ARTICLE IN PRESS

EBioMedicine xxx (2018) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



EBioMedicine Published by THE LANCET

journal homepage: www.ebiomedicine.com

Commentary Vitamin D status during pregnancy: The importance of getting it right

Bruce W. Hollis

Pediatrics, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pediatric Nutritional Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA

In this issue of *EBioMedicine* Enkhmaa et al. [1] conducted a randomized clinical trial (RCT) that demonstrates the amount of vitamin D that must be supplemented to achieve adequate vitamin D status in a vitamin D deficient pregnant population. As had been demonstrated in similar populations [2,3], the amount of vitamin D to be supplemented far exceeds the amount currently recommended by the National Academy of Medicine [4].

The amount of vitamin D supplemented during pregnancy has long been a contentious subject due to the false association of vitamin D being a teratogen leading to Williams Syndrome [5]. This association which is now known to be false, has caused generations of Obstetricians that fear vitamin D [6]. The current paper as well as those before it have clearly demonstrated vitamin D to be safe at up to 4000 IU/d [1-3,6-9]. In all of these studies, NOT A SINGLE ADVERSE EVENT has been associated with vitamin D supplementation [1-3,6-9]. Thus, it is now known that this level of vitamin D can be safely administered during pregnancy. However, what would be the advantage of doing so? A lack of vitamin D is long known to have adverse effects on skeletal development [6]. In fact, we used vitamin D and its ability to improve calcium homeostasis as the primary specific aim to obtain funding from NIH to conduct a clinical trial in 2001 [6]. Ultimately, this trial was funded but not before we obtained investigational drug approval from the FDA to study the administration of up to 4000 IU/d during pregnancy [6]. As stated earlier our primary goals were to determine how much vitamin D was required to achieve adequate vitamin D status, as defined by circulation 25(OH)D, and the effects it would have on skeletal homeostasis. Of course, another objective of the study was to prove that this amount of vitamin D during pregnancy was safe. What this study ultimately showed us is that vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy could reduce birth complications. Now this benefit was not a primary end point of this original study because in 2001 we did not know enough to even ask the question.

When the prior study was completed and the results presented at a vitamin D workshop in Brugge, Belgium, to put it simply, nobody believed the data nor the positive outcomes on birth complications. However, the results spurred a large field of investigation that led to many more studies of this avenue [2–4,7–10] including the current

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.11.060.

paper [1]. Most of these clinical trials have validated the positive effects of prenatal vitamin D on birth outcomes [3,7–9] while some have not [4]. There are major differences in these trials with respect to when vitamin D was administered during pregnancy and the amount administered. Studies that have been negative have not supplemented adequate amounts of vitamin D and/or administered vitamin D too late in the pregnancy cycle [4]. Recent studies have demonstrated that vitamin D needs to be administered as early in the pregnancy as possible. In fact, it appears to be critical to provide vitamin D in the preconception period to ward off preeclampsia and/or preterm birth [8-10]. This is likely due to the fact that vitamin D is essential in the first trimester of pregnancy to ensure proper placental development and lung development during this important period to prevent childhood asthma [7]. Providing vitamin D after this critical developmental period appears to not correct these developmental deficiencies [9] and thus the failure of clinical trials [4]. The problem is that the preconception studies have only been observational. Ideally, these preconception studies need to undergo RCT, however this may never happen because of the expense of such a study would incur. It is possible therefor that we will be forced to make decisions on this matter using only observational data.

We remain at a crossroads with respect to using vitamin D during pregnancy to improve the birth complication rate. Naysayers continue to ask for more studies on the basis of safety concerns even though not a single adverse event has been observed in previous studies. Conversely, many potential benefits have been observed in these studies. The fact remains that vitamin D is the only substance shown to decrease preeclampsia rates and subsequent preterm birth. If vitamin D were a pharmaceutical it would be worth billions of dollars and that is probably a major fact in the non-acceptance of vitamin D is essentially free.

Disclosure

The author declared no conflicts of interest.

References

- Enkhmaa D, Tanz L, Ganma D, et al. Randomized trial of three doses of vitamin D to reduce deficiency in pregnant Mongolian women. EBioMedicine 2019https://www. ebiomedicine.com/article/S2352-3964(18)30564-4/fulltext.
- [2] Dawodu A, Saadi HF, Bekdache G, et al. Randomized controlled trial (RCT) of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy in a population with endemic vitamin D deficiency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98:2337–46.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.021

2352-3964/© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: B.W. Hollis, Vitamin D status during pregnancy: The importance of getting it right, EBioMedicine, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.021

E-mail address: hollisb@musc.edu.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

B.W. Hollis / EBioMedicine xxx (2018) xxx

- [3] Rostami M, Tehrani FR, Simbar M, et al. Effectiveness of prenatal vitamin D deficiency screening and treatment program: a stratified randomized field trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2018;103:2936–48.
- [4] Roth DE, Morris SK, Zlotkins S, et al. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy and lactation and infant growth. N Engl J Med 2018;379:535–46.
- [5] Friedman WF. Vitamin D as a cause of the supravalvular aortic stenosis. Am Heart J 1967;73:718–20.
- [6] Hollis BW, Johnson D, Hulsey TC, et al. Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: double-blind, randomized clinical trial of safety and effectiveness. J Bone Miner Res 2011;26:2341–57.
- [7] Wolsk AM, Harshfield BJ, Laranjo N, et al. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy, prenatal 25 (OH)D levels, race, and subsequent asthma or recurrent wheeze in

offspring: secondary analysis from the Vitamin D Antenatal Asthma Reduction Trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;140:1423–9.

- [8] McDonnel SL, Baggerly KA, Baggerly CA, et al. Maternal 25(OH)D concentrations ≥40 ng/ml associated with 60% lower preterm birth risk among general obstetrical patients at an urban medical center. PLoS One 2017;12.
- [9] Mirzakhani H, Litonjua AA, McEirath TF, et al. Early pregnancy vitamin D status and risk of preeclampsia. J Clin Invest 2016;126:4702–15.
 [10] Mumford SI, Garbose RA, Kim K, et al. Association of preconception serum 25(OH)D
- 110J WILLING SI, Garbose RA, Kim K, et al. Association of preconception serum 25(OH)D concentrations with live birth and pregnancy loss: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2018;6:725–32.