

HHS Public Access

JAMA Intern Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

JAMA Intern Med. 2015 October 1; 175(10): 1612–1621. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.3874.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial on the treatment of vitamin D insufficiency in postmenopausal women

Karen E. Hansen, MD, MS^1 , R. Erin Johnson, $BS^{1,2}$, Kaitlin R. Chambers, BS^1 , Michael G. Johnson, MS^1 , Christina C. Lemon, MS, RD, CD^1 , Tien Nguyen Thuy Vo, MS^3 , and Sheeva Marvdashti, BS^1

¹Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health

²Quality and Patient Safety Analysis, Saint Luke's Health System, Kansas City, MO

³Department of Computing and Biometry, University of Wisconsin College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Abstract

Importance—Experts debate optimal 25(OH)D levels for musculoskeletal health.

Objective—To compare effects of placebo, low-dose and high-dose vitamin D on one-year changes in total fractional calcium absorption, bone mineral density, Timed-Up-and-Go and 5-sit-to-stand tests and muscle mass in postmenopausal women with vitamin D insufficiency.

Design—Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial conducted from May 2010 to August 2014.

Setting—Single-center trial conducted in Madison, Wisconsin.

Author contributions:

Corresponding Author's Contact Information: Karen E. Hansen, MD, MS, Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health, Room 4124 MFCB, 1685 Highland Avenue, Madison, WI 53792, keh@medicine.wisc.edu.

Study concept and design: Hansen

Acquisition of data: Hansen, Johnson, Lemon, Marvdashti, Chambers

Analysis and interpretation of data: Hansen, Marvdashti, Vo

Drafting of the manuscript: Hansen

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors

Statistical analysis: Hansen, Vo, Marvdashti

Obtained funding: Hansen

Administrative, technical, or material support: Johnson, Chambers, Marvdashti

Study supervision: Hansen supervised the study, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Additional contributions: We thank all participants, who devoted over one year of time to the trial. We thank the CRU and PRC staff for their excellent assistance in conducting the study. We are grateful for discussions with Dr. Alan J. Bridges (Professor of Medicine and Chief of Staff, William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Affairs Hospital, Madison, WI) and Dr. Kevin McKown (Professor of Medicine and Chief, Rheumatology Division, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health) about the manuscript. Finally, we thank DSMB members J. Christopher Gallagher (Professor of Medicine and Chief, Bone Metabolism Section, Creighton University, Omaha, NE), Kristine Ensrud (Professor of Medicine, and Director of Epidemiology, Clinical Research Center, U of MN), Yvette Schuster (Professor of Statistics, Rutgers University) and Judy Hannah (Professor and Head, Nutrition Office, National Institute on Aging). We thank UW Professor Hector DeLuca's laboratory for independently verifying vitamin D content of study capsules.

Participants—230 postmenopausal women 75 years old with baseline 25(OH)D levels 14-27 ng/mL and no osteoporosis.

Intervention—Three arms included daily white and twice monthly yellow placebo (n=76), daily 800 IU vitamin D_3 and twice monthly yellow placebo (n=76), and daily white placebo and twice monthly 50,000 IU vitamin D_3 (n=79). The high-dose vitamin D regimen achieved and maintained 25(OH)D levels 30 ng/mL.

Main Outcome Measures—One year change in total fractional calcium absorption using two stable isotopes, bone mineral density and muscle mass using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry, Timed-Up-and-Go and 5-Sit-to-Stand tests, functional status (Health Assessment Questionnaire) and physical activity (Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly), with Benjamini-Hochberg correction of p-values to control the false discovery rate.

Results—After controlling for baseline absorption, calcium absorption increased 1% (10 mg/ day) in the high-dose arm, but decreased by 2% in low-dose (p=0.005 vs. high-dose) and by 1.3% placebo (p=0.03 vs. high-dose) arms. We found no between-arm changes in spine, mean total hip, mean femoral neck or total body bone mineral density, trabecular bone score, muscle mass, 5-sitto-stand or Timed-Up-and-Go test scores. Likewise, we found no between-arm differences for numbers of falls, number of fallers, physical activity or functional status.

Conclusion and Relevance—High-dose vitamin D therapy increased calcium absorption, but the effect was small and did not translate into beneficial effects on bone mineral density, muscle function, muscle mass or falls. We found no data to support experts' recommendations to maintain serum 25(OH)D levels 30 ng/mL in postmenopausal women. Instead, we found that low and high-dose vitamin D were equivalent to placebo, in their effects on bone and muscle outcomes in this cohort of postmenopausal women with 25(OH)D levels <30 ng/mL.

ClinicalTrials.gov.Identifier:NCT00933244

Keywords

bone mineral density; calcium absorption; clinical trial; postmenopausal women; sarcopenia; vitamin D

INTRODUCTION

Nearly half of postmenopausal women sustain an osteoporotic fracture.^{1,2} Low vitamin D levels contribute to osteoporosis via decreased total fractional calcium absorption (TFCA), secondary hyperparathyroidism, increased bone resorption and decreased bone mineral density (BMD).³ Unfortunately, experts disagree on the optimal vitamin D level for skeletal health. Some⁴⁻⁶ contend that optimal serum 25(OH)D levels are 30 ng/mL and define vitamin D insufficiency (VDI) as <30 ng/mL. By contrast, the Institute of Medicine⁷ recommends levels 20 ng/mL. Disagreement continues, as many previous clinical trials did not recruit subjects based on initial 25(OH)D levels, failed to target or achieve 25(OH)D levels 30 ng/mL, and/or co-administered calcium supplements.

VDI, defined as a serum 25(OH)D < 30 ng/mL, is widespread and affects ~75% of postmenopausal American women.⁸ Therefore, determining the ideal 25(OH)D level for

optimal calcium homeostasis and bone health is of utmost clinical import. The aims of this double-blind, placebo-controlled trial were to evaluate the effects of high and low-dose vitamin D on one-year changes in TFCA (the fraction of ingested calcium absorbed in the intestine), BMD and muscle fitness in postmenopausal women with VDI. Women with osteoporosis were excluded. Based on our prior pilot study,⁹ we hypothesized that a high-dose vitamin D regimen, administered to achieve and maintain 25(OH)D >30 ng/mL for one year, would increase TFCA and BMD more than low-dose vitamin D or placebo.

