Effect of calcium supplements on risk of myocardial infarction and cardiovascular events: meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective To investigate whether calcium supplements increase the risk of cardiovascular events.

Design Patient level and trial level meta-analyses.

Data sources Medline, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (1966-March 2010), reference lists of meta-analyses of calcium supplements, and two clinical trial registries. Initial searches were carried out in November 2007, with electronic database searches repeated in March 2010.

Study selection Eligible studies were randomised, placebo controlled trials of calcium supplements (≥500 mg/day), with 100 or more participants of mean age more than 40 years and study duration more than one year. The lead authors of eligible trials supplied data. Cardiovascular outcomes were obtained from self reports, hospital admissions, and death certificates.

Results 15 trials were eligible for inclusion, five with patient level data (8151 participants, median follow-up 3.6 years, interquartile range 2.7-4.3 years) and 11 with trial level data (11 921 participants, mean duration 4.0 years). In the five studies contributing patient level data, 143 people allocated to calcium had a myocardial infarction compared with 111 allocated to placebo (hazard ratio 1.31, 95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.67, P=0.035). Non-significant increases occurred in the incidence of stroke (1.20, 0.96 to 1.50, P=0.11), the composite end point of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death (1.18, 1.00 to 1.39, P=0.057), and death (1.09, 0.96 to 1.23, P=0.18). The meta-analysis of trial level data showed similar results: 296 people had a myocardial infarction (166 allocated to calcium, 130 to placebo), with an increased incidence of myocardial infarction in those allocated to calcium (pooled relative risk 1.27, 95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.59, P=0.038).

Conclusions Calcium supplements (without coadministered vitamin D) are associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction. As calcium supplements are widely used these modest increases in risk of cardiovascular disease might translate into a large burden of disease in the population. A reassessment of the role of calcium supplements in the management of osteoporosis is warranted.

METHODS

In November 2007 we searched Medline, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for randomised placebo controlled trials of calcium supplements, using the terms “calcium”, “randomised controlled trial”, and “placebo” as text words, and corresponding MeSH terms (full details are available from the authors). We searched for studies in the reference lists of meta-analyses published between 1990 and 2007 of the effect of calcium supplements on bone density, fracture, colorectal neoplasia, and blood pressure, and in two clinical trial registries (ClinicalTrials.gov and Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry). No language restrictions were applied. In March 2010 we updated the searches of the electronic databases (Medline: January 1966-March 2010, Embase: January 1980-March 2010, Central Register of Controlled Trials: first quarter 2010).

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in older people.1 Calcium supplements marginally reduce the risk of fracture,2 3 and most guidelines recommend adequate calcium intake as an integral part of the prevention or treatment of osteoporosis.4 5 Consequently, calcium supplements are commonly used by people over the age of 50. Observational studies suggest that high calcium intake might protect against vascular disease,6-8 and the findings are consistent with those of interventional studies of calcium supplements that show improvement in some vascular risk factors.9-11 In contrast, calcium supplements accelerate vascular calcification and increase mortality in patients with renal failure, in both dialysis and predialysis populations.12-14 Furthermore, a five year randomised controlled trial of calcium supplements in healthy older women, in which cardiovascular events were prespecified as secondary end points, recently reported possible increases in rates of myocardial infarction and cardiovascular events in women allocated to calcium.15 16 We carried out a meta-analysis of cardiovascular events in randomised trials of calcium supplements.
Study selection
We included studies if they were randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trials; elemental calcium was administered at a dose of ≥500 mg/day; the participants’ mean age at baseline was more than 40 years; 100 or more participants were randomised; participants of either sex were studied; and the trial duration was more than one year.

We excluded trials concerning calcium and vitamin D given together with a placebo comparator (trials were only eligible if vitamin D was given to both intervention and control groups, because vitamin D supplementation has been associated with decreased mortality\(^{17}\)); trials in which calcium was administered in the form of dietary modification or a complex nutritional supplement; and trials in which most participants had a major systemic disease other than osteoporosis.

