May 25, 2010

Vitamin D levels in Norway may be inadequate to reduce risk of breast cancer

William B. Grant, Ph.D.^{a*} Asta Juzeniene^b, Zoya Lagunova,^b, Alina C. Porojnicu^b and Johan E. Moan,^{b,c}

^aSunlight, Nutrition, and Health Research Center (SUNARC), P.O. Box 641603, San Francisco, CA 94164-1603, USA

^bDepartment of Radiation Biology, Institute for Cancer Research, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Montebello, N-0310 Oslo, Norway

^cDepartment of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

*Corresponding author ^aSunlight, Nutrition, and Health Research Center (SUNARC)

P.O. Box 641603

San Francisco, CA 94164-1603, USA

wbgrant@infionline.net

1-415-409-1980

Text: 690 words; References: 10

Letter to the Editor, Int J Cancer

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Sir:

The recent paper on vitamin D and breast cancer risk in Norway found no benefit for any vitamin D indices: solar ultraviolet-B (UVB) doses, vitamin D intake, sun seeking habits, use of sunbeds and frequency of sunburn.¹ This is in agreement with a similar study in Sweden (Ref. 59 in Ref. 1). However, there is ample evidence for ecological and observational studies that higher solar UVB doses and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] levels reduce the risk of breast and several other cancers.²⁻⁵ Thus, we raise the question whether the variations in vitamin D indices in Norway are large enough to influence the risk of breast cancer.

The paper mentions that high oral intake of vitamin D was generally associated with cod liver oil. Cod liver oil has a high content of vitamin A (retinol). Vitamin A competes with vitamin D. A recent study in Europe found that vitamin A reduced the effect of vitamin D in colorectal cancer incidence by about 30% (Table 5 in Ref. 6). Thus, it is likely that vitamin reduces the effect of vitamin D on breast cancer as well.

Norway is located at high latitudes, so that the annual solar UVB doses are small compared to those in temperate and tropical regions. According to a recent study from Tromso, Norway, persons with a mean age of 56.8 years had serum levels of 25(OH)D that varied between winter and summer, from 52.4 to 60.6 nmol/L in 1994 and from 49.3 to 65.2 nmol/L in 2008.⁷ In view of the relationship between serum 25(OH)D level and breast cancer incidence, found in observational studies,⁵ these changes correspond to an 8% reduction in 1994 and a 17% reduction in 2008. However, differences of mean serum

International Journal of Cancer

25(OH)D levels between persons with low and high solar vitamin D-generating UVB exposures (VD-doses),(related to habits of sun seeking holidays, use of sunbeds, and frequency of sunburn) are probably much less than 16 nmol/L, as several of these indices represent sporadic rather than sustained vitamin D production. Without any information about serum 25(OH)D levels, it is impossible to arrive at any safe conclusions.

However, impacts of sun seeking holidays, use of sunbeds and frequency of sunburn can be linked together, as all three are associated both with vitamin D production and with non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) risk. A study of solid primary tumours in persons with a diagnosis of NMSC found a reduced risk of solid tumours in sunny countries but an increased risk in less sunny countries, including the Nordic countries.⁸ These findings were explained in terms of the percentage of body surface exposed to solar UVB as a function of latitude and temperature, being sufficiently high at low latitudes (below about 35°), but insufficient e at higher latitudes.⁹

The effect of dietary factors on breast cancer risk was not discussed in Ref. 1. References 53 and 55 in Ref. 1 found that the fraction of energy derived from animal products were associated with increased risk of breast cancer. Being ecological investigations these studies integrate dietary intake over many years of life. It is now understood that diet early in life influences breast cancer risk more than diet later in life. Persons frequently enjoying sun seeking holidays and sunbed use may have diets different from persons with no such habits. This may be related to socioeconomic status which certainly also influences cancer risk.

Thus, the findings in Ref. 1 may probably just indicate that differences in serum 25(OH)D levels in Norway from oral intake or UVB exposures irradiance are inadequate to influence breast cancer risk. However, if levels were raised from about 60 nmol/L to 105 nmol/L, breast cancer risk might probably be lowered by 25%,⁵ and incidence and mortality rates from other diseases, including many other types of cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and several bacterial and viral infections might be reduced by 15-20%.¹⁰

Disclosure

WBG receives funding from the UV Foundation (McLean, VA), the Sunlight Research Forum (Veldhoven), Bio-Tech-Pharmacal (Fayetteville, AR), and the Vitamin D Council (San Luis Obispo, CA), and have received funding from the Vitamin D Society (Canada). The other authors have no financial conflicts of interest to report.

References

 Edvardsen K, Veierød MB, Brustad M, Braaten T, Engelsen O, Lund E. Vitamin D effective solar UV radiation, dietary vitamin D and breast cancer risk. Int J Cancer. 2010 May 13. [Epub ahead of print]

2. Grant WB, Garland CF. The association of solar ultraviolet B (UVB) with reducing risk of cancer: multifactorial ecologic analysis of geographic variation in age-adjusted cancer mortality rates. Anticancer Res. 2006;26:2687-99.

3. Grant WB. How strong is the evidence that solar ultraviolet B and vitamin D reduce the risk of cancer? An examination using Hill's criteria for causality. Dermato-Endocrinology. 2009;1:17-24.

4. Yin L, Grandi N, Raum E, Haug U, Arndt V, Brenner H. Meta-analysis: Serum vitamin D and breast cancer risk. Eur J Cancer. 2010 Apr 22. [Epub ahead of print]
5. Grant WB. Relation between prediagnostic serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and incidence of breast, colorectal, and other cancers. J Photochem Photobiol B, in press (Apr. 28). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2010.04.008

6. Jenab M, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Ferrari P, van Duijnhoven FJ, Norat T, Pischon T, Jansen EH, Slimani N, Byrnes G, Rinaldi S, Tjønneland A, Olsen A, et al. Association between pre-diagnostic circulating vitamin D concentration and risk of colorectal cancer in European populations:a nested case-control study. BMJ. 2010 Jan 21;340:b5500.

7. Jorde R, Sneve M, Hutchinson M, Emaus N, Figenschau Y, Grimnes G. Tracking of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels during 14 years in a population-based study and during 12 months in an intervention study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010;171:903-8.

8. Tuohimaa P, Pukkala E, Scelo G, Olsen JH, Brewster DH, Hemminki K, Tracey E, Weiderpass E, Kliewer EV, Pompe-Kirn V, McBride ML, Martos C, et al. Does solar exposure, as indicated by the non-melanoma skin cancers, protect from solid cancers: Vitamin D as a possible explanation. Eur J Cancer. 2007;43:1701-12.

 Grant WB. The effect of solar UVB doses and vitamin D production, skin cancer action spectra, and smoking in explaining links between skin cancers and solid tumours.
 Eur J Cancer. 2008;44:12-5.

10. Grant WB, Cross HS, Garland CF, Gorham ED, Moan J, Peterlik M, Porojnicu AC, Reichrath J, Zittermann A. Estimated benefit of increased vitamin D status in reducing the economic burden of disease in Western Europe. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2009;99:104-13.