METHODS

Study Design

With IRB approval, we conducted a single-center, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial involving postmenopausal women living around Madison, Wisconsin (latitude 43°N). Recruitment (**Figure 1**) occurred from May 2010 to July 2013 and the final visit was completed in August 2014. Individuals called in response to local advertisements, and were phone-screened for eligibility. After written consent, we measured eligible subjects' serum 25(OH)D, calcium, albumin, creatinine and parathyroid hormone levels.

We enrolled women with a 25(OH)D >14 ng/mL and 27 ng/mL, instead of <30 ng/mL, to allow for laboratory variability in measurements.¹⁰ Subjects were 5 years past menopause or oophorectomy, or 60 years old if prior hysterectomy without oophorectomy. Eligible subjects consuming <600 mg or >1400 mg calcium/day via questionnaire¹¹ were counseled to consume 600-1400 mg/day by modifying their dietary and/or supplemental calcium intake. We targeted typical calcium intake of postmenopausal American women¹² to ensure generalizability, minimize harms of high-dose vitamin D, and because passive calcium absorption lessens the import of vitamin D-mediated active absorption.¹³⁻¹⁵

We excluded women >75 years old as increasing age associates with intestinal resistance to vitamin D.^{16,17} We excluded women with hypercalcemia, nephrolithiasis, cancer within five years (excluding skin cancer), inflammatory bowel disease, malabsorption, celiac sprue, chronic diarrhea, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <45 mL/minute,¹⁸ adult fragility fracture of the hip, spine or wrist and use of bone-active medications within the past 6 months including bisphosphonates, estrogens, calcitonin, teriparatide, oral corticosteroids, anticonvulsants, or vitamin D >400 IU/day.¹⁹ Women with diabetes were also excluded, as the disease and associated medications affect skeletal health.²⁰ We measured subjects' spine, bilateral hip and total body BMD and excluded those with T-scores -2.5.

Subjects completed 4-7 day food diaries within one month of TFCA studies, using scales and household measuring tools to record intake. Food diaries were analyzed using Food Processor[®] Software (ESHA Research, Salem, OR) to calculate subjects' customary intake of nutrients (**Table 1**), caffeine and alcohol. Dietary intake, except alcohol, was reproduced during TFCA studies.

We purchased low-dose vitamin D (800 IU white capsules), high-dose vitamin D (50,000 IU yellow capsules) and identical placebo capsules (Tischon, Westbury, NY, USA) and independently verified capsule content prior to use. Subjects randomized to high-dose

vitamin D received a loading dose (50,000 IU daily for 15 days) to quickly raise 25(OH)D >30 ng/mL,²¹ with sham loading of yellow placebo capsules in other arms to maintain blinding. After loading, subjects in the high-dose arm took one 50,000 IU capsule every 15^{th} day for the next 11.5 months. Subjects in the low-dose arm took vitamin D 800 IU daily and yellow placebo capsules every 15^{th} day. Subjects in the placebo arm ingested daily white placebo and every 15^{th} day yellow placebo capsules (eFigure 1). We dispensed pre-filled 31-day pill boxes and counted remaining capsules at post-randomization visits to monitor adherence.

UW Pharmaceutical Research Center (PRC) personnel randomized eligible subjects into treatment arms in forced blocks of six (**eFigure 1**), stratifying by high PTH and calcium intake >1,000 mg/day. Stratification by PTH occurred because secondary hyperparathyroidism occurs in only 10% to 33% of people with VDI,²²⁻²⁵ and individuals without it might not benefit from vitamin D. Stratification by high calcium intake occurred because passive calcium absorption, facilitated by high calcium intake, lessens the import of vitamin D-mediated active absorption.¹³⁻¹⁵ Only PRC personnel, who had no direct contact with subjects, knew treatment allocation. We dispensed Total Block® sunscreen to subjects for use between April and October.^{26,21}

Outcome Measures

The one-year change in TFCA was the primary outcome and change in BMD was the secondary outcome. Additional outcomes were the effect of placebo, low and high-dose vitamin D on muscle function, muscle mass, muscle mass, trabecular bone score and bone turnover. We also evaluated pain, functional status and physical activity during the study.

We measured TFCA using the gold-standard dual stable calcium isotope method, in which the intravenous isotope tracks renal re-absorption and endogenous fecal calcium excretion.^{27,28} Isotopes were purchased as calcium carbonate powder (Trace Sciences International, Wilmington, DE); purity and enrichment were confirmed by mass spectrometry. Waisman Clinical Biomanufacturing Facility personnel reconstituted isotopes²⁵ and tested solutions for sterility and pyrogenicity.²⁹ Solutions were stored and dispensed by the PRC.

For TFCA measurements, women fasted from midnight and attended the UW Clinical Research Unit (CRU) at ~0700. After phlebotomy, subjects consumed breakfast with 50 mL calcium-fortified orange juice containing ~8 mg of ⁴⁴Ca, for a total oral calcium load of ~300 mg. The glass was rinsed with de-ionized water, which subjects also drank. Simultaneously, nurses infused ~3 mg of ⁴²Ca over 5 minutes followed by 50 mL normal saline. Nurses weighed isotope syringes and recorded ⁴²Ca and ⁴⁴Ca doses. Subjects remained on the CRU during the 24-hour urine collection, consuming meals that replicated usual nutrient intake based on food diaries. Subjects continued outpatient medications and supplements, and began study capsules on discharge.

Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene personnel quantified concentrations and ratios of calcium isotopes in 24-hour urine specimens by high-resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry as described.^{30,31,25} Subjects' baseline and final urine samples were

analyzed simultaneously. We calculated TFCA as the dose-corrected ratio of the two calcium isotopes in a 24-hour urine collection.^{25,32}

Subjects returned for study visits ~30, 60, 120, 240 and 365 days following randomization. At each visit, we measured 25(OH)D, calcium, Timed Up and Go (TUG)³³ and five sit-to-stand (STS)³⁴ tests. Subjects reported pain over the prior week (10 cm scale), functional status (modified Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire) and activity (Physical Activity for the Elderly Scale).³⁵ Subjects reported all adverse events, specifically nephrolithiasis, fracture, fall, infection and hospitalization. At 0, 60, 120 and 365 days, subjects' 24-hour urine calcium were measured.