Search results
One investigator (MB) carried out the initial search and two investigators (AG and MB) independently reviewed all potentially relevant studies. Overall, 190 potentially relevant reports of studies were identified from the initial searches, but only 15 studies were eligible for analysis.\(^{15,16,18-33}\) Thirteen studies compared calcium supplements with placebo,\(^{15,16,18-27,29,31-33}\) one study had a 2×2 factorial design allowing comparison of calcium with placebo and calcium plus vitamin D with vitamin D,\(^{28}\) and one study compared calcium plus alendronate with alendronate.\(^{30}\)

Data description
We invited the lead author of each eligible study to provide patient level data on cardiovascular events that occurred during the study irrespective of whether the participant was still taking the trial drug. When such data were not available we requested summary data at trial level. We obtained patient level data on cardiovascular outcomes for five studies, and partially complete trial level data for six. No data were available for four studies because the original records were no longer available and cardiovascular events were not previously reported,\(^{18,20}\) or no cardiovascular data were available.\(^{21,26}\) Thus, patient level data on cardiovascular outcomes were available for 63% of participants in the 15 eligible studies, complete trial level data for 85% of participants, and at least partially complete trial level data for 93% of participants. Basic demographic and other trial related data were either supplied by the lead authors (or nominated deputies) or extracted from the original publication by an investigator (MB).

Ascertainment of cardiovascular events
We considered a myocardial infarction to have occurred when either of the terms “myocardial infarction” or “heart attack”, or code 410 (international classification of diseases, ninth revision), was used to describe the event. A stroke was considered to have occurred when any of the terms “stroke”, “cerebral infarction”, “intracerebral hemorrhage”, “subarachnoid hemorrhage”, or “cerebrovascular accident”, or any of the ICD-9 codes 430, 431, 433, 434 were used to describe the event. We considered a sudden death to have occurred when the term “sudden death” or the ICD-9 code 798 was used to describe the event.

Five studies contributed patient level data on cardiovascular events. For one study,\(^{21,22}\) self reports of un adjudicated events were supplied. Another study\(^{28}\) supplied self reports of hospital admissions and cause of death from death certificates. Each event was then independently adjudicated by two physicians blinded to the treatment allocation of the participants (MB, AG), and any disagreements were resolved by consensus. For another study,\(^{25}\) verified events from hospital discharge data were supplied along with causes of deaths from death certificates. The causes of death were again independently adjudicated. For two studies,\(^{15,33}\) data from self reports, hospital admissions, and death certificates were independently adjudicated by a cardiologist or neurologist, as previously described.\(^{36}\) For six studies contributing trial level data, all data on cardiovascular events were supplied by the lead authors and were obtained from physician reported cause of death in one study\(^{27}\) and a combination of self reports and hospital discharge data in five studies.\(^{19,24,20-22}\)

End points
The prespecified primary end points were time to first myocardial infarction, time to first stroke, and time to first event for the composite end point of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death. The secondary end point was time to death (all cause mortality).

Statistical analysis
In trials with patient level data, we analysed each end point using a Cox proportional hazards model, with a dummy coded variable representing each study in the model, and we reported the hazard ratio, with 95% confidence interval, and number needed to treat. The assumption of proportional hazards was explored by
Table 1 | Characteristics of 15 studies eligible for inclusion in meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trials</th>
<th>Characteristics of 15 studies eligible for inclusion in meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No in control group/No in control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid 199321 22</td>
<td>68/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baron 199925</td>
<td>464/466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant 200528</td>
<td>2617/2675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid 200615 14</td>
<td>732/739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid 200813</td>
<td>216/107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal/average†</td>
<td>4097/4054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trial level data on cardiovascular outcomes‡:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Characteristics of 15 studies eligible for inclusion in meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No in control group/No in control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson-Hughes 199019</td>
<td>238/123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riggs 199824</td>
<td>119/117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonithon-Kopp 200027</td>
<td>204/212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince 200629</td>
<td>730/730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonick 200730</td>
<td>282/281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lappe 200721 32</td>
<td>446/288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal/average†</td>
<td>2019/1751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total/average†</td>
<td>6116/5805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No data on cardiovascular outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Characteristics of 15 studies eligible for inclusion in meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No in control group/No in control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith 198918</td>
<td>84/85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elders 199120</td>
<td>198/97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recker 199623</td>
<td>95/102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peacock 200026</td>
<td>126/135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal/average†</td>
<td>503/419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not available.

