#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation in the Prevention of Recurrent Pneumonia in Under-Five Children

Neha Singh<sup>1</sup> · Dnyanesh Kamble<sup>1</sup> · N. S. Mahantshetti<sup>1</sup>

Received: 4 March 2019 / Accepted: 25 June 2019  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Dr. K C Chaudhuri Foundation 2019

#### Abstract

**Objective** To assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention of recurrent pneumonia in under-five children. **Methods** The present one year 8 months longitudinal, community-based randomized controlled study included a total of 100 under-five children with pneumonia. Children were divided into two groups: intervention group (Group I: standard treatment with vitamin D 300,000 IU; n = 50) and control group (Group C: standard treatment only; n = 50). As nine samples were hemolyzed, groups I and C comprised of 46 and 45 children, respectively. The children were followed up for 1 y and signs of upper respiratory tract infections (URTI), lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI), vitamin D deficiency, and vitamin D toxicity were recorded.

**Results** The male to female ratio in group C and I was 1.27:1 and 1.5:1, respectively (P = 0.420). Age, gender, birth, anthropometric and clinical characteristics, and feeding habits were not statistically significant (P > 0.05) between both the cohorts (Group C and I). Children with reduced vitamin D levels were high in group C (25) when compared to the group I (15). During all the follow-ups, the URTI and LRTI episodes, severity of pneumonia, number of hospital admissions, complications, mean episodes of LRTI, and mean duration of LRTI were comparable between group I and group C (P > 0.05).

**Conclusions** Overall, the present study highlights that oral vitamin D (300,000 IU bolus dose quarterly) has some beneficial effect in the prevention of recurrent pneumonia in under-five children, although, not to a significant degree. Hence, it is recommended that further studies are required to demonstrate a significant effect of vitamin D in the prevention of pneumonia.

Keywords Lower respiratory tract infections  $\cdot$  Recurrent pneumonia  $\cdot$  Upper respiratory tract infections  $\cdot$  Vitamin D  $\cdot$  Under-five children

# Introduction

Pneumonia, a pulmonary infectious disease, is the leading cause of mortality among young children [1]. Globally, every year, 43 million new cases of pneumonia are diagnosed with a mortality rate of 322 per 100,000 under-five population [1, 2]. Despite advances in the management of pneumonia, there is a need for effective novel therapies [3]. Along with antibiotics, zinc, micronutrients, and vitamin C and A supplementations have a substantial role in the management of pneumonia among young children [4].

Neha Singh drnehasingh16@gmail.com

Dnyanesh Kamble drdnyaneshk@gmail.com Research indicates that vitamin D may have a potential role in protection from acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs) by increasing the body's production of naturally-acting antibodies [5]. A study conducted also reported that children with vitamin D deficiency were 2.5 times more predisposed to pneumonia than those with normal levels of vitamin D [6]. It has also been reported that vitamin D might be used in the treatment of opportunistic and antibiotic-resistant infections [1].

Till date, various observational studies and clinical trials have been conducted in children and adults to emphasize the role of vitamin D in the treatment of pneumonia [6-10]. A randomized controlled trial reported that a single high dose of vitamin D in children with severe pneumonia reduced the recurrence of pneumonia [9]. In contrast, a recent casecontrol study in adults reported no significant association between vitamin D supplementation and pneumonia. Also, the study stated that vitamin D supplementation without the administration of drugs increased the risk of pneumonia [11].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatrics, KAHER'S JN Medical College, Nehru Nagar, Belagavi, Karnataka 590010, India

The concentrations that relate to optimum stores of vitamin D in the body are still debatable [9].

However, there is limited evidence regarding the effect of vitamin D in the resolution of pneumonia. Hence, the present study assessed the effect of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention of recurrent pneumonia in under-five children by comparing vitamin D deficiency with severity, duration, and complications of pneumonia.

# **Material and Methods**

The present 1-y 8 mo longitudinal, community-based randomized controlled study was conducted between January 2013 and September 2014 at the Department of Pediatrics. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical and Research Committee. All the parents/caregivers of the children were explained about the purpose of the study and a written informed consent was obtained from them before enrollment in the study. A total of 100 children with recurrent pneumonia were allocated into two groups: intervention group (Group I: standard treatment with vitamin D 300,000 IU; n =50) and control group (Group C: standard treatment only; n =50). As nine samples were hemolyzed, vitamin D levels were measured among 91 children in the present study (Group I = 46) and control group (Group C = 45).