PRC reviewed 25(OH)D levels at ~30, 60, 120 and 240 days. If a woman in the high-dose treatment arm had a 25(OH)D level <30 ng/mL, PRC adjusted her vitamin D dose. For example, a woman whose 25(OH)D level was 25 ng/mL received vitamin D₃ 50,000 IU/day for 7 days, then 50,000 IU once weekly to achieve and maintain repletion. To preserve blinding, ~8% of subjects in the other arms received sham adjustments of yellow placebo capsules.

One year after randomization, BMD was again measured using the same Lunar bone densitometry machine (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI). The trabecular bone score was determined using TBS iNsight version 2.1.0.0 (Medimaps Group, Switzerland). Muscle mass was calculated as the appendicular lean mass in kg, divided by height in m².³⁶ Serum 25(OH)D was measured at UW using an HPLC assay¹⁰ with between-run coefficients of variation (CV) of 3.2-13% for 25(OH)D₂ and 2.6-4.9% for 25(OH)D₃. Methods for other laboratory tests are described in **Table 1**.

Sample Size

The primary outcome was the effect of vitamin D on TFCA. With high-dose vitamin D,²⁵ the standard deviation (SD) for absolute change in TFCA was 1%. With low-dose vitamin D,³⁷ the SD for change in TFCA was 7%. Without intervention, the SD for monthly change in TFCA was 1%.³⁸ Thus, recruitment of 70 women/arm (n=210) provided ~90% power to detect a 3% difference in the change in TFCA between high-dose and placebo arms, and ~80% power to detect a 3% difference between high-dose and low-dose vitamin D arms, with a two sided alpha of 0.05. To compensate for attrition, we planned to randomize up to 250 women.

Statistical Analysis

Data were graphed to determine distribution and outliers. Normal data were summarized using the mean ± standard deviation (SD) and analyzed by analysis of variance. Skewed data were summarized using the median (25th, 75th interquartile range) and analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallace rank sum test. To control the false discovery rate, we corrected p-values using the Benjamini and Hochberg method³⁹ for subjects' baseline characteristics (**Table 1**), subjects' paired changes in dietary habits (**eTable 2**), between-arm changes in absolute and percent BMD (**Figure 3, eTable 4**), trabecular bone score (**eTable 4**), bone turnover (**eTable 5**) and adverse events (**eTables 6, 7 and 9**). Between-arm one-year changes in

muscle outcomes were summarized using means and 95% confidence intervals corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey honest significant difference test (**Table 2**). All outcomes were analyzed by intent-to-treat principle, using R (The R Project for Statistical Computing, http://www.r-project.org). LASSO and StepAIC R programs were used for modeling.

A data safety monitoring board (DSMB) met every 18 months to monitor the trial's progress and safety. Withdrawal occurred for three predefined events: nephrolithiasis, hypercalcemia (defined as a serum calcium 10.4 mg/dL twice over ~2 weeks) or fragility fracture (spine, wrist or hip). If subjects developed hypercalciuria (defined as >400 mg/24 hours), we repeated the test. For persistent hypercalciuria, we counseled subjects to reduce calcium intake. As hypercalciuria is common and often asymptomatic,³⁴ its presence did not require withdrawal. All adverse events were categorized by system in Oncore.

We reported serious adverse events (death, hospitalization or predefined event) to the DSMB within 24 hours, and cumulative adverse events at DSMB meetings. To prepare reports, the team submitted subjects' adverse events to the PRC, who entered treatment assignment and forwarded reports to the DSMB. We defined an excess harm Z value $> -3.0^{40,41}$ as an indication to prematurely stop the study.

RESULTS

Figure 1 summarizes subject recruitment, randomization and completion. Nine women (4%) who withdrew from the study were similar to the remaining 221 subjects in age, race and 25(OH)D levels; all withdrew for personal reasons. Baseline demographics did not differ across treatment arms (**Table 1**). Serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly different between arms at all post-randomization visits (p<0.001, **Figure 2**). From 30 days to 365 days following randomization, average 25(OH)D levels were 19 ± 5 ng/mL in placebo, 28 ± 5 ng/mL in low-dose, and 56 ± 12 ng/mL in high-dose treatment arms (p<0.001). Five subjects (7%) in the high-dose arm required additional vitamin D to maintain 25(OH)D 30 ng/mL. Adherence to therapy was ~100% across all arms (**eTable 1**, n=221). Subjects exhibited no significant pair-wise changes in dietary habits during the study (**eTable 2**).

Main Outcome Measures

TFCA is summarized in **eFigure 2a**. TFCA increased 0.6% in the high-dose arm and decreased by 4.5% in low-dose (p=0.009) and by 0.9% in the placebo arms (p=0.46 vs high-dose). By chance, the low-dose arm had higher baseline TFCA. In models controlling for baseline calcium absorption, TFCA increased 1% (10 mg/day) in the high-dose arm, but decreased 2% in low-dose (p=0.005 vs. high-dose) and 1.3% placebo (p=0.03 vs. high-dose) arms (**eFigure 2b**). In models (**eTable 3**), the one-year change in TFCA was inversely associated with baseline TFCA, baseline 25(OH)D and dietary sodium, and positively associated with body mass index, serum estradiol, GFR and 60-day 25(OH)D levels.

We found no between-arm differences for the absolute or annualized percent change in lumbar spine, mean total hip or total body BMD (**Figure 3, eTable 4**). Likewise, we found no significant between-arm differences for absolute or annualized percent changes in

trabecular bone score (**eTable 4**). High-dose vitamin D had a small, beneficial effect on femoral neck BMD. The absolute change in mean femoral neck BMD with high-dose vitamin D was -0.003 g/cm^2 (IQR $-0.012, 0.005 \text{ g/cm}^2$), with low-dose vitamin D was -0.009 g/cm^2 ($-0.02, 0.001 \text{ g/cm}^2$) and with placebo was -0.008 g/cm^2 ($-0.016, -0.001 \text{ g/cm}^2$). The overall p-value for between-arm changes was 0.03, but with adjustment to control the false discovery rate, the p-value was no longer significant (p=0.12). Annualized changes in hip BMD were associated with change in TFCA, but only in subjects randomized to high-dose vitamin D (rho 0.24, p=0.04).