*All participants were followed for two years with a median follow-up of 45 months.
†Weighted by person years of follow-up.
‡Complete trial level data were available for two studies.29 31 Partially complete data were available for four studies19 24 27 30 (see table 2 for details).
§No original records remained but lead author recalled no heart attacks in either treatment group.

---

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows the results of the literature search and table 1 the characteristics of the eligible studies. The quality of the five studies contributing patient level data has been independently assessed in previous systematic reviews.33 35 36

All 11 eligible trials were double blind, randomised studies. The method of randomisation was stated explicitly in seven: one used a central randomisation service and six used computer generated random numbers. Allocation concealment was explicitly described in four studies. Ten studies gave details of participants who withdrew or were lost to follow-up. Compliance was reported in all 11 studies, but the definitions for compliance differed between studies and were not always comparable. In general, studies reported compliance of more than 75% in participants who were taking tablets at study completion. Table 2 shows the baseline cardiovascular characteristics, dietary calcium intake, and vitamin D status of participants.
Cardiovascular events by treatment allocation are shown in table 3.

Patient level analysis

Table 4 shows the baseline characteristics of the treatment groups in the five studies contributing patient level data. The median (interquartile range) duration of follow-up in both groups was 3.6 (2.7-4.3) years.

In total, 143 people allocated to calcium had a myocardial infarction during follow-up compared with 111 allocated to placebo. The risk of incident myocardial infarction in those allocated to calcium increased by 31% (hazard ratio 1.31, 95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.67, P=0.035. fig 2). During follow-up, 167 people allocated to calcium and 143 allocated to placebo had a stroke (1.20, 0.96 to 1.50, P=0.11), 293 people allocated to calcium and 254 allocated to placebo who had any of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death (1.18, 1.00 to 1.39, P=0.057), and 519 people allocated to calcium and 487 allocated to placebo died (1.09, 0.96 to 1.23, P=0.18). The number needed to treat (NNT) with calcium for five years to cause one incident event was 69 for myocardial infarction, 100 for stroke, 61 for any of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death, and 77 for death. Adjusting for prespecified covariates related to cardiovascular outcomes with data available for more than 80% of participants (age, sex, smoking status, and diabetes) did not change the results of the primary analyses.

Prespecified subgroup analyses showed a significant interaction between treatment allocation and dietary calcium intake for myocardial infarction. Calcium treatment was associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction in people with dietary calcium intake above the median of 805 mg/day (hazard ratio 1.85, 95% confidence interval 1.28 to 2.67) but no increased risk in those with dietary calcium intake below the median (0.98, 0.69 to 1.38, P for interaction 0.01). When the cohort was divided by fifths of dietary calcium intake rounded to the nearest 100 mg/day, the respective hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for the effect of calcium treatment on myocardial infarction were 1.18 (0.70 to 2.00) for <500 mg/day, 0.68 (0.39 to 1.18) for 500-699 mg/day, 2.28 (1.26 to 4.15) for 700-899 mg/day, 1.81 (0.97 to 3.41) for 900-1099 mg/day, and 1.41 (0.81 to 2.48) for ≥1100 mg/day; test for linear trend when hazard ratios are expressed relative to the <500 mg/d fifth, P=0.12. Interactions between treatment allocation and age, sex, vitamin D status, or supplement type for myocardial infarction were not significant, nor were they between treatment allocation and any of these variables or dietary calcium intake for stroke, the composite end point, or death.