All the children aged below 5 y diagnosed with pneumonia, according to World Health Organization criteria were included in the study. While, children diagnosed with rickets, vitamin D deficiency, congenital heart disease, wheezing associated with lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI), neurological illnesses, congenital anomalies (Kyphosis, scoliosis, cleft lip, and palate), measles, whooping cough, tuberculosis, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection were excluded from the study. Also, the children who were on vitamin D supplements in the last few months and did not reside for more than 1 y in the given locality were exempted from the study.

Demographic data, socioeconomic characteristics, history of the patients, and clinical presentation were obtained through an interview. Children were subjected to thorough physical examination including anthropometry, vitals, and general physical examination followed by a thorough respiratory system and systemic examination.

All the subjects enrolled in the study were subjected to venous blood sampling; 2 ml of venous blood was sampled by venipuncture and collected in a plain vacutainer. The vacutainer was placed away from light in a light proof carry box. The collected blood samples, sent to the laboratory were subjected to Electrochemiluminescence (Cobas e 411, Roche Diagnostics, USA) for the quantitative determination of total 25-hydroxyvitamin D in human serum and plasma.

Children in group I received standard treatment for pneumonia along with quarterly doses of 300,000 IU of vitamin D for 1 y in approximately 100–120 ml of milk. The vitamin D samples were individually packaged in butter paper and placed in self-sealing plastic bags. The brand used was Torflash, which constituted Cholecalciferol (Torrent Pharmaceuticals, India). The weight of the drug was 5 g (~300,000 IU). Children in group C (placebo group) received standard treatment for pneumonia with 5 g of finely ground sugar (castor sugar) packaged and used similarly as vitamin D samples.

Children in both the groups were provided with an ID card to facilitate the follow-up. They were called every 15 d, calls were made to the families to investigate for any signs of vitamin D toxicity or worsening of symptoms. Thereafter, they were followed up every 3 mo for a total of 4 visits. Medical officers were trained to examine the children and inquire for the details of the upper respiratory tract infections (URTI) (flaring of nose, throat, tonsils, sinus tenderness), LRTI (palpitation, percussion, and auscultation), signs of vitamin D deficiency (irritability, bone pain, delay in gross motor development, and seizures), and signs of vitamin D toxicity (tremors, abdominal cramps, nausea, and vomiting) encountered during the study period. Outcome variables were documented in terms of the number of episodes (URTI and LRTI), hospital admissions, duration, severity, and complications.

The data were analyzed using SPSS v 20. The categorical data were expressed as rates, ratios, and proportions; and continuous data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Categorical data were analyzed using chi-square and Fisher's exact test. Continuous data were compared by independentt-test. *P* value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered as statistically significant.

#### Results

Baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study groups are shown in Table 1. The male to female ratio in group I and group C was 1.27:1 and 1.5:1, respectively. Most of the children in groups I (15) and C (28) were in between 4 and 5 y, and 1 and 3 y, respectively. All the patients in group C (50) and 49 patients in group I reported cough as the major symptom. History of similar complaints was reported in 45 and 48 patients in groups I and C, respectively. Three-fourths of the children in both the groups were (group I = 32; group C = 31) raised in overcrowded houses. On stratifying the duration of exposure, group I (19) and group C (23) had an exposure of 3-4 h of sunlight. Nearly three-fourths of children in both the groups had dark skin tone. However, no significant difference was found between both the groups regarding baseline characteristics such as gender (P = 0.42), age (P = 0.44), symptoms (P > 0.05), complaints noted (P > 0.22), living conditions (P > 0.05), skin tone (P = 0.67), and exposure to sunlight (P = 0.70).

 
 Table 1
 Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study groups

Variables	Group I, $n = 50$	Group C, $n = 50$
Gender		
Male	28 (56)	30 (60)
Female	22 (44)	20 (40)
Age-group (years)		
$\leq 1$	5 (10)	5 (10)
> 1-2	11 (22)	14 (28)
>2-3	11 (22)	14 (28)
> 3-4	8 (16)	10 (20)
>4-5	15 (30)	7 (14)
Symptoms		
Cough	49 (98)	50 (100)
Fever	48 (96)	46 (92)
Hurried breathing	13 (26)	8 (16)
Difficulty in feeding	14 (28)	8 (16)
Convulsions	3 (6)	1 (2)
Vomiting	11 (22)	12 (24)
Lethargy	0	1 (2)
History of similar complaints	45 (90)	48 (96)
Hospital admission in the past 2 mo	5 (10)	2 (4)
Clinical signs		
Heart rate (beats/min)	$101.06 \pm 10.35$	$102.44\pm9.48$
Respiratory rate (beats/min)	$32.24\pm5.09$	$32.44\pm5.47$
Tachypnea	17 (34)	14 (28)
Adventitious sounds	18 (36)	16 (32)
Living conditions		
Type of house (Kucha)	19 (38)	24 (48)
Ventilation	28 (56)	26 (52)
Overcrowding	32 (64)	31 (62)
Exposure to sunlight (hours)		
Nil	6 (12)	3 (6)
1–2	13 (26)	13 (26)
3–4	19 (38)	23 (46)
5–6	12 (24)	11 (22)
Skin tone		
Dark	32 (64)	34 (68)
Fair	18 (36)	16 (32)