The within-arm and between-arm one-year changes in muscle outcomes are summarized in **Table 2**. All treatment arms experienced slightly faster Timed-Up-and-Go and 5-Sit-to-Stand tests during the study. However, we found no between-arm differences for the degree of improvement in either of these tests. We likewise detected no between-arm differences in muscle mass, number of falls or number of fallers. Finally, we found no between-arm differences for the one-year change in Health Assessment Questionnaire score or Physical Activity for the Elderly score.

We measured bone turnover markers in subjects who attended all study visits before 10 am, fasting since midnight (n=149, 65%). We found no consistent between-arm differences in CTX or BSAP, when analyzed as changes from baseline (**eTable 5 7**) or in models (data not shown).

Predefined adverse events are summarized in **eTable 6 8**. Nephrolithiasis was incidentally detected in a woman in the low-dose arm who underwent abdominal imaging for other reasons; lack of prior imaging precluded ability to determine timing of the stone. Falls, fractures and hospitalizations were evenly distributed across arms. Two subjects in the low-dose vitamin D arm experienced transient asymptomatic hypercalcemia. Hypercalciuria occurred nine times, seven in the high-dose (4 subjects), once in low-dose, and once in the placebo arm (p=0.19). Serum calcium and phosphorus levels were similar between all arms (**eTable 7**). At 60 days, the high-dose arm had higher urine calcium levels than the low-dose (p=0.007) or placebo arms (p=0.001) arms (**eTables 7 and 8**). Likewise at 120 and 365 days, the high-dose arm experienced higher urine calcium levels than the placebo arm (**eTables 7 and 8**). We found no other differences in adverse effects across treatment arms (**eTable 9**).

COMMENT

Experts have heatedly debated optimal 25(OH)D levels needed to optimize musculoskeletal health. While some groups^{4-6,42} advocate levels 30 ng/mL, the Institute of Medicine⁷ defines vitamin D repletion as a level 20 ng/mL. We designed a clinical trial to directly address ongoing controversy about optimal vitamin D levels for musculoskeletal health. We found that compared to placebo, high-dose vitamin D had a very small effect on calcium absorption (1% or 10 mg/day) that did not translate into meaningful changes in lumbar spine, mean total hip, femoral neck or total body BMD, trabecular bone score, Timed Up and Go test score, 5-Sit-to-Stand score, muscle mass, falls or number of fallers. Study results do not support the recommendation to maintain serum 25(OH)D levels 30 ng/mL.

In a retrospective study⁴³ of 316 postmenopausal women with serum 25(OH)D levels <17 ng/mL, women with levels 4 ng/mL had lower calcium absorption than those with higher 25(OH)D levels. Interestingly, $1,25(OH)_2D$ levels were low only in women with 25(OH)D 4 ng/mL. Authors concluded that profound vitamin D deficiency must exist, in order to impair calcium absorption. However, the study did not test changes in calcium absorption with vitamin D therapy, limiting the ability to conclude that calcium absorption was "optimal" in women with 25(OH)D levels 5 ng/mL.

Two recent randomized clinical trials^{44,45} found that when controlling for baseline calcium absorption, high-dose vitamin D increased calcium absorption in postmenopausal women. In 163 women with 25(OH)D <20 ng/mL,⁴⁴ calcium absorption increased in the 4800 IU/day arm compared to placebo. However, actual difference in calcium absorption between placebo and high-dose vitamin D was only 6 mg a day. In another trial, researchers⁴⁵ randomized 67 women with 25(OH)D <30 ng/mL to 0, 800, 2000 or 4000 IU of vitamin D₃ daily for 8 weeks. Calcium absorption decreased by 2.6% in the placebo arm and increased 6.7% in the 4000 IU arm. In both studies, baseline calcium absorption was a strong independent predictor of change in calcium absorption with vitamin D therapy.

Few studies have evaluated the relationship between calcium absorption and BMD. Most cross-sectional studies⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ report no association. In the prospective "Study of Osteoporotic Fractures," calcium absorption (measured by single serum radioisotope level) in 5,453 Caucasian postmenopausal women⁴⁹ was weakly but significantly associated with femoral neck BMD (r = 0.06, p<0.001). Researchers subsequently recorded incident fractures for ~5 years. In models adjusting for age, each SD (7.7%) decrease in calcium absorption was associated with a 1.24 fold (95% CI, 1.05 to 1.48) increase in hip fracture, but not with fractures at other skeletal sites. The study, along with our own data, suggests that large increases in calcium absorption are needed to increase BMD and reduce fracture risk.

Even if high-dose vitamin D did not increase BMD, its use would be warranted if such therapy reduced falls, which almost always precede an osteoporotic fracture. A recent randomized clinical trial⁵⁰ of 409 women ages 70-80 was specifically designed to evaluate the effect of vitamin D or placebo on falls risk. Authors detected no reduction in falls with vitamin D therapy, administered as 800 IU daily for two years.

Sanders and colleagues⁵¹ reported that vitamin D 500,000 IU intramuscular once yearly caused more fractures and falls than placebo. In a post-hoc analysis of a subset of subjects,⁵² those randomized to vitamin D had higher 1,25(OH)₂D levels and bone resorption three months after randomization, potentially explaining the higher fracture rate. While we found no significant increase in bone resorption or declines in BMD associated with high-dose vitamin D, the benefits of high-dose vitamin D were too small to justify its routine use.

Our trial has several strengths. We recruited a large number of highly motivated subjects. Adherence to study medication was excellent, and attrition was low (4%). We replicated typical dietary habits during TFCA study visits. We used the gold-standard method to measure TFCA and subjects remained inpatients, permitting a complete 24-hour urine collection. Subjects received sunscreen to minimize sun-mediated increases in vitamin D

levels. Vitamin D study capsule content was independently verified prior to study use. We measured covariates that could influence TFCA, BMD and/or muscle tests besides 25(OH)D, including subjects' dietary habits, serum PTH, estradiol and $1,25(OH)_2D$ levels, pain and activity. 25(OH)D levels were measured by HPLC, one of two gold-standard assays.⁵³ Finally, PRC adjusted vitamin D doses to maintain 25(OH)D > 30 ng/mL in the high-dose arm, with sham adjustments in other arms to maintain blinding.