Recurrent cardiovascular events tended to be more common in people allocated to calcium. Comparing people allocated to calcium with those allocated to placebo, 19 versus 13 had more than one myocardial infarction (P=0.38), 21 versus 13 had more than one stroke (P=0.23), and 59 versus 32 had more than one of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death (P=0.006). Poisson regression models with general estimating equations were used to estimate the effect of calcium on the total number of events, including incident and recurrent events. Overall, 164 myocardial infarctions occurred in people allocated to calcium compared with 125 in those allocated to placebo (relative risk 1.32, 95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.71, P=0.032). Stroke occurred in 190 people allocated to calcium compared with 156 allocated to placebo (1.24, 0.99 to 1.56, P=0.07). In total, 361 myocardial infarctions, strokes, or sudden deaths occurred in people allocated to calcium compared with 287 in people allocated to calcium (1.27, 1.07 to 1.51, P=0.006).

Trial level analysis

Table 3 shows summary data on cardiovascular events at trial level. Eight studies15 21 22 25 28-30 were included in the main analysis. A further three trials19 24 27 had data only available for subgroups of participants. These three trials were included in a sensitivity analysis that included data from all 11 trials. Publication bias

---

Table 2 | Baseline cardiovascular and other variables in trials with patient or trial level data available for cardiovascular outcomes. Values are means (standard deviations) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Dietary calcium (mg/day)</th>
<th>Vitamin D* (nmol/l)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Current smoker (%)</th>
<th>Hypertension (%)</th>
<th>Diabetes (%)</th>
<th>Ischaemic heart disease (%)</th>
<th>Lipid disorder (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dawson-Hughes19 406 (84)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid21,22 750 (290)</td>
<td>93 (37)</td>
<td>65 (9)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riggs24 710 (290)</td>
<td>80 (25)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baron25 880 (440)</td>
<td>73 (27)</td>
<td>82 (15)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonithon-Kopp27 980 (380)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince29 820 (350)</td>
<td>45 (18)†</td>
<td>65 (13)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid33 860 (390)</td>
<td>54 (18)</td>
<td>67 (11)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lappe31 1070</td>
<td>72 (20)</td>
<td>77 (15)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnick30 1240 (580)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA=not available.  
*25-hydroxyvitamin D.  
†25-hydroxyvitamin D measured in sample of 80 participants.
Table 3 | Number of people with cardiovascular events and deaths by treatment allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Calcium group</th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of participants</td>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson-Hughes19†</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid21‡</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riggs24†</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baron25</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonithon-Kopp27‡§</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant28¶</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant29†</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid15,16</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince29††</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnick30</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lappe31,32†</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid33</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6116</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N= not available.
*Any of myocardial infarction, stroke, or sudden death. Seventeen events were classified as sudden deaths that occurred in eight people allocated to calcium and nine allocated to placebo.
†‡Unpublished trial level data provided by author. Data on stroke available only for participants who withdrew from study.
‡¶Unpublished trial level data provided by author. Data available only for participants who withdrew from study.
§Unpublished trial level data provided by author. Data on cause of death only.
¶Calcium versus placebo arms in Randomised Evaluation of Calcium or Vitamin D (RECORD) study.
**Calcium and vitamin D versus placebo plus vitamin D arms in Randomised Evaluation of Calcium or Vitamin D (RECORD) study.
††Unpublished trial level data provided by Boyd Scott.

was not evident on inspection of Funnel plots or in Egger’s regression model in any analysis (P>0.40 for all analyses). Figure 3 shows the results of the main analysis. Allocation to calcium supplements was associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction (relative risk 1.27, 95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.59, P=0.038) but not stroke, the composite end point, or death. Including data from the three additional studies in the sensitivity analysis did not significantly change the results for any end point.