Data are presented as 'number (%)' or 'mean  $\pm$  SD'; C Control group; I Interventional group

Table 2 shows anthropometric and birth characteristics, feeding patterns, and vitamin D levels of the study groups. Mean anthropometrical indices *i.e.*, height, weight, and head circumference were quite high in group I compared to group C. However, the difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). Vaginal mode of delivery was recorded in most of the patients in both the groups I (46) and C (47). Birth weight of 2.5–3.5 kg was recorded in most of the patients in both the groups I (35) and C (34). Three-fourths of the children in group I (44) and C (37) were born at full-term. All the children in group I (50) and 49 children in group C were completely immunized. Most of the children in both the groups received exclusive breastfeeding (41 in each group) with a duration of 6 mo (22 in each group). At the time of the study, most of the children (34 in each group) followed a mixed diet (vegetarian diet complemented with eggs). However, no significant difference was observed in both the groups in terms of anthropometrical indices, birth characteristics, history of breastfeeding, duration of breastfeeding, and details of complementary feeding (P > 0.05). Children with vitamin D levels < 20 mg/ml were high in group C when compared to group I; (25 vs. 15; P = 0.07). The mean vitamin D levels were high in

Table 2Birth characteristics,anthropometric indices, feedingpattern, and vitamin D levels inthe study population

Variables	Group I, $n = 50$	Group C, $n = 50$	P value
Anthropometric indices			
Height (cm)	$92.24 \pm 16.07$	$90.50 \pm 14.93$	0.576
Weight (kg)	$14.70 \pm 4.94$	$13.46 \pm 4.96$	0.213
Head circumference (cm)	$47.99 \pm 3.45$	$47.67 \pm 2.83$	0.614
Chest circumference (cm)	$51.66 \pm 3.68$	$51.96 \pm 3.16$	0.663
Birth characteristics			
Mode of delivery			
Vaginal	46 (92)	47 (94)	0.50
LSCS	4 (8)	3 (6)	
Birth weight (kg)			
1.5–2.49	8 (16)	10 (20)	0.96
2.5–3.5	35 (70)	34 (68)	
> 3.5	2 (4)	2 (4)	
Not known	5 (10)	4 (8)	
Gestation			
Full-term	44 (88)	37 (74)	0.07
Preterm	6 (12)	13 (26)	
History of breastfeeding			
Prelacteal feeds	21 (42)	16 (32)	1.00
Exclusive breastfeeding	41 (82)	41 (82)	
Formula feeds	9 (18)	8 (16)	
Duration of exclusive breastfeeding			
< 6	9 (18)	9 (18)	1.00
6	22 (44)	22 (44)	
> 6–9	6 (12)	6 (12)	
9–12	13 (26)	13 (26)	
Details of complementary feeding			
Initiation of complementary feed	ling (months)		
< 6	8 (16)	8 (16)	0.98
6	21 (42)	23 (46)	
> 6–9	15 (30)	14 (27)	
9–12	6 (12)	5 (10)	
Type of complementary feed star			
Veg	49 (98)	48 (96)	1.00
Mixed	1 (2)	2 (4)	
Present diet			
Veg	16 (32)	16 (32)	1.00
Mixed	34 (68)	34 (68)	
Vitamin D levels (ng/ml) <20	Group I, <i>n</i> = 46 15 (32.61)	Group C, <i>n</i> = 45 25 (55.56)	0.071
20–30	20 (43.48)	11 (24.44)	
> 30	11 (23.91)	9 (20)	

Data are presented as 'number (%)' or 'mean  $\pm$  standard deviation'; C Control group; I Interventional group; LSCS Lower segment cesarean section

group I compared to group C, however, the difference was insignificant (22.93  $\pm$  10.68 *vs*. 19.83  $\pm$  13.09; *P* = 0.22).