We also note some study limitations. Few African American women participated, limiting our ability to detect differential responses to vitamin D based on race. Results cannot be used to guide vitamin D therapy for young adults, men or women >75 years old. Subjects participated for only one year; perhaps longer exposure to high-dose vitamin D through more remodeling cycles would yield greater effects on BMD.⁵⁴

In conclusion, one year of high-dose vitamin D given to postmenopausal women with 25(OH)D levels <30 ng/mL (21 ± 3 ng/mL at baseline) had a trivial effect on calcium absorption, and no clinically meaningful beneficial effects on bone mineral density, muscle function or falls. Study results do not justify the common and frequently touted^{4-6,42} practice of administering high-dose vitamin D to older adults, in order to maintain serum 25(OH)D levels 30 ng/mL. Rather, study results support the Institute of Medicine's conclusion that vitamin D repletion is a serum 25(OH)D level of 20 ng/mL.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial disclosures: none reported

Funding/Support: The study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health, National Institute on Aging (R01 AG028739) and the Office of Dietary Supplements (R01 AG028739 supplement).

Role of the sponsor: The sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- Melton LJ 3rd. Adverse outcomes of osteoporotic fractures in the general population. J Bone Miner Res. Jun; 2003 18(6):1139–1141. [PubMed: 12817771]
- Cummings SR, Melton LJ. Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. Lancet. May 18; 2002 359(9319):1761–1767. [PubMed: 12049882]
- Holick, MF.; Garabedian, M. Vitamin D: Photobiology, Metabolism, Mechanism of Action, and Clinical Applications. In: Favus, MJ., editor. Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of Mineral Metabolism. 6th. American Society for Bone and Mineral Research; Washington, D.C.: 2006. p. 106-114.
- 4. Dawson-Hughes B, Heaney RP, Holick MF, Lips P, Meunier PJ, Vieth R. Estimates of optimal vitamin D status. Osteoporos Int. Jul; 2005 16(7):713–716. [PubMed: 15776217]
- Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. Jul 19; 2007 357(3):266–281. [PubMed: 17634462]

- Dawson-Hughes B, Bischoff-Ferrari HA. Therapy of osteoporosis with calcium and vitamin D. J Bone Miner Res. Dec; 2007 22(Suppl 2):V59–63. [PubMed: 18290724]
- 7. Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. The National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2011.
- Looker AC, Pfeiffer CM, Lacher DA, Schleicher RL, Picciano MF, Yetley EA. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin D status of the US population: 1988-1994 compared with 2000-2004. Am J Clin Nutr. Dec; 2008 88(6):1519–1527. [PubMed: 19064511]
- Hansen KE, Jones AN, Lindstrom MJ, Davis LA, Engelke JA, Shafer MM. Vitamin D insufficiency: disease or no disease? Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. Jul; 2008 23(7):1052–1060.
- Lensmeyer GL, Wiebe DA, Binkley N, Drezner MK. HPLC method for 25-hydroxyvitamin D measurement: comparison with contemporary assays. Clin Chem. Jun; 2006 52(6):1120–1126. [PubMed: 16574756]
- Schrager S, Girard M, Mundt M. Dietary calcium intake among women attending primary care clinics in Wisconsin. WMJ. 2005; 104(6):47–50. [PubMed: 16218316]
- 12. Alaimo K, McDowell MA, Briefel RR, et al. Dietary intake of vitamins, minerals, and fiber of persons ages 2 months and over in the United States: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Phase 1, 1988-91. Adv Data. Nov 14.1994 (258):1–28.
- Weaver CM, Fleet JC. Vitamin D requirements: current and future. Am J Clin Nutr. Dec; 2004 80(6 Suppl):1735S–1739S. [PubMed: 15585797]
- 14. Deroisy R, Collette J, Albert A, Jupsin I, Reginster JY. Administration of a supplement containing both calcium and vitamin D is more effective than calcium alone to reduce secondary hyperparathyroidism in postmenopausal women with low 25(OH)vitamin D circulating levels. Aging Clin Exp Res. Feb; 2002 14(1):13–17. [PubMed: 12027147]
- McKane WR, Khosla S, Egan KS, Robins SP, Burritt MF, Riggs BL. Role of calcium intake in modulating age-related increases in parathyroid function and bone resorption. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. May; 1996 81(5):1699–1703. [PubMed: 8626819]
- Pattanaungkul S, Riggs BL, Yergey AL, Vieira NE, O'Fallon WM, Khosla S. Relationship of intestinal calcium absorption to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)2D] levels in young versus elderly women: evidence for age-related intestinal resistance to 1,25(OH)2D action. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Nov; 2000 85(11):4023–4027. [PubMed: 11095427]
- Marks HD, Fleet JC, Peleg S. Transgenic expression of the human Vitamin D receptor (hVDR) in the duodenum of VDR-null mice attenuates the age-dependent decline in calcium absorption. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. Mar; 2007 103(3-5):513–516. [PubMed: 17207992]
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med. Mar 16; 1999 130(6):461–470. [PubMed: 10075613]
- Holick MF, Siris ES, Binkley N, et al. Prevalence of Vitamin D inadequacy among postmenopausal North American women receiving osteoporosis therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Jun; 2005 90(6):3215–3224. [PubMed: 15797954]
- 20. Gilbert MP, Pratley RE. The Impact of Diabetes Treatments On Bone Health in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Endocrine reviews. Mar 4.2015 :er20121042.
- Hansen KE, Bartels CM, Gangnon RE, Jones AN, Gogineni J. An evaluation of high-dose vitamin D for rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Rheumatol. Mar; 2014 20(2):112–114. [PubMed: 24561419]
- 22. Brot C, Vestergaard P, Kolthoff N, Gram J, Hermann AP, Sorensen OH. Vitamin D status and its adequacy in healthy Danish perimenopausal women: relationships to dietary intake, sun exposure and serum parathyroid hormone. Br J Nutr. Aug; 2001 86(Suppl 1):S97–103. [PubMed: 11520426]
- Souberbielle JC, Cormier C, Kindermans C, et al. Vitamin D status and redefining serum parathyroid hormone reference range in the elderly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Jul; 2001 86(7): 3086–3090. [PubMed: 11443171]
- 24. Sahota O, Mundey MK, San P, Godber IM, Lawson N, Hosking DJ. The relationship between vitamin D and parathyroid hormone: calcium homeostasis, bone turnover, and bone mineral

density in postmenopausal women with established osteoporosis. Bone. Jul; 2004 35(1):312–319. [PubMed: 15207772]

