in (i) Binding Proteins, an increase in total testosterone might be accompanied by a rise in SHBG, which in turn binds to testosterone and regulates the quantity of free testosterone [53]. In our findings, we observed a trend towards an increase in SHBG; however, this trend was not statistically significant. Regarding (ii) feedback mechanisms, the endocrine system has complex feedback mechanisms with which to maintain homeostasis. Any increase in free testosterone might be quickly counteracted by the body to prevent potential androgen excesses, leading to a stable free testosterone concentration [54]. Regarding (iii) duration and dosage, the studies included varied in terms of duration and the dosage of vitamin D supplementation. While significant increases in total testosterone were observed with longer durations and higher doses of vitamin D, these conditions may not have been sufficient to alter free testosterone levels within the study periods.

The beneficial effects of vitamin D3 on increasing total testosterone can be attributed to its influence on testicular health [55]. Vitamin D3 supplementation has been shown to partially reverse testicular pathology by reducing fibrosis and apoptosis [56]. This is achieved through the downregulation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-KB) and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) with testicular damage [57,58]. Additionally, vitamin D3 enhances the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR- $\gamma$ ), which may block the expression of both abovementioned mediators, thereby improving testicular function [52]. The interaction between PPAR- $\gamma$  and vitamin D receptors with the retinoid X receptor also contributes to these protective effects [59]. Furthermore, experimental studies have demonstrated that vitamin D3 reduces TGF- $\beta$ 1 levels in the testes, reinforcing its role in mitigating fibrosis and supporting overall testicular health [60]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation might positively influence total testosterone levels due to its significant antioxidant properties [61]. Research indicates that oxidative stress can lead to decreased testosterone levels, suggesting that managing and reducing oxidative stress is crucial for maintaining healthy testosterone levels [62]. While vitamin D supplementation is less likely to cause toxic increases in endogenous testosterone, caution is still advised due to the potential adverse effects of elevated testosterone levels on various organs [63,64].

Our meta-analysis indicated that vitamin D supplementation did not significantly affect FSH, LH, SHBG, estradiol, or FAI scores. However, the dose–response analysis revealed nuanced relationships. An opposite link between vitamin D dose and FSH levels, as well as between supplementation duration and FAI levels, was observed. Notably, an increase in supplementation duration was significantly correlated with higher free testosterone levels. Subgroup analysis provided further interesting observations. Vitamin D supplementation significantly decreased FAI scores in specific subgroups: in infertile

men or men with reproductive disorders, FAI significantly decreased compared to healthy males. Moreover, in vitamin D-deficient participants, FAI scores significantly decreased compared to non-deficient males. Additionally, in men who take a high dosage of vitamin D (>4000 IU/day), the FAI scores significantly decreased compared to those receiving  $\leq$ 4000 IU/day of vitamin D supplementation. These subgroup results highlight the potential importance of the baseline vitamin D level and the dosage of supplementation regarding the outcomes of hormone parameters. These findings underscore the complexity of vitamin D's contribution to endocrine function and suggest, that while overall effects on reproductive hormones might be minimal, specific subgroups, particularly those with existing deficiencies or reproductive issues, might benefit more significantly from supplementation rates. Further research should aim to elucidate the mechanisms behind these subgroup differences and explore optimal dosing strategies for different populations.

This meta-analysis has notable strengths and some limitations. A key strength is the use of RCTs to examine the connection between vitamin D supplementation and androgens and reproductive hormones. We thoroughly examined sources of heterogeneity among the included studies using meta-regression and subgroup analyses based on intervention duration, participant age, and vitamin D dosage. We also conducted analyses for publication bias, dose–response relationships, and sensitivity. Additionally, the generalizability of our results is bolstered by the inclusion of studies from various regions globally. The GRADE method was employed to evaluate the certainty of evidence, with most results showing moderate certainty. However, there were some limitations, such as the qualitative nature of our quality assessments and the lack of evaluation for inter-rater reliability. The small number of studies available for certain subgroup analyses and the high heterogeneity and risk of bias in the included studies also posed challenges.