Table 3 shows outcome variables in both the groups during the follow-up period of 1-y for every 3 mo. At the end of the fourth visit, URTI and LRTI episodes, hospital admissions, complications, and severity of pneumonia (mild and severe) were low in group I when compared to group C (P > 0.05). But in the 4th follow-up the number of hospital admissions were higher in intervention group compared to the control group. Of all the 26 children admitted to the hospital, the number was marginally low in intervention group than control group (11 vs. 15).

**Table 3** Outcome variables inboth the groups during the follow-up period of 1 y

First29 (63.04)27 (60)0.765Second21 (45.65)24 (53.33)0.464Third15 (32.61)10 (22.22)0.267Fourth22 (47.83)25 (55.56)0.461LRTI episodes (follow-up)First28 (60.87)34 (75.56)0.133Second27 (58.70)29 (64.44)0.573Third26 (56.52)27 (60)0.737Fourth19 (41.30)25 (55.56)0.174Hospital admissions (follow-up)First2 (4.35)6 (13.33)0.126Second3 (6.52)5 (11.11)0.345Third01 (2.22)0.495Fourth6 (13.04)3 (6.67)0.254	Follow-up visits for every 3 mo	Group I, $n = 46$	Group C, $n = 45$	P value
Second         21 (45.65)         24 (53.33)         0.464           Third         15 (32.61)         10 (22.22)         0.267           Fourth         22 (47.83)         25 (55.56)         0.461           LRT1 episodes (follow-up)            0.133           Second         27 (58.70)         29 (64.44)         0.573           Third         26 (56.52)         27 (60)         0.737           Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.144           Hospital admissions (follow-up)            0.737           First         2 (4.35)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)               First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492            Second         0         -             First         9 (19.56)         10 (22.22)         0.495           Third         12.217)	URTI episodes (follow-up)			
Third         15 (32.61)         10 (22.22)         0.267           Fourth         22 (47.83)         25 (55.56)         0.461           LRTT episodes (follow-up)            0.133           Second         27 (58.70)         29 (64.44)         0.573           Third         26 (56.52)         27 (60)         0.737           Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.144           Hospital admissions (follow-up)          5 (55.56)         0.124           Hospital admissions (follow-up)          6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)          First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -         -         -         -           First         9 (19.56)         10 (22.22)         0.492         -         -           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678         -         -           First </td <td>First</td> <td>29 (63.04)</td> <td>27 (60)</td> <td>0.765</td>	First	29 (63.04)	27 (60)	0.765
Fourth         22 (47.83)         25 (55.56)         0.461           LRTT episodes (follow-up)	Second	21 (45.65)	24 (53.33)	0.464
LRTI episodes (follow-up)         Site of the second o	Third	15 (32.61)	10 (22.22)	0.267
First         28 (60.87)         34 (75.56)         0.133           Second         27 (58.70)         29 (64.44)         0.573           Third         26 (56.52)         27 (60)         0.737           Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         5         5         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         5         5         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         5         5         0.174           First         2 (4.35)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.7)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.490           Second	Fourth	22 (47.83)	25 (55.56)	0.461
Second         27 (58.70)         29 (64.44)         0.573           Third         26 (56.52)         27 (60)         0.737           Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         5 (11.11)         0.345           First         2 (4.35)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         5 (11.11)         0.492         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         11 (2.391)         8 (17.77)         0.499           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.499           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.26)         13 (28.26)         0.499           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.499 <tr< td=""><td>LRTI episodes (follow-up)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	LRTI episodes (follow-up)			
Third         26 (56.52)         27 (60)         0.737           Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         11 (2.391)         8 (17.7)         0.499           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.7)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.82)         1 (2.88)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up:         -         -         -           First <t< td=""><td>First</td><td>28 (60.87)</td><td>34 (75.56)</td><td>0.133</td></t<>	First	28 (60.87)	34 (75.56)	0.133
Fourth         19 (41.30)         25 (55.56)         0.174           Hospital admissions (follow-up)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         11 (2.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678           Third         13 (28.26)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.490           Second         13 (28.26)         18	Second	27 (58.70)	29 (64.44)	0.573
Hospital admissions (follow-up)First2 (4.35)6 (13.33)0.126Second3 (6.52)5 (11.11)0.345Third01 (2.22)0.495Fourth6 (13.04)3 (6.67)0.254Complications (follow-up)1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Second01 (2.22)0.495Third00-Fourth1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Second01 (2.22)0.495Third00-Fourth1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)10 (22.22)0.490Second11 (23.91)8 (17.77)0.678Third13 (28.26)8 (17.77)0.449Fourth5 (10.86)13 (28.88)0.070Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)First17 (36.79)20 (44.44)0.490Second13 (28.26)18 (40)0.678Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.678Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Third	26 (56.52)	27 (60)	0.737
First         2 (4.35)         6 (13.33)         0.126           Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         5         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         -         -         -           First         9 (19.56)         10 (22.22)         0.490           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678           Third         13 (28.26)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.678           Third         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.449	Fourth	19 (41.30)	25 (55.56)	0.174
Second         3 (6.52)         5 (11.11)         0.345           Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         1         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         2         (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         0 (4.44)         0.490           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up         1         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.449           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.479         0.479           Fourth         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.479           Fourth         12 (26.08)	Hospital admissions (follow-up)			
Third         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Fourth         6 (13.04)         3 (6.67)         0.254           Complications (follow-up)         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678           Third         13 (28.26)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up         1         1 (23.9)         6 (13.33)         0.678           Third         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.490         6 (13.33)         0.701           Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up	First	2 (4.35)	6 (13.33)	0.126