- Hansen KE, Jones AN, Lindstrom MJ, Davis LA, Engelke JA, Shafer MM. Vitamin D insufficiency: disease or no disease? J Bone Miner Res. Jul; 2008 23(7):1052–1060. [PubMed: 18302509]
- 26. Webb AR, Kline L, Holick MF. Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D3: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D3 synthesis in human skin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Aug; 1988 67(2):373–378. [PubMed: 2839537]
- Abrams SA. Using stable isotopes to assess mineral absorption and utilization by children. Am J Clin Nutr. Dec; 1999 70(6):955–964. [PubMed: 10584039]
- Griffin IJ, Abrams SA. Methodological considerations in measuring human calcium absorption: relevance to study the effects of inulin-type fructans. Br J Nutr. Apr; 2005 93(Suppl 1):S105–110. [PubMed: 15877883]
- 29. Sterility Tests. Mack Printing Company; Easton, PA: 1989. Vol USP XXII, NF XVII
- Sturup S, Hansen M, Molgaard C. Measurement of ⁴⁴Ca: ⁴³Ca and ⁴²Ca: ⁴³Ca istopic ratios in urine using high resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 1997; 12:919–923.
- Field M, Shapses S, Cifuentes M, Sherrell R. Precise and accurate determination of calcium isotope ratios in urine using HR-ICP-SFMS. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 2003; 18:727–733.
- 32. Eastell R, Vieira NE, Yergey AL, Riggs BL. One-day test using stable isotopes to measure true fractional calcium absorption. J Bone Miner Res. Aug; 1989 4(4):463–468. [PubMed: 2816495]
- 33. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. Feb; 1991 39(2):142–148. [PubMed: 1991946]
- Tiedemann A, Shimada H, Sherrington C, Murray S, Lord S. The comparative ability of eight functional mobility tests for predicting falls in community-dwelling older people. Age Ageing. Jul; 2008 37(4):430–435. [PubMed: 18487264]
- Washburn RA, Smith KW, Jette AM, Janney CA. The Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): development and evaluation. J Clin Epidemiol. Feb; 1993 46(2):153–162. [PubMed: 8437031]
- Beaudart C, Reginster JY, Slomian J, Buckinx F, Locquet M, Bruyere O. Prevalence of sarcopenia: the impact of different diagnostic cut-off limits. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. Dec; 2014 14(4):425–431. [PubMed: 25524968]
- Kendler D, Robson R, Handel M, et al. A 4-week, double-blind, randomized, controlled multicenter clinical trial to examine the effect of once-weekly alendronate 70 mg and vitamin D3 2800 IU on fractional calcium absorption in postmenopausal osteoporotic women. Osteoporos Int. 2006; 17(Suppl 2):S220.
- Hansen KE, Jones AN, Lindstrom MJ, et al. Do proton pump inhibitors decrease calcium absorption? Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. Dec; 2010 25(12):2786–2795.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological). 1995; 57(1):289–300.
- Peto R, Pike MC, Armitage P, et al. Design and analysis of randomized clinical trials requiring prolonged observation of each patient. I. Introduction and design. Br J Cancer. Dec; 1976 34(6): 585–612. [PubMed: 795448]
- Haybittle JL. Repeated assessment of results in clinical trials of cancer treatment. Br J Radiol. Oct; 1971 44(526):793–797. [PubMed: 4940475]
- Roux C, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Papapoulos SE, de Papp AE, West JA, Bouillon R. New insights into the role of vitamin D and calcium in osteoporosis management: an expert roundtable discussion. Curr Med Res Opin. May; 2008 24(5):1363–1370. [PubMed: 18387220]
- 43. Need AG, O'Loughlin PD, Morris HA, Coates PS, Horowitz M, Nordin BE. Vitamin D metabolites and calcium absorption in severe vitamin D deficiency. Journal of bone and mineral research : the

official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. Nov; 2008 23(11):1859–1863.

- 44. Gallagher JC, Yalamanchili V, Smith LM. The effect of vitamin D on calcium absorption in older women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. Oct; 2012 97(10):3550–3556. [PubMed: 22855333]
- Aloia JF, Dhaliwal R, Shieh A, et al. Vitamin D supplementation increases calcium absorption without a threshold effect. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Mar; 2014 99(3):624–631. [PubMed: 24335055]
- 46. Chan EL, Lau E, Shek CC, et al. Age-related changes in bone density, serum parathyroid hormone, calcium absorption and other indices of bone metabolism in Chinese women. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). Apr; 1992 36(4):375–381. [PubMed: 1424171]
- 47. Hoover PA, Webber CE, Beaumont LF, Blake JM. Postmenopausal bone mineral density: relationship to calcium intake, calcium absorption, residual estrogen, body composition, and physical activity. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. Aug; 1996 74(8):911–917. [PubMed: 8960380]
- Nordin BE, Robertson A, Seamark RF, et al. The relation between calcium absorption, serum dehydroepiandrosterone, and vertebral mineral density in postmenopausal women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Apr; 1985 60(4):651–657. [PubMed: 3156144]
- Ensrud KE, Duong T, Cauley JA, et al. Low fractional calcium absorption increases the risk for hip fracture in women with low calcium intake. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. Ann Intern Med. Mar 7; 2000 132(5):345–353. [PubMed: 10691584]
- 50. Uusi-Rasi K, Patil R, Karinkanta S, et al. Exercise and Vitamin D in Fall Prevention Among Older Women: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med. Mar 23.2015
- Sanders KM, Stuart AL, Williamson EJ, et al. Annual high-dose oral vitamin D and falls and fractures in older women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. May 12; 2010 303(18):1815– 1822. [PubMed: 20460620]
- 52. Sanders KM, Ebeling P, McCorquodale T, Herrman M, Shore-Lorenti C, Nicholson G. The Efficacy of High-Dose Oral Vitamin D3 Administered Once a Year: Increased Fracture Risk Is Associated With 1,25 Vitamin D Level at 3-Months Post Dose. J Bone Miner Res. 2012; 27(Supplement 1)
- 53. Cranney, A.; Horsley, T.; O'Donnell, S., et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Vitamin D in Relation to Bone Health. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 158. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Rockville: 2007. AHRQ Publication No. 07-E013
- Brockstedt H, Kassem M, Eriksen EF, Mosekilde L, Melsen F. Age- and sex-related changes in iliac cortical bone mass and remodeling. Bone. Jul-Aug;1993 14(4):681–691. [PubMed: 8274313]



Figure 1. Participant Flow Diagram

^aTFCA denotes total fractional calcium absorption. ^bBMD denotes bone mineral density. The calcium isotope doses were not recorded in 2 subjects and a urine sample was mishandled in a 3rd. Muscle tests were not performed in 4 subjects due to pain and/or an injury.