#### 5. Conclusions

Our meta-analysis of 17 RCTs involving 1774 men suggests that vitamin D supplementation may increase total testosterone levels particularly, with doses exceeding 4000 IU/day and durations longer than 12 weeks. The effect appears more pronounced in older adults. However, no significant impact was observed on other reproductive hormones, including free testosterone, FSH, LH, SHBG, estradiol, and FAI scores, except for an opposite correlation between vitamin D dose and FSH levels, and between supplementation duration and FAI scores. In infertile men or those with reproductive disorders, vitamin D significantly decreased FAI compared to healthy males. While these findings signify a potential use for vitamin D supplementation, supporting testosterone levels in men, caution is warranted given the relatively small sample sizes of the meta-analyzed RCTs and the wide age range of participants (20–74 years), which may limit the generalizability of our results. Larger, well-powered RCTs are necessary to confirm the impacts of vitamin D on testosterone and other reproductive hormones in diverse male populations, especially in post-intervention evaluation.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/diseases12100228/s1, Supplementary File S1: The detailed search strategy in all databases [65–76]; Supplementary File S2: Summary of [A] publication bias, [B] sensitivity analysis, [C] dose-response analysis, and [D] meta-regression analysis; Supplementary File S3: Summary of certainty of evidence according to the GRADE approach.

**Author Contributions:** A.A.-Z. contributed to study conception, study design, data analysis, data validation, study supervision, and manuscript writing. S.A.K.S., H.M.A. (Heba M. Adly), S.B., A.M.A., M.A. (Mshal Alhatm), M.M.A., M.N.A., A.R.S., H.M.A. (Hessa Mohammed Alfayadh), M.A. (Mohammed Abuzaid) and O.A. contributed to the literature review, investigation, data collection, data validation, data interpretation, and the revision of manuscript for its editorial and intellectual contents. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not required as this research does not involve direct patient or animal contact.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data are available within the manuscript and its Supplementary Files.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the financial assistance of the Office of Research and Innovation at Alfaisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, for sponsoring the Article Processing Charges.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1. Ghasemifard, N.; Hassanzadeh-Rostami, Z.; Abbasi, A.; Naghavi, A.M.; Faghih, S. Effects of vitamin D-fortified oil intake versus vitamin D supplementation on vitamin D status and bone turnover factors: A double blind randomized clinical trial. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* **2022**, 47, 28–35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dominguez, L.J.; Farruggia, M.; Veronese, N.; Barbagallo, M. Vitamin d sources, metabolism, and deficiency: Available compounds and guidelines for its treatment. *Metabolites* 2021, 11, 255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Makris, K.; Sempos, C.; Cavalier, E. The measurement of vitamin D metabolites: Part I—Metabolism of vitamin D and the measurement of 25-hydroxyvitamin D. *Hormones* 2020, *19*, 81–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morvaridzadeh, M.; Agah, S.; Alibakhshi, P.; Heydari, H.; Hoseini, A.S.; Palmowski, A.; Toupchian, O.; Abdollahi, S.; Rezamand, G.; Heshmati, J. Effects of calcium and vitamin D co-supplementation on the lipid profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Ther.* 2021, 43, 274–296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Battault, S.; Whiting, S.J.; Peltier, S.L.; Sadrin, S.; Gerber, G.; Maixent, J.M. Vitamin d metabolism, functions and needs: From science to health claims. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2013**, *52*, 429–441. [CrossRef]
- Pilz, S.; März, W.; Wellnitz, B.; Seelhorst, U.; Fahrleitner-Pammer, A.; Dimai, H.P.; Boehm, B.O.; Dobnig, H. Association of vitamin D deficiency with heart failure and sudden cardiac death in a large cross-sectional study of patients referred for coronary angiography. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2008, 93, 3927–3935. [CrossRef]