Fourth $6 (13.04)$ $3 (6.67)$ $0.254$ Complications (follow-up)First1 (2.17) $2 (4.44)$ $0.492$ Second01 (2.22) $0.495$ Third00-Fourth1 (2.17) $2 (4.44)$ $0.492$ Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)2 (4.44) $0.492$ Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)510 (22.22) $0.490$ Second11 (23.91)8 (17.77) $0.678$ Third13 (28.26)8 (17.77) $0.449$ Fourth5 (10.86)13 (28.88) $0.070$ Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up) $V$ $V$ $V$ First17 (36.79)20 (44.44) $0.490$ Second13 (28.26)18 (40) $0.678$ Third12 (26.08)18 (40) $0.479$ Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33) $0.070$ Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up) $V$ $V$ $V$ First2 (4.35)4 $0.490$ Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67) $0.678$ Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22) $0.449$	Second	3 (6.52)	5 (11.11)	0.345
Complications (follow-up)         First         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Second         0         -         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         5 (10.22.2)         0.490           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678           Third         13 (28.26)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)         First         17 (36.79)         20 (44.44)         0.490           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.678           Third         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.449           Fourth         11 (23.9)         6 (13.33)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)         First         2 (4.35)         4         0.490	Third	0	1 (2.22)	0.495
First1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Second01 (2.22)0.495Third00-Fourth1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)2 (4.44)0.492Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)2 (4.44)0.492Second1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)8 (17.77)0.678Third13 (28.26)8 (17.77)0.678Third5 (10.86)13 (28.88)0.070Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)13 (28.26)18 (40)0.678Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Fourth	6 (13.04)	3 (6.67)	0.254
Second         0         1 (2.22)         0.495           Third         0         0         -           Fourth         1 (2.17)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         2 (4.44)         0.492           Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)         5 (10.56)         10 (22.22)         0.490           Second         11 (23.91)         8 (17.77)         0.678           Third         13 (28.26)         8 (17.77)         0.449           Fourth         5 (10.86)         13 (28.88)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)         First         17 (36.79)         20 (44.44)         0.490           Second         13 (28.26)         18 (40)         0.678           Third         12 (26.08)         18 (40)         0.449           Fourth         11 (23.9)         6 (13.33)         0.070           Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)         First         2 (4.35)         4         0.490           Second         3 (6.52)         3 (6.67)         0.678         71           Third         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.449	Complications (follow-up)			
Third       0       0       -         Fourth       1 (2.17)       2 (4.44)       0.492         Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)       -       -         First       9 (19.56)       10 (22.22)       0.490         Second       11 (23.91)       8 (17.77)       0.678         Third       13 (28.26)       8 (17.77)       0.449         Fourth       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)       -       -       -         First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       -       -       -         First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	First	1 (2.17)	2 (4.44)	0.492
Fourth1 (2.17)2 (4.44)0.492Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)First9 (19.56)10 (22.22)0.490Second11 (23.91)8 (17.77)0.678Third13 (28.26)8 (17.77)0.449Fourth5 (10.86)13 (28.88)0.070Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)513 (28.26)18 (40)0.490Second13 (28.26)18 (40)0.67811 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.0700.678First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Second	0	1 (2.22)	0.495
Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-up)       First       9 (19.56)       10 (22.22)       0.490         Second       11 (23.91)       8 (17.77)       0.678         Third       13 (28.26)       8 (17.77)       0.449         Fourth       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)       First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Third	0	0	—
First       9 (19.56)       10 (22.22)       0.490         Second       11 (23.91)       8 (17.77)       0.678         Third       13 (28.26)       8 (17.77)       0.449         Fourth       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)       First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Fourth	1 (2.17)	2 (4.44)	0.492
Second       11 (23.91)       8 (17.77)       0.678         Third       13 (28.26)       8 (17.77)       0.449         Fourth       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)       First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Severity of pneumonia (mild; follow-u	ıp)		
Third13 (28.26)8 (17.77)0.449Fourth5 (10.86)13 (28.88)0.070Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)513 (28.88)0.070First17 (36.79)20 (44.44)0.490Second13 (28.26)18 (40)0.678Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)51000000000000000000000000000000000000	First	9 (19.56)	10 (22.22)	0.490
Fourth       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)       5 (10.86)       13 (28.88)       0.070         First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       5       5       1         First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Second	11 (23.91)	8 (17.77)	0.678
Severity of pneumonia (moderate; follow-up)         First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       5       5       14       0.490         First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490       0.678         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Third	13 (28.26)	8 (17.77)	0.449
First       17 (36.79)       20 (44.44)       0.490         Second       13 (28.26)       18 (40)       0.678         Third       12 (26.08)       18 (40)       0.449         Fourth       11 (23.9)       6 (13.33)       0.070         Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)       5       5       4       0.490         First       2 (4.35)       4       0.490         Second       3 (6.52)       3 (6.67)       0.678         Third       1 (2.17)       1 (2.22)       0.449	Fourth	5 (10.86)	13 (28.88)	0.070
Second13 (28.26)18 (40)0.678Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Severity of pneumonia (moderate; foll	ow-up)		
Third12 (26.08)18 (40)0.449Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	First	17 (36.79)	20 (44.44)	0.490
Fourth11 (23.9)6 (13.33)0.070Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Second	13 (28.26)	18 (40)	0.678
Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow-up)         2 (4.35)         4         0.490           First         2 (4.35)         3 (6.67)         0.678           Second         3 (6.52)         3 (6.67)         0.490           Third         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.449	Third	12 (26.08)	18 (40)	0.449
First2 (4.35)40.490Second3 (6.52)3 (6.67)0.678Third1 (2.17)1 (2.22)0.449	Fourth	11 (23.9)	6 (13.33)	0.070
Second         3 (6.52)         3 (6.67)         0.678           Third         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.449	Severity of pneumonia (severe; follow	-up)		
Third         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.449	First	2 (4.35)	4	0.490
Third         1 (2.17)         1 (2.22)         0.449	Second	3 (6.52)	3 (6.67)	0.678
Fourth 3 (6.52) 6 (13.33) 0.070	Third	1 (2.17)	1 (2.22)	0.449
	Fourth	3 (6.52)	6 (13.33)	0.070