Figure 2. Serum Vitamin D Levels by Treatment Assignment

Serum vitamin D levels were summarized using the mean and standard deviation, and compared across treatment arms by analysis of variance, with correction of p-values to control the false discovery rate using the Benjamini and Hochberg method. Vitamin D levels were not significantly different across treatment groups at the screening (p=0.89) and randomization (p=0.89) visits. At all subsequent visits, serum vitamin D levels were significantly different (p<0.001) across all three treatment arms. Pairwise comparisons likewise showed p-values <0.001. To convert 25(OH)D from ng/mL to mmol/L, multiply values by 2.496.



Figure 3. Annualized Percent Change in Bone Mineral Density by Treatment Assignment We found no significant between-arm differences for the change in spine, mean total hip, mean femoral neck or total body bone mineral density. Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to calculate the overall p-value, with correction of p-values to control the false discovery rate using the Benjamini and Hochberg method.

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics of Randomized Subjects

	All Subjects n=230	Placebo n= 76	Low-Dose Vitamin D n= 75	High-Dose Vitamin D n= 79	P value ^a
Demographic Characteristics				•	
Age, years	61 ± 6	61 ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 5	0.78
Weight, kg	81 ± 18	81 ± 19	82 ± 18	80 ± 18	0.91
Height, cm	163 ± 6	163 ± 6	164 ± 6	162 ± 5	0.91
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²	30.8 ± 6.8	30.6 ± 6.6	31.2 ± 7.4	30.7 ± 6.5	0.91
Race					
White	207 (90%)	68 (90%)	67 (89%) ^b	72 (91%)	
Black	14 (6%)	6 (8%)	7 (9%)	1 (1%)	
Asian	5 (2%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	3 (4%)	0.74
American Indian/Alaskan	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	
Hispanic/Latina	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	
Bone Mineral Density Measures					
Spine, g/cm ²	1.155 (1.055, 1.286)	1.143 (1.048, 1.228)	1.145 (1.080, 1.275)	1.163 (1.044, 1.280)	0.91
Spine T-Score	-0.2 (-1.1, +0.9)	-0.3 (-1.1, +0.9)	-0.3 (-0.8, +0.8)	-0.2 (-1.2, +0.9)	0.91
Hip, g/cm ²	0.961 (0.900, 1.038)	0.954 (0.882, 1.025)	0.961 (0.905, 1.038)	0.966 (0.911, 1.032)	0.91
Hip T-Score	-1.0 (-1.5, -0.5)	-1.0 (-1.7, -0.6)	-1.0 (-1.4, -0.4)	-1.1 (-1.6, -0.5)	0.78
Dietary Habits					
Kilocalories, kcal/day	1842 (1539, 2198)	1943 (1651, 2258)	1782 (1558, 2045)	1839 (1497, 2196)	0.78
Carbohydrates, g/day	222 (175, 266)	231 (194, 274)	215 (171, 261)	205 (171, 261)	0.74
Protein, g/day	75 (62, 86)	74 (59, 86)	75 (65, 89)	76 (64, 86)	0.91
Fat, g/day	72 (60, 91)	77 (58, 96)	72 (60, 88)	68 (61, 90)	0.89
Fiber, g/day	19 (14, 25)	21 (15, 28)	19 (15, 24)	17 (14, 24)	0.74
Dietary Calcium, mg/day	905 (703, 1099)	929 (777,1110)	890 (678,1101)	896 (706,1077)	0.91
Calcium Supplement, mg/day	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 29)	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	0.78
All Calcium Intake, mg/day	967 (752, 1215)	1007 (808,1306)	961 (699,1202)	962 (739,1174)	0.78
Iron, mg/day	13 (10, 16)	14 (11, 16)	12 (9, 16)	13 (11, 16)	0.78
Magnesium, mg/day	306 (247, 370)	335 (261, 405)	289 (244, 337)	305 (247, 354)	0.47
Vitamin D, IU/day	196 (115, 266)	190 (138, 299)	176 (115, 254)	207 (107, 263)	0.91
Oxalate, servings/day	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)	1.1 (0.5, 2.1)	0.9 (0.4, 1.9)	0.6 (0.3, 1.4)	0.46
Serum Laboratory Measures					
Calcium, mg/dL	9.1 ± 0.4	9.1 ± 0.3	9.2 ± 0.4	9.1 ± 0.4	0.91
Albumin, g/dL	3.9 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.3	0.78
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	0.78
GFR, mL/minute	79 ± 17	79 ± 17	77 ± 17	80 ± 16	0.78

	All Subjects n=230	Placebo n= 76	Low-Dose Vitamin D n= 75	High-Dose Vitamin D n= 79	P value ^a
PTH, pg/dL	41 (30, 54)	40 (29, 53)	42 (31, 56)	42 (33, 52)	0.78
25(OH)D, ng/mL	21 ± 3	21 ± 3	21 ± 3	21 ± 3	0.91
1,25(OH) ₂ D, pg/mL ^{c}	41 (31, 54)	41 (32, 51)	42 (32, 55)	40 (31, 53)	0.91
Estradiol, pg/mL ^C	48 (40, 56)	49 (40, 58)	47 (42, 54)	48 (39, 55)	0.78