- Pludowski, P.; Holick, M.F.; Pilz, S.; Wagner, C.L.; Hollis, B.W.; Grant, W.B.; Shoenfeld, Y.; Lerchbaum, E.; Llewellyn, D.J.; Kienreich, K. Vitamin d effects on musculoskeletal health, immunity, autoimmunity, cardiovascular disease, cancer, fertility, pregnancy, dementia and mortality—A review of recent evidence. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 2013, 12, 976–989. [CrossRef]
- 8. Scragg, R. Emerging evidence of thresholds for beneficial effects from vitamin D supplementation. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 561. [CrossRef]
- 9. Holick, M.F. Vitamin d: Evolutionary, physiological and health perspectives. Curr. Drug Targets 2011, 12, 4–18. [CrossRef]
- Blomberg Jensen, M.; Nielsen, J.E.; Jørgensen, A.; Rajpert-De Meyts, E.; Kristensen, D.M.; Jørgensen, N.; Skakkebaek, N.E.; Juul, A.; Leffers, H. Vitamin D receptor and vitamin D metabolizing enzymes are expressed in the human male reproductive tract. *Hum. Reprod.* 2010, 25, 1303–1311. [CrossRef]
- Karavolos, S.; Stewart, J.; Evbuomwan, I.; McEleny, K.; Aird, I. Assessment of the infertile male. *Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2013, 15, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 12. Vander Borght, M.; Wyns, C. Fertility and infertility: Definition and epidemiology. *Clin. Biochem.* **2018**, *62*, 2–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Etem, E.Ö.; Yüce, H.; Erol, D.; Deveci, Ş.D.; Ceylan, G.G.; Elyas, H. Original research cytogenetic analysis in infertile males with sperm anomalies. *Marmara Med.* 2009, 22, 217.
- Ko, E.Y.; Sabanegh, E.S., Jr.; Agarwal, A. Male infertility testing: Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant capacity. *Fertil. Steril.* 2014, 102, 1518–1527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Amrein, K.; Scherkl, M.; Hoffmann, M.; Neuwersch-Sommeregger, S.; Kostenberger, M.; Tmava Berisha, A.; Martucci, G.; Pilz, S.; Malle, O. Vitamin d deficiency 2.0: An update on the current status worldwide. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 74, 1498–1513. [CrossRef]
- 16. Tak, Y.J.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, Y.J.; Park, N.C.; Kim, S.S.; Lee, S.; Cho, B.M.; Kong, E.H.; Jung, D.W.; Yi, Y.H. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and testosterone deficiency in middle-aged korean men: A cross-sectional study. *Asian J. Androl.* **2015**, *17*, 324–328.
- 17. Wang, N.; Han, B.; Li, Q.; Chen, Y.; Chen, Y.; Xia, F.; Lin, D.; Jensen, M.D.; Lu, Y. Vitamin d is associated with testosterone and hypogonadism in chinese men: Results from a cross-sectional spect-china study. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2015**, *13*, 74. [CrossRef]
- Anic, G.M.; Albanes, D.; Rohrmann, S.; Kanarek, N.; Nelson, W.G.; Bradwin, G.; Rifai, N.; McGlynn, K.A.; Platz, E.A.; Mondul, A.M. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and serum sex steroid hormones among men in nhanes. *Clin. Endocrinol.* (*Oxf.*) 2016, *85*, 258–266. [CrossRef]
- 19. Tirabassi, G.; Sudano, M.; Salvio, G.; Cutini, M.; Muscogiuri, G.; Corona, G.; Balercia, G. Vitamin d and male sexual function: A transversal and longitudinal study. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* **2018**, *2018*, 3720813. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hammoud, A.O.; Meikle, A.W.; Peterson, C.M.; Stanford, J.; Gibson, M.; Carrell, D.T. Association of 25-hydroxy-vitamin D levels with semen and hormonal parameters. *Asian J. Androl.* **2012**, *14*, 855–859. [CrossRef]

- Wehr, E.; Pilz, S.; Boehm, B.O.; Maerz, W.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B. Association of vitamin D status with serum androgen levels in men. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2010, 73, 243–248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abbasihormozi, S.; Kouhkan, A.; Alizadeh, A.R.; Shahverdi, A.H.; Nasr-Esfahani, M.H.; Sadighi Gilani, M.A.; Salman Yazdi, R.; Matinibehzad, A.; Zolfaghari, Z. Association of vitamin D status with semen quality and reproductive hormones in iranian subfertile men. *Andrology* 2017, 5, 113–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hendin, B.N.; Falcone, T.; Hallak, J.; Nelson, D.R.; Vemullapalli, S.; Goldberg, J.; Thomas, A.J.; Agarwal, A. The effect of patient and semen characteristics on live birth rates following intrauterine insemination: A retrospective study. *Assist. Reprod. Genet.