C Control group; I Interventional group; LRTI Lower respiratory tract infection; URTI Upper respiratory tract infection; Data are presented as number (%)

At the end of the fourth follow-up, the mean LTRI episodes were slightly low in group I when compared to group C (1.42  $\pm 0.69$  vs.  $1.72 \pm 1.02$ ; P = 0.79); while, the mean duration of LRTI was slightly high in group I than in group C (4.53  $\pm 1.93$ vs.  $3.88 \pm 1.36$ ; P = 0.62; Table 4).

## Discussion

The prognostic role of vitamin D supplementation in the management of pneumonia among under-five children has been elucidated in present study. In the current randomized controlled study, it was observed that administration of standard treatment along with 300,000 IU of vitamin D in under-five children with pneumonia displayed beneficial effect in terms of reduced URTI and LRTI episodes, complications, and severity of pneumonia, although it was not significant.

A systematic review conducted by Kearns et al. [12] reported that quarterly mega bolus doses (~300,000 IU) of vitamin D are safe and not associated with any signs of toxicity. However, other doses (~100,00 IU) employed in the previous studies yielded little benefit [9]. Hence, a dose of 300,000 IU of vitamin

 Table 4
 Recurrence of lower respiratory tract infections in both the study groups

Variables	Group I, <i>n</i> = 46	Group C, $n = 45$		
Mean LRTI episodes (follow-up)				
First	$1.61\pm0.83$	$1.32\pm0.59$		
Second	$1.52\pm0.80$	$1.38\pm0.62$		
Third	$1.69\pm0.84$	$1.63\pm0.93$		
Fourth	$1.42\pm0.69$	$1.72\pm1.02$		
Mean LRTI duration (follow-up)				
First	$4.07 \pm 1.78$	$3.74 \pm 1.91$		
Second	$3.96 \pm 1.81$	$3.62 \pm 1.05$		
Third	$3.88 \pm 1.51$	$3.67 \pm 1.71$		
Fourth	$4.53 \pm 1.93$	$3.88 \pm 1.36$		

C Control group; I Interventional group; LRTI Lower respiratory tract infection; Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

D was administered in present study for a year in the group I. The vitamin D administered in all the age groups in the group I was well-tolerated without any major side effects.