DISCUSSION

In this pooled analysis of around 12 000 participants from 11 randomised controlled trials, calcium supplements were associated with about a 30% increase in the incidence of myocardial infarction and smaller, non-significant, increases in the risk of stroke and mortality. When recurrent events in 10-17% of participants were included in analyses, the results were similar, although the relative risks tended to be slightly larger. The findings were consistent across trials, with an increased relative risk of myocardial infarction with calcium observed in six of the seven trials in which at least one event occurred, although no individual trial reported a statistically significant effect. The risk of myocardial infarction with calcium tended to be greater in those with dietary calcium intake above the median but was independent of age, sex, and type of supplement.

Limitations of the review

Our study has some limitations. We excluded studies that compared coadministered calcium and vitamin D supplements with placebo. The results therefore may not apply to coadministered calcium and vitamin D supplements. None of the trials had cardiovascular outcomes as the primary end points, and data on cardiovascular events were not gathered in a standardised manner. In only two of the trials were the data adjudicated by blinded trial investigators. However, unless there was differential misclassification or misreporting of cardiovascular events in people treated with calcium, this is unlikely to alter the results, because the data came from blinded, placebo controlled trials. Incomplete or no data on cardiovascular outcomes were available for seven trials in our analysis, comprising about 15% of the total number of participants. However, the small size of these trials and the consistency of the findings in the other eight larger trials suggest the missing data are unlikely to have substantially changed the results.

Comparison with other studies

The current findings are consistent with trials of patients with renal failure, in which calcium supplements were associated with an increase in mortality. Few comparable data are available from observational studies of calcium supplements. One study reported a 24% increase in coronary heart disease in Finnish postmenopausal women using calcium supplements (with or without vitamin D) compared with non-users. Non-fatal myocardial infarction in US men using calcium supplements compared with non-users did not increase significantly, although the relative risk for each fifth of supplement intake ranged between 1.02 and 1.07.

The relations between dietary calcium intake and cardiovascular events have also been examined. The inverse relation between calcium intake and...
standardised mortality ratios for ischaemic heart disease in the United Kingdom was strong. In two US prospective observational studies, women in the highest fourth of calcium intake had 30-40% lower cardiovascular mortality than those in the lowest fourth, and those in the highest fifth of calcium intake had a 30-40% lower risk of ischaemic stroke than those in the lowest fifth. No relation between calcium intake and ischaemic heart disease or stroke was observed in prospective studies of US men, or of Dutch civil servants. Thus, in contrast with the observational and interventional studies of calcium supplements, these observational studies do not show increased cardiovascular risks with higher dietary calcium intake. These differences suggest that cardiovascular risks from high calcium intake might be restricted to use of calcium supplements.

A body of evidence related to the current work comes from studies comparing coadministered calcium and vitamin D supplements with placebo, which were excluded from our meta-analysis. Recently, the Women’s Health Initiative reported that calcium and vitamin D had no effect on the risk of coronary heart disease or stroke. The findings of that study might differ from ours for several reasons. The Women’s Health Initiative used low dose vitamin D supplements, and vitamin D deficiency has been associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease and vitamin D supplementation with decreased mortality. Also, the participants differed from those in our meta-analysis: on average they were younger (mean age 62 v 75 years), heavier (mean weight 76 v 68 kg; 34% in Women’s Health Initiative v 10% of women in our meta-analysis) had higher calcium intakes (mean 1150 v 830 mg/day), and a higher proportion were using hormone replacement therapy (52% v <3% in our meta-analysis). Overall, 54% were taking non-
protocol calcium supplements at baseline (30% were taking ≥500 mg/day), increasing to 69% at the final visit, compared with 1.2% taking non-protocol calcium supplements at baseline in our meta-analysis. In the subgroups of women in the Women’s Health Initiative who most closely approximated the participants in our analyses (age 70-79 years, body mass index <30 kg/m², total calcium intake <800 mg/day), the confidence intervals of the hazard ratios for coronary heart disease with calcium and vitamin D included the hazard ratio for myocardial infarction we observed. It would be valuable to reanalyse the results of the Women’s Health Initiative to assess the effects of calcium and vitamin D in non-obese women and in women not taking non-protocol calcium supplements. Interestingly, the only study in our analysis that reported a relative risk of less than 1.0 for myocardial infarction with calcium also had high non-protocol use of calcium supplements.