* 2000, 17, 245–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Maghsoumi-Norouzabad, L.; Zare Javid, A.; Mansoori, A.; Dadfar, M.; Serajian, A. The effects of vitamin D3 supplementation on spermatogram and endocrine factors in asthenozoospermia infertile men: A randomized, triple blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2021**, *19*, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G.; the PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The prisma statement. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2009**, *151*, 264–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sterne, J.A.C.; Savović, J.; Page, M.J.; Elbers, R.G.; Blencowe, N.S.; Boutron, I.; Cates, C.J.; Cheng, H.Y.; Corbett, M.S.; Eldridge, S.M.; et al. Rob 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *Bmj* 2019, 366, l4898. [CrossRef]
- Amini, L.; Mohammadbeigi, R.; Vafa, M.; Haghani, H.; Vahedian-Azimi, A.; Karimi, L.; Jahanfar, S.; Jamialahmadi, T.; Talebi, A.; Sahebkar, A. Evaluation of the effect of vitamin D3 supplementation on quantitative and qualitative parameters of spermograms and hormones in infertile men: A randomized controlled trial. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 2020, 53, 102529. [CrossRef]
- Bischoff-Ferrari, H.A.; Kistler-Fischbacher, M.; Gaengler, S.; Münzer, T.; Dawson-Hughes, B.; Lang, W.; Theiler, R.; Egli, A.; Orav, E.J.; Freystaetter, G. Effects of testosterone and vitamin D on fall risk in pre-frail hypogonadal men: A factorial design rct. *J. Nutr. Health. Aging* 2024, 28, 100217. [CrossRef]
- 29. Ferlin, A.; Selice, R.; Di Mambro, A.; Ghezzi, M.; Di Nisio, A.; Caretta, N.; Foresta, C. Role of vitamin D levels and vitamin D supplementation on bone mineral density in klinefelter syndrome. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2015**, *26*, 2193–2202. [CrossRef]
- Gheflati, A.; Mirjalili, S.A.M.; Kaviani, M.; Salehi-Abargouei, A.; Hosseini-Marnani, E.; Nadjarzadeh, A. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on semen quality and reproductive hormones in patients with asthenozoospermia: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J. Nutr. Food Secur.* 2021, *6*, 31–42. [CrossRef]
- 31. Holt, R.; Yahyavi, S.K.; Kooij, I.; Poulsen, N.N.; Juul, A.; Jørgensen, N. Effects of vitamin D on sex steroids, luteinizing hormone, and testosterone to luteinizing hormone ratio in 307 infertile men. *Andrology* **2024**, *12*, 553–560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Jorde, R.; Grimnes, G.; Hutchinson, M.; Kjærgaard, M.; Kamycheva, E.; Svartberg, J. Supplementation with vitamin D does not increase serum testosterone levels in healthy males. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **2013**, *45*, 675–681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Lerchbaum, E.; Pilz, S.; Trummer, C.; Schwetz, V.; Pachernegg, O.; Heijboer, A.C.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B. Vitamin d and testosterone in healthy men: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2017**, *102*, 4292–4302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lerchbaum, E.; Trummer, C.; Theiler-Schwetz, V.; Kollmann, M.; Wölfler, M.; Heijboer, A.C.; Pilz, S.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on androgens in men with low testosterone levels: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2019, 58, 3135–3146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Padmapriya, D.; Archana, R.; Sharma, N.; Vijayaraghavan, R. Effects of vitamin D3 supplementation in male reproductive function: A double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Curr. Top. Nutraceutical Res.* **2022**, *20*, 334. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pilz, S.; Frisch, S.; Koertke, H.; Kuhn, J.; Dreier, J.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B.; Wehr, E.; Zittermann, A. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on testosterone levels in men. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **2011**, *43*, 223–225. [CrossRef]
- 37. Ramezani Ahmadi, A.; Mohammadshahi, M.; Alizadeh, A.; Ahmadi Angali, K.; Jahanshahi, A. Effects of vitamin D3 supplementation for 12 weeks on serum levels of anabolic hormones, anaerobic power, and aerobic performance in active male subjects: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur. J. Sport. Sci.* **2020**, *20*, 1355–1367. [CrossRef]