SI conversion factors: To convert calcium to mmol/L, multiply values by 025. To convert albumin to g/L, multiply values by 10. To convert creatinine to µmol/L, multiply values by 88.4. To convert PTH to ng/L, multiply values by 1. To convert 25(OH)D to nmol/L, multiply values by 2.496. To convert 1,25(OH)2D to pmol/L, multiply values by 2.6. To convert estradiol to pmol/L, multiply values by 3.671. At the UW Primate Center, serum 1,25(OH)2D was extracted, samples were evaporated and derivatized (Amplifex Diene, AB Sciex, Framington, MA) and 30 uL was injected for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis using a Shimazdu LC system (Columbia, MD) coupled to a QTRAP 5500 equipped with a Turbo V Ion source (AB Sciex) as previously published with an intra and inter-assay variability of 9.3% and 14.1%, respectively. The UW Primate Center measured serum estradiol using an in-house assay. Samples were extracted with ethyl ether, the ether was evaporated and antibody (Holly Hill Biologicals) and trace (Perkin Elmer) were added with overnight incubation. The following day a charcoal solution was added, followed by incubation for 15 minutes, centrifugation, removal of the supernatant, addition of scintillation cocktail and then analysis using a beta counter. For lower estradiol levels typical of postmenopausal women, the intra and inter-assay variability for the assay is 4.5% and 5.8%, respectively. The UW Clinical Laboratory measured PTH using a chemiluminescent immunoassay performed on the Siemens ADIVA Centaur XP. The intra and inter-assay variability for the assay is 4.4% and 6.5%.

^aP-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini and Hochberg method to control the false discovery rate.

^bPercentages do not equal 100 due to rounding.

 c Denotes measurement of laboratory studies at randomization rather than screening. Data with a normal distribution are summarized using mean and standard deviation, and analyzed using analysis of variance. Data with outliers are summarized using the median (1st, 3rd IQR) and analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

Table 2

One-Year Changes in Muscle Outcomes

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Measure		Placebo n=73 of 76	Low-Dose Vitamin D n=73 of 75	High-Dose Vitamin D n=74 of 79	High vs. Low ^c	High vs. Placebo ^c	Low vs. Placebo ^c
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Baseline	8.28 ± 1.69	8.04 ± 1.56	8.03 ± 1.70			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Timed Up	12 months	7.92 ± 1.59	7.60 ± 1.55	7.65 ± 1.77	0.05 ($-0.42, 0.53$)	-0.03 (-0.50, 0.44)	-0.08 (-0.56, 0.39)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	and Go Test	Change ^a	-0.35 ($-0.70, -0.01$)	-0.44 (-0.66, -0.22)	-0.38 (-0.66, -0.11)	p=0.97	p=0.99	p=0.91
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Baseline	10.32 ± 2.88	9.86 ± 2.50	9.83 ± 2.27			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Five Sit-	12 months	9.77 ± 3.02	8.88 ± 2.50	8.78 ± 2.09	-0.06 ($-0.83, 0.72$)	-0.49 (-1.26, +0.29)	-0.43 ($-1.21, 0.34$)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } Health \\ Health \\ I2 months \\ I2 month \\ I2 month$	to-Stand Lest	Change	$^{-0.55}_{(-1.02, -0.07)}$	-0.98 (-1.49, -0.47)	-1.04 ($-1.44, -0.63$)	p=0.98	p=0.3	p=0.39
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Health & 12 \mbox{ model} & 12 \mbox{ model} & 12 \mbox{ model} & 0.14 \pm 0.33 & 0.12 \pm 0.32 & 0.06 \pm 0.21 & 0.01 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.01 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.01 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.002 & 0.01 & 0.002 & 0.0$		Baseline	0.13 ± 0.25	0.14 ± 0.33	0.05 ± 0.14			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Health Assessment	12 months	0.14 ± 0.33	0.12 ± 0.32	0.06 ± 0.21	0.04 (-0.04, 0.12)	0.01 ($-0.08, 0.09$)	-0.03 (-0.11, 0.05)
$ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Questionnaire	Change	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ (-0.03, 0.05) \end{array}$	-0.02 ($-0.09, 0.04$)	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	p=0.48	p=0.99	p=0.58
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Baseline	169 ± 96	167 ± 85	177 ± 83			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Physical Activity Scale	12 months	153 ± 86	146 ± 69	173 ± 74	17.6 ($-13.4, 48.6$)	13.2 ($-17.8, 44.3$)	-4.4 ($-35.5, 26.8$)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	for the Élderly	Change	$^{-17.25}_{(-39.08, 4.58)}$	-21.64 (-37.66, -5.63)	-4.04 (-21.40, 13.33)	p=0.38	p=0.57	p=0.94
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Baseline	7.24 ± 1.05	7.35 ± 1.24	7.29 ± 1.14			
Change 0.1 (-0.03, 0.24) 0.05 (-0.05, 0.14) 0.002 (-0.09, 0.10) p=0.39 (-0.09, 0.10) p=0.39 (-0.09, 0.10) Falls n per Arm 33 falls 36 falls 35 falls 35 falls p=0.92 p=0.74 Fallers subjects 23 (30%) 24 (32%) 22 (32%) p=0.92 p=0.92	Muscle mass	12 months	7.35 ± 1.32	7.40 ± 1.40	7.30 ± 1.28	-0.05 ($-0.23,0.14$)	-0.1 (-0.29,0.08)	-0.06 ($-0.24,0.13$)
Falls n per Arm 33 falls 36 falls 35 falls 35 falls p=0.92 Fallers subjects 23 (30%) 24 (32%) 22 (32%) p=0.92		Change	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ (-0.03, 0.24) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05\\ (-0.05, 0.14)\end{array}$	0.002 ($-0.09, 0.10$)	p=0.83	p=0.39	p=0.74
Fallers subjects 23 (30%) 24 (32%) 22 (32%) p=0.92	Falls	n per Arm	33 falls	36 falls	35 falls		p=0.92	
	Fallers	subjects	23 (30%)	24 (32%)	22 (32%)		p=0.92	

JAMA Intern Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 October 01.

We summarized within-arm one-year changes in continuous muscle outcomes using the mean (95% confidence interval).

 b Muscle mass was calculated as the appendicular lean mass (kg) divided by height in meters².

^cTo control the false discovery rate for multiple comparisons, we used Tukey's method to adjust the confidence intervals and p-values.