The current analyses do not deal with the mechanisms by which calcium supplements might increase the risk of myocardial infarction, but we have reviewed this elsewhere. Calcium supplements acutely increase serum calcium levels to a modest degree. Serum calcium levels have been positively associated with an increased incidence of myocardial infarction in large observational studies. Primary hyperparathyroidism, a condition in which serum calcium levels are raised, has also been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events and death. Ingestion of equivalent doses of calcium from dairy products has a much smaller effect than calcium supplements on serum calcium levels, which might account for the absence of a detrimental vascular effect of dietary calcium intake in the observational studies reviewed. Vascular calcification is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and the process of vascular calcification is similar to osteogenesis. Because calcium supplements increase bone density it is possible that they may also increase vascular calcification and thereby cardiovascular events. In patients with renal failure (both dialysis and predialysis populations) calcium supplements accelerate vascular calcification and increase mortality. Our graphical data are consistent with the possibility that an increased risk of myocardial infarction with calcium supplements emerges quickly, pointing to mechanisms such as increased coagulability or altered vascular flow, perhaps mediated directly through the calcium sensing receptor or indirectly through alterations in calcitropic hormones.
Calcium supplements modestly increase bone density and have marginal efficacy against fracture. In a pooled analysis of studies contributing patient level data, the hazard ratio for fracture was 0.90 (95% confidence interval 0.80 to 1.01) and NNT for five years to prevent one fracture was 39. A recent meta-analysis of the effect of calcium with or without vitamin D on fracture reported a similar NNT for five years of 48.5 Later meta-analyses reported that combined supplementation with calcium and vitamin D reduced fractures, whereas vitamin D alone did not.66 Incorporating the results from the current analysis of studies contributing patient level data, treatment of 1000 people with calcium for five years would cause an additional 14 myocardial infarctions, 10 strokes, and 13 deaths, and prevent 26 fractures.

Conclusions
In summary, randomised studies suggest that calcium supplements without coadministered vitamin D are associated with an increased incidence of myocardial infarction. The vascular effects of calcium supplements, especially without vitamin D, should be studied further. Although the magnitude of the increase in risk is modest, the widespread use of calcium supplements means that even a small increase in incidence of cardiovascular disease could translate into a large burden of disease in the population. The likely adverse effect of calcium supplements on cardiovascular events, taken together with the possible adverse effect on incidence of hip fracture and its modest overall efficacy in reducing fracture (about 10% reduction in total fractures) suggest that a reassessment of the role of calcium supplements in the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis is warranted.

Calcium supplements are commonly taken by older people for skeletal health. A randomised placebo controlled trial suggested calcium supplements might increase the risk of myocardial infarction and cardiovascular events.

What is already known on this topic
Calcium supplements are commonly taken by older people for skeletal health. A meta-analysis of trials totalling 12 000 participants found that calcium supplements increase the risk of myocardial infarction by about 30%.

What this study adds
A meta-analysis of trials totalling 12 000 participants found that calcium supplements increase the risk of myocardial infarction. The vascular effects of calcium supplementation on serum lipid concentrations in normal older women: a randomised controlled trial. Am J Med 2002;112:343-7.

Conclusions
In summary, randomised studies suggest that calcium supplements without coadministered vitamin D are associated with an increased incidence of myocardial infarction. The vascular effects of calcium supplements, especially without vitamin D, should be studied further. Although the magnitude of the increase in risk is modest, the widespread use of calcium supplements means that even a small increase in incidence of cardiovascular disease could translate into a large burden of disease in the population. The likely adverse effect of calcium supplements on cardiovascular events, taken together with the possible adverse effect on incidence of hip fracture and its modest overall efficacy in reducing fracture (about 10% reduction in total fractures) suggest that a reassessment of the role of calcium supplements in the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis is warranted.
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