- 38. Rips, L.; Toom, A.; Kuik, R.; Varblane, A.; Mölder, H.; Tammaru, M.; Kull, M.; Ööpik, V.; Kartus, J.T.; Gapeyeva, H.; et al. Seven-month wintertime supplementation of 1200 iu vitamin D has no effect on hand grip strength in young, physically active males: A randomized, controlled study. J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr. 2022, 19, 437–454. [CrossRef]
- 39. Saha, S.; Goswami, R. Vitamin d and calcium supplementation, skeletal muscle strength and serum testosterone in young healthy adult males: Randomized control trial. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2018**, *88*, 217–226. [CrossRef]
- 40. Scholten, S.D.; Sergeev, I.N.; Song, Q.; Birger, C.B. Effects of vitamin D and quercetin, alone and in combination, on cardiorespiratory fitness and muscle function in physically active male adults. *Open Access J. Sports Med.* **2015**, *6*, 229–239. [CrossRef]
- 41. Yeo, J.K.; Park, S.G. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on testosterone, prostate, and lower urinary tract symptoms: A prospective, comparative study. *World J. Mens. Health* **2023**, *41*, 874–881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Zittermann, A.; Ernst, J.B.; Prokop, S.; Fuchs, U.; Dreier, J.; Kuhn, J.; Knabbe, C.; Berthold, H.K.; Gouni-Berthold, I.; Gummert, J.F.; et al. Vitamin d supplementation does not prevent the testosterone decline in males with advanced heart failure: The evita trial. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2019**, *58*, 673–680. [CrossRef]
- 43. Hosseini Marnani, E.; Mollahosseini, M.; Gheflati, A.; Ghadiri-Anari, A.; Nadjarzadeh, A. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on the androgenic profile in men: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Andrologia* **2019**, *51*, e13343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 44. Tania, C.; Tobing, E.R.P.L.; Tansol, C.; Prasetiyo, P.D.; Wallad, C.K.; Hariyanto, T.I. Vitamin d supplementation for improving sperm parameters in infertile men: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Arab. J. Urol.* **2023**, *21*, 204–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- D'andrea, S.; Martorella, A.; Coccia, F.; Castellini, C.; Minaldi, E.; Totaro, M.; Parisi, A.; Francavilla, F.; Francavilla, S.; Barbonetti, A. Relationship of vitamin D status with testosterone levels: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine* 2021, 72, 49–61. [CrossRef]
- 46. De Angelis, C.; Galdiero, M.; Pivonello, C.; Garifalos, F.; Menafra, D.; Cariati, F.; Salzano, C.; Galdiero, G.; Piscopo, M.; Vece, A. The role of vitamin D in male fertility: A focus on the testis. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* **2017**, *18*, 285–305. [CrossRef]
- Aggarwal, V.; Muthukrishnan, J.; Manrai, M.; Chauhan, P.; Vasdev, V. The prevalence of osteoporosis and its association with serum testosterone and serum vitamin D in the elderly male population: A cross-sectional study. *Med. J. Armed Forces India* 2023, 79, 189–193. [CrossRef]
- 48. Amini, S.; Jafarirad, S.; Abiri, B. Vitamin d, testosterone and depression in middle-aged and elderly men: A systematic review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2023**, *63*, 5194–5205. [CrossRef]
- 49. Erenpreiss, J.; Fodina, V.; Pozarska, R.; Zubkova, K.; Dudorova, A.; Pozarskis, A. Prevalence of testosterone deficiency among aging men with and without morbidities. *Aging Male* **2020**, *23*, 901–905. [CrossRef]
- 50. Klock, E.; Kane, M.P.; Musteata, F.M. Measurement of free fraction, total concentration and protein binding for testosterone, triiodothyronine and thyroxine. *Bioanalysis* **2023**, *15*, 1355–1368. [CrossRef]