In the present study, there was no significant difference between the two cohorts (Group I and Group C) regarding the baseline sociodemographic, anthropometric, birth, and clinical characteristics and the feeding patterns. Most of the patients in the age-group of 1-3 y were at risk of developing respiratory infections. The age-specific data in the present study was similar to other studies in the literature [9, 13]. Male gender was one among the risk factors in developing respiratory tract infections. These findings are in concordance with a study conducted by Dhungel et al. [14], wherein, they reported that respiratory infections are slightly higher among boys. The bias of overcrowding is a well-proven risk factor in the development of pneumonia [13]; similar to present study, wherein, most of the children grew up in overcrowded families, however the difference was insignificant. Exposure of sunlight was an insignificant risk factor in the development of respiratory infections in the present study, which is comparable to the study conducted by Oduwole et al. [15]. In the present study, birth characteristics and feeding patterns in both the groups were similar; therefore, mode of delivery, low birth weight, prelacteal feeds, formula feeds, and inadequate breastfeeding are not attributed as the risk factors in developing respiratory infections in the study groups. Premature birth can be attributed as a risk factor of vitamin D deficiency [16]. However, in the present study, although most of the children in group C were preterm babies, the difference was insignificant. Cough followed by fever were the most common symptoms encountered among the children in both the groups, in this study. The observation is similar to other studies conducted in different countries [17, 18].

Various studies concluded that children with rickets are at high risk of developing LRTI or pneumonia [19, 20].

However, despite vitamin D deficiency, none of the children in the current study had rickets or clinical signs of rickets.

Although, at the end of the fourth follow-up, children in group I reported low LRTI and URTI episodes, complication rate, and severity of pneumonia when compared with group C; however, it was insignificant. The mean episodes of LRTI were low in group I when compared to group C. However, the mean duration of LRTI was slightly longer, which could be due to pleural effusion and bronchoscopy in two of the children that required a longer duration of hospital stay. A similar randomized controlled study conducted by Holland et al. [21], reported that vitamin D supplementation along with antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the new episodes of pneumonia over a 90-d period, however severe recurrences did not differ significantly in between the groups. The study also concluded that a high-dose supplementation of vitamin D may not immediately influence the recovery from pneumonia. To authors' knowledge, this is the first longitudinal, community-based randomized controlled study, which assessed the effect of vitamin D supplementation with a higher dose (300,000 IU bolus dose, quarterly). Hence, the findings of this study could not be compared and commented upon.

Other studies reported use of different doses of vitamin D varying from daily doses of 1000–1200 IU or 2000 IU per day, to bolus doses of 100,000 IU as a single dose or repeated quarterly doses [5, 6, 9, 10, 22]. Hence, there is a need to conduct further studies to evaluate the effective optimal dose and to determine the frequency of administration (single dose or daily dose) in preventing pneumonia. Also, further studies should be conducted to determine several predisposing factors in the development of recurrent pneumonia, such as socioeconomic and nutritional status, suboptimal immunization, ethnicity, air pollution, tobacco exposure, and other underlying chronic diseases or infant prematurity among children with vitamin D supplementation.

In the present study, although the results are encouraging and supporting in determining the role of vitamin D in the prevention of pneumonia in under-five children, the results are insignificant. The probable reason might be the quarterly administration of bolus doses of vitamin D, which were not efficient in preventing pneumonia, significantly. Along with this, the study has few noting limitations. Therapeutic drug monitoring of vitamin D, which is required to assess the appropriate dose of vitamin D for clinical resolution of pneumonia, was not conducted. Only after such studies, one can conclude the effectiveness of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention of pneumonia.

### Conclusions

Overall, the present study highlights that oral vitamin D (300,000 IU bolus dose, quarterly) has some beneficial effect

in the prevention of recurrent pneumonia in under-five children, although not to a significant degree. Hence, further studies are required to signify the effect of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention of pneumonia. However, addressing the issue of isolated vitamin D deficiency may not be an effective strategy to prevent recurrent pneumonia.