- 51. Handelsman, D.J.; Hirschberg, A.L.; Bermon, S. Circulating testosterone as the hormonal basis of sex differences in athletic performance. *Endocr. Rev.* 2018, *39*, 803–829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Wang, L.; Lu, H.; Wang, S.; Liu, H.; Guo, M.; Bai, H.; Zeng, W.; Zhang, T. Vitamin d receptor affects male mouse fertility via regulation of lipid metabolism and testosterone biosynthesis in testis. *Gene* **2022**, *834*, 146589. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. De Ronde, W.; Van Der Schouw, Y.T.; Muller, M.; Grobbee, D.E.; Gooren, L.J.; Pols, H.A.; De Jong, F.H. Associations of sexhormone-binding globulin (shbg) with non-shbg-bound levels of testosterone and estradiol in independently living men. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2005**, *90*, 157–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Gerald, T.; Raj, G. Testosterone and the androgen receptor. Urol. Clin. N. Am. 2022, 49, 603–614. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Cito, G.; Cocci, A.; Micelli, E.; Gabutti, A.; Russo, G.I.; Coccia, M.E.; Franco, G.; Serni, S.; Carini, M.; Natali, A. Vitamin d and male fertility: An updated review. *World J. Mens. Health* **2020**, *38*, 164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Abbaszadeh, S.; Yadegari, P.; Imani, A.; Taghdir, M. Vitamin d3 protects against lead-induced testicular toxicity by modulating nrf2 and nf-κb genes expression in rat. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2021**, *103*, 36–45. [CrossRef]
- 57. Xu, L.; Zhang, P.; Guan, H.; Huang, Z.; He, X.; Wan, X.; Xiao, H.; Li, Y. Vitamin d and its receptor regulate lipopolysaccharideinduced transforming growth factor-β, angiotensinogen expression and podocytes apoptosis through the nuclear factor-κb pathway. *J. Diabetes Investig.* **2016**, *7*, 680–688. [CrossRef]
- 58. Fischer, K.D.; Agrawal, D.K. Vitamin d regulating tgf-β induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Respir. Res.* 2014, 15, 146. [CrossRef]
- Heublein, S.; Mayr, D.; Meindl, A.; Kircher, A.; Jeschke, U.; Ditsch, N. Vitamin d receptor, retinoid x receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ are overexpressed in *BRCA1* mutated breast cancer and predict prognosis. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, *36*, 57. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; He, Y.; Wang, Q.; Guo, F.; Huang, F.; Ji, L.; An, T.; Qin, G. Vitamin d3 supplementation improves testicular function in diabetic rats through peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ/transforming growth factor-beta 1/nuclear factor-kappa b. *J. Diabetes Investig.* 2019, *10*, 261–271. [CrossRef]
- Sepidarkish, M.; Farsi, F.; Akbari-Fakhrabadi, M.; Namazi, N.; Almasi-Hashiani, A.; Hagiagha, A.M.; Heshmati, J. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on oxidative stress parameters: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2019, 139, 141–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rovira-Llopis, S.; Bañuls, C.; de Marañon, A.M.; Diaz-Morales, N.; Jover, A.; Garzon, S.; Rocha, M.; Victor, V.M.; Hernandez-Mijares, A. Low testosterone levels are related to oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and altered subclinical atherosclerotic markers in type 2 diabetic male patients. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2017, 108, 155–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Albano, G.D.; Amico, F.; Cocimano, G.; Liberto, A.; Maglietta, F.; Esposito, M.; Rosi, G.L.; Di Nunno, N.; Salerno, M.; Montana, A. Adverse effects of anabolic-androgenic steroids: A literature review. *Healthcare* **2021**, *9*, 97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Patane, F.G.; Liberto, A.; Maria Maglitto, A.N.; Malandrino, P.; Esposito, M.; Amico, F.; Cocimano, G.; Rosi, G.L.; Condorelli, D.; Nunno, N.D.; et al. Nandrolone decanoate: Use, abuse and side effects. *Medicina* **2020**, *56*, 606. [CrossRef]
- 65. Blomberg Jensen, M.; Lawaetz, J.G.; Petersen, J.H.; Juul, A.; Jørgensen, N. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on semen quality, reproductive hormones, and live birth rate: A randomized clinical trial. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2018**, *103*, 870–881. [CrossRef]
- Canguven, O.; Talib, R.A.; El Ansari, W.; Yassin, D.-J.; Al Naimi, A. Vitamin D treatment improves levels of sexual hormones, metabolic parameters and erectile function in middle-aged vitamin D deficient men. *Aging Male* 2017, 20, 9–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Chehsmazar, E.; Zarrati, M.; Yazdani, B.; Razmpoosh, E.; Hosseini, A.F.; Shidfar, F. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum concentrations of dehydroepiandrosterone, paraoxonase 1, apolipoproteins, free fatty acid and insulin in vitamin D deficient obese and overweight individuals under a low-calorie diet program: A randomized controlled trial. *Nutr. Food Sci.* **2021**, *51*, 765–780.

- Chen, C.; Zhai, H.; Cheng, J.; Weng, P.; Chen, Y.; Li, Q.; Wang, C.; Xia, F.; Wang, N.; Lu, Y. Causal link between vitamin D and total testosterone in men: A Mendelian randomization analysis. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2019, 104, 3148–3156. [CrossRef]
- 69. Heijboer, A.C.; Oosterwerff, M.; Schroten, N.F.; Eekhoff, E.M.; Chel, V.G.; de Boer, R.A.; Blankenstein, M.A.; Lips, P. Vitamin D supplementation and testosterone concentrations in male human subjects. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2015**, *83*, 105–110. [CrossRef]
- 70. Kenny, A.M.; Biskup, B.; Robbins, B.; Marcella, G.; Burleson, J.A. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on strength, physical function, and health perception in older, community-dwelling men. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2003**, *51*, 1762–1767. [CrossRef]
- Maghsoumi-Norouzabad, L.; Zare Javid, A.; Mansoori, A.; Dadfar, M.; Serajian, A. Vitamin D3 supplementation effects on spermatogram and oxidative stress biomarkers in asthenozoospermia infertile men: A randomized, triple-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial. *Reprod. Sci.* 2022, *29*, 823–835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Midttun, M.; Overgaard, K.; Zerahn, B.; Pedersen, M.; Rashid, A.; Østergren, P.B.; Paulin, T.K.; Pødenphanth, T.W.; Karlsson, L.K.; Rosendahl, E. Beneficial effects of exercise, testosterone, vitamin D, calcium and protein in older men—A randomized clinical trial. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2024, *15*, 1451–1462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Peppone, L.J.; Kleckner, A.S.; Fung, C.; Puzas, J.E.; Reschke, J.E.; Culakova, E.; Inglis, J.; Kamen, C.; Friedberg, J.W.; Janelsins, M. High-dose vitamin D to attenuate bone loss in patients with prostate cancer on androgen deprivation therapy: A phase 2 RCT. *Cancer* 2024, *130*, 2538–2551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Tirabassi, G.; Cutini, M.; Salvio, G.; Cerqueni, G.; Lenzi, A.; Balercia, G. Influence of vitamin D levels on the cardiovascular profile of hypogonadal men. *J. Endocrinol. Investig.* **2017**, *40*, 1007–1014. [CrossRef]
- 75. Ulrich, C.; Trojanowicz, B.; Fiedler, R.; Kraus, F.B.; Stangl, G.I.; Girndt, M.; Seibert, E. Serum testosterone levels are not modified by vitamin d supplementation in dialysis patients and healthy subjects. *Nephron* **2021**, *145*, 481–485. [CrossRef]
- 76. Zhang, X.-L.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, X.; Gu, Q.-W.; Pan, J.-J.; Pei, Y.-F.; Li, J.-F.; Jiang, F.; Diao, L.-J.; Zhou, H.-M. Effect of vitamin D3 supplementation in winter on physical performance of university students: A one-month randomized controlled trial. *J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr.* 2023, 20, 2258850. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.