**Authors' Contribution** NS, DK, and NSM: Conceptualized the study; NS: Collected the data, literature search, and developed the manuscript; DK and NS: Analysis of data and literature search; DK and NSM: Overall supervision and intellectual input in final drafting. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript. DK is the guarantor for this article.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Conflict of Interest None.

## References

- 1. Madhi SA, De Wals P, Grijalva CG, et al. The burden of childhood pneumonia in the developed world: a review of the literature. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013;32:e119–27.
- Rudan I, Boschi-Pinto C, Biloglav Z, Mulholland K, Campbell H. Epidemiology and etiology of childhood pneumonia. Bull World Health Organ. 2008;86:408–16.
- Mathyssen C, Gayan-Ramirez G, Bouillon R, Janssens W. Vitamin D supplementation in respiratory diseases: evidence from randomized controlled trials. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2017;127:775–84.
- Charan J, Goyal JP, Saxena D, Yadav P. Vitamin D for prevention of respiratory tract infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2012;3:300–3.
- Choudhary N, Gupta P. Vitamin D supplementation for severe pneumonia—a randomized controlled trial. Indian Pediatr. 2012;49:449–54.
- Rajshekhar CS, Vanaki R, Badakali AV, Pol RR, Yelamali BC. Efficacy of vitamin D supplementation in the treatment of severe pneumonia in children aged less than five years. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2016;3:96–9.
- Roth DE, Jones AB, Prosser C, Robinson JL, Vohra S. Vitamin D status is not associated with the risk of hospitalization for acute bronchiolitis in early childhood. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63:297–9.
- Karatekin G, Kaya A, Salihoğlu Ö, Balci H, Nuhoğlu A. Association of subclinical vitamin D deficiency in newborns with acute lower respiratory infection and their mothers. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63:473–7.

- Manaseki-Holland S, Maroof Z, Bruce J, et al. Effect on the incidence of pneumonia of vitamin D supplementation by quarterly bolus dose to infants in Kabul: a randomised controlled superiority trial. Lancet. 2012;379:1419–27.
- Gupta P, Dewan P, Shah D, et al. Vitamin D supplementation for treatment and prevention of pneumonia in under-five children: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. Indian Pediatr. 2016;53:967–76.
- Remmelts HH, Spoorenberg SM, Oosterheert JJ, Bos WJW, de Groot MC, van de Garde EM. The role of vitamin D supplementation in the risk of developing pneumonia: three independent casecontrol studies. Thorax. 2013;68:990–6.
- Kearns M, Alvarez J, Tangpricha V. Large, single-dose, oral vitamin D supplementation in adult populations: a systematic review. Endocr Pract. 2013;20:341–51.
- Kuragodiyavar MD. Risk factors for severe pneumonia in under five children-a hospital based study: RGUHS; 2009. p. 91–3.
- Dhungel A, Alam MS. Efficacy of vitamin D in children with pneumonia: a randomized control trial study. Janaki Med Coll J Med Sci. 2016;3:5–13.
- Oduwole A, Renner JK, Disu E, Ibitoye E, Emokpae E. Relationship between vitamin D levels and outcome of pneumonia in children. West Afr J Med. 2010;29:373–8.
- Park S-H, Lee G-M, Moon J-E, Kim H-M. Severe vitamin D deficiency in preterm infants: maternal and neonatal clinical features. Korean J Pediatr. 2015;58:427–33.
- Key N, Araujo-Neto C, Cardoso M-R, Nascimento-Carvalho C. Characteristics of radiographically diagnosed pneumonia in under-5 children in Salvador, Brazil. Indian Pediatr. 2011;48:873–7.
- Rijal P, Sharma A, Shrestha S, Upadhyay S. Profile of acute lower respiratory tract infection in children under fourteen years of age at Nepal medical college teaching hospital (NMCTH). Nepal Med Coll J. 2011;13:58–61.
- Najada AS, Habashneh MS, Khader M. The frequency of nutritional rickets among hospitalized infants and its relation to respiratory diseases. J Trop Pediatr. 2004;50:364–8.
- Siddiqui TS, Rai MI. Presentation and predisposing factors of nutritional rickets in children of Hazara division. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2005;17:29–32.
- Manaseki-Holland S, Qader G, Isaq Masher M, et al. Effects of vitamin D supplementation to children diagnosed with pneumonia in Kabul: a randomised controlled trial. Trop Med Int Health. 2010;15:1148–55.
- Urashima M, Segawa T, Okazaki M, Kurihara M, Wada Y, Ida H. Randomized trial of vitamin D supplementation to prevent seasonal influenza A in schoolchildren. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91:1255